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## PART I

## GEOMECHANICS IN ELASTICITY

## CHAPTER 1

## REVIEW OF TENSOR ALGEBRA

## PROBLEMS

1.1 Prove the following equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{a} \times(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})=(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}) \boldsymbol{b}-(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}) \boldsymbol{c} \\
(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{d}) \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})=(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})(\boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{d})-(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{c})(\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}) \\
\boldsymbol{a} \times(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})+\boldsymbol{b} \times(\boldsymbol{c} \times \boldsymbol{a})+\boldsymbol{c} \times(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b})=\mathbf{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Solution:

See Figure 1.1 for proof of:

$$
\boldsymbol{a} \times(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})=(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}) \boldsymbol{b}-(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}) \boldsymbol{c}
$$

See Figure 1.2 for proof of:

$$
(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{d}) \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})=(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})(\boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{d})-(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{c})(\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{d})
$$

See Figure 1.3 for proof of:

$$
a \times(b \times c)+b \times(c \times a)+c \times(a \times b)=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l|l}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} & 1 \\
a_{3} & b_{2} c_{3}-b_{3} c_{2} \\
b_{3} c_{1}-b_{1} c_{3} \\
b_{1} c_{2}-b_{2} c_{1}
\end{array}\right. & =\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
a_{2}\left(b_{1} c_{2}-b_{2} c_{1}\right)-a_{3}\left(b_{3} c_{1}-b_{1} c_{3}\right) \\
a_{3}\left(b_{2} c_{3}-b_{3} c_{2}\right)-a_{1}\left(b_{1} c_{2}-b_{2} c_{1}\right) \\
a_{1}\left(b_{3} c_{1}-b_{1} c_{3}\right)-a_{2}\left(b_{2} c_{3}-b_{3} c_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\left(a_{2} c_{2}+a_{3} c_{3}\right) b_{1}-\left(a_{2} b_{2}+a_{3} b_{3}\right) c_{1} \\
\left(a_{1} c_{1}+a_{3} c_{3}\right) b_{2}-\left(a_{1} b_{1}+a_{3} b_{3}\right) c_{2} \\
\left(a_{1} c_{1}+a_{2} c_{2}\right) b_{3}-\left(a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}\right)_{3}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned} \\
& \begin{aligned}
& +\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
c_{2} \\
a_{1} c_{1} b_{1}-a b_{1} c_{1} \\
a_{2} c_{2} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{2} c_{2} \\
a_{3} c_{3} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{3} c_{3}
\end{array}\right. \\
= & \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{c}) b_{1}-(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b})_{c_{1}} \\
(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{c}) b_{2}-(a \cdot b) c_{2} \\
(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{c}) b_{3}-(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}) c_{3}
\end{array}\right. \\
= & (\underline{a} \cdot \underline{c}) \underline{b}-(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}) \underline{c}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 1.1 Proof of Problem 1.1-Equation 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[x d)=4} \\
& \begin{array}{l|l}
a_{2} d_{2}-a_{3} d_{2} & b_{2} c_{3}-b_{3} c_{2} \\
a_{3} d_{1}-a_{1} d_{3} & b_{3} c_{1}-b_{1} c_{3}=A \\
a_{1} d_{2}-a_{2} d_{1} & b_{1} c_{2}-b_{2} c_{1}
\end{array} \\
& a_{i} b_{i} c_{j d j}-a_{k c k} b_{l} d \rho=B \\
& A=\left\{a_{2} b_{2} c_{3} d_{3}-a_{2} d_{2} b_{3} d_{3}-a_{3} c_{3} b_{2} d_{2}+a_{3} b_{3} c_{2} d_{2}\right. \\
& +a_{3} b_{3} c_{1} d_{1}-a_{3} c_{3} b_{1} d_{1}-a_{1} d_{1} b_{3} d_{3}+a_{1} b_{1} c_{3} d_{3} \\
& +a_{1} b_{1} c_{2} d_{2}-a_{1} c_{1} b_{2} d_{2}-a_{2} c_{2} b_{1} d_{1}+a_{2} b_{2} c_{1} d_{1} \\
& =a_{1} b_{1}\left(c_{2} d_{2}+c_{3} d_{3}\right)+a_{2} b_{2}\left(b_{1} d_{1}+c_{3} d_{3}\right) \\
& +a_{3} b_{3}\left(c_{1} d_{1}+c_{2} d_{2}\right) \\
& -a_{1} c_{1}\left(b_{2} d_{2}+b_{3} d_{3}\right)-a_{2} c_{2}\left(b_{1} d_{1}+b_{3} d_{3}\right) \\
& -a_{3} c_{3}\left(b_{1} d_{1}+b_{2} d_{2}\right) \\
& +a_{1} b_{1} c_{1} d_{1}+a_{2} b_{2} c_{2} d_{2}+a_{3} b_{3} c_{3} d_{3} \\
& -a_{1} c_{1} b_{1} d_{1}-a_{2} c_{2} b_{2} d_{2}-a_{3} c_{3} b_{3} d_{3} \\
& =a_{1} b_{1}(\underline{c} \cdot d)+a_{2} b_{2}(c \cdot d)+a_{3} b_{3}(c \cdot d) \\
& -a_{1} c_{1}(b-d)-a_{2} c_{2}(b \cdot d)-a_{3} c_{3}(b \cdot d) \\
& =(\underline{a}, \underline{b})(\underline{c} \cdot d)-(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{c})(\underline{b} \cdot d)=B r
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 1.2 Proof of Problem 1.1 -Equation 2.

Jacabi's formula:
 $\begin{aligned}(a, c) b-(a \cdot b) c & +(b, a) c-(b \cdot c) a \\ & +(c \cdot b) a-(c, a) b=00\end{aligned}$

Figure 1.3 Proof of Problem 1.1 -Equation 3.
1.2 For a $2 \times 2$ matrix $[A]$, show that:

$$
\operatorname{det}(A)=A_{11} A_{22}-A_{12} A_{21}
$$

For a $3 \times 3$ matrix, show that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(A)= & A_{11} \operatorname{det}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{22} & A_{23} \\
A_{32} & A_{33}
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& -A_{12} \operatorname{det}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{21} & A_{23} \\
A_{31} & A_{33}
\end{array}\right]\right)+A_{13} \operatorname{det}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{21} & A_{22} \\
A_{31} & A_{32}
\end{array}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution: see Figure 1.4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[A]_{2 \times 2} \quad S_{1}=\{1,2\} \quad S_{2}=\{2,1\} \quad \operatorname{sigu}(\sigma)_{s_{1}}=+1 \quad \sin (\sigma)_{s_{2}}=-1} \\
& \operatorname{det} A=\frac{+A_{1}, A_{22}}{S_{1}}-\underbrace{A_{12} A_{21}}_{S_{2}} \\
& {[A]_{3 \times 3} \quad S_{1}=\{1,2,3\} \quad \text { sign }(\sigma)=1} \\
& s_{2}=\{1,3,2\} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
S_{5}=\{2,3,1\} \\
S_{4}=\{3,1,2\}
\end{array} \\
& \mathbb{S}_{4} S_{2}=\{3,1,2\} \quad \operatorname{siq}(\sigma)=1 \\
& S_{3}=\{2,3,1\} \quad \operatorname{sign}_{j}(\sigma)=1 \\
& S_{4}=\{1,3,2\} \quad \operatorname{sig}(\sigma)=-1 \\
& S_{S}=\{3,2,1\} \quad \operatorname{sig}(\sigma)=-1 \\
& S_{6}=\{2,1,3\} \quad \operatorname{sig}(\pi)=-1 \\
& \operatorname{det} A=+A_{11} A_{22} A_{33}+A_{13} A_{21} A_{32}+A_{12} A_{23} A_{31} \\
& -A_{11} A_{23} A_{32}-A_{13} A_{22} A_{31}-A_{22} A_{21} A_{33} \\
& =A_{11} \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{22} & A_{23} \\
A_{32} & A_{33}
\end{array}\right)+A_{13} \text { set }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{21} & A_{22} \\
A_{21} & A_{32}
\end{array}\right)-A_{12}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{21} & 2 \\
A_{23} \\
A_{31} & A_{33}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 1.4 Solution of Problem 1.2.
1.3 Find the eigenvalues of $[A]$, and for each eigenvalue, find an eigenvector, where:

$$
[A]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-3 & 15 \\
3 & 9
\end{array}\right]
$$

Solution: see Figure 1.5.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}(A-\lambda 1)=(-3-\lambda)(9-\lambda)-45 \\
&=-27+3 \lambda-9 \lambda+\lambda^{2}-45 \\
&= \lambda^{2}-6 \lambda-72 \\
& \Delta=\sqrt{36+4 \times 72} \\
&=\sqrt{9 \times 36}=18 \\
& \lambda_{1}=\frac{6+18}{2} \quad, \quad \lambda_{2}=\frac{6-18}{2} \\
& \lambda_{1}=12 \quad, \quad \lambda_{2}=-6
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
[A]\left\{v_{1}\right\}=\lambda_{1}\left\{v_{t}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-3 v_{1 x}+15 v_{i y}=\lambda_{1} v_{1 x}=12 v_{1 x} \rightarrow v_{i x}=v_{1 y} \\
& 2 \rightarrow v_{i x}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
3 v_{i x}+9 v_{1 g}=d_{y v_{i g}}=12 v_{i y} \rightarrow v_{i x}=v_{1 y} \text { (elite) }
$$

$$
\vec{v}_{1}=\{1,1\} \text { works }
$$

$$
[A]\left\{v_{2}\right\}=12\left\{v_{2}\right\}
$$

$$
-3 v_{2 x}+15 v_{2 y}=-6 v_{2 x}
$$

$$
S_{v_{2 y}}=-v_{2 x}
$$

$$
\overrightarrow{v_{2}}=\left\{5_{1}-1\right\} \quad \text { works }
$$

Figure 1.5 Solution of Problem 1.3.
1.4 Let us consider an Euclidian space of dimension 3, with the Cartesian coordinate system $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)$. In the following, tensors noted in lower case are vectors, tensors noted with capital letters are tensors of order 2 and tensors noted in calligraphic font are tensors of order 4. Develop the following expressions in index notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \mathcal{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}, \quad \boldsymbol{a} \otimes \boldsymbol{b}: \mathcal{T}, \quad \mathcal{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{A} \vdots \boldsymbol{B} \otimes \boldsymbol{c} \\
& \boldsymbol{a} \otimes \boldsymbol{b}: \mathcal{T}: \boldsymbol{c} \otimes \boldsymbol{d}, \quad \mathcal{T} \vdots \boldsymbol{a} \otimes \boldsymbol{b} \otimes \boldsymbol{c} \otimes \boldsymbol{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \mathcal{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}=a_{i} \mathcal{T}_{i j k l} b_{l} \boldsymbol{e}_{j} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{k} \\
\boldsymbol{a} \otimes \boldsymbol{b}: \mathcal{T}=a_{i} b_{j} \mathcal{T}_{j i k} \boldsymbol{e}_{j} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{k} \\
\mathcal{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{A}: \boldsymbol{B} \otimes \boldsymbol{c}=\mathcal{T}_{i j k l} A_{m n} B_{n m} \mathcal{T}_{l o p q} \boldsymbol{e}_{i} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{j} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{k} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{o} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{p} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{q} \\
\boldsymbol{a} \otimes \boldsymbol{b}: \mathcal{T}: \boldsymbol{c} \otimes \boldsymbol{d}=a_{i} b_{j} \mathcal{T}_{j i k l} c_{l} d_{k} \\
\mathcal{T} \vdots \boldsymbol{a} \otimes \boldsymbol{b} \otimes \boldsymbol{c} \otimes \boldsymbol{d}=\mathcal{T}_{i j k l} a_{l} b_{k} c_{j} d_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

1.5 Prove the formulas given in the following Table:

|  | Cartesian Coordinates | Cylindrical Coordinates | Spherical Coordinates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conversion to Cartesian Coordinates |  | $x=\rho \cos \varphi \quad y=\rho \sin \varphi \quad z=z$ | $\begin{gathered} x=r \cos \varphi \sin \theta \quad y=r \sin \varphi \sin \theta \\ z=r \cos \theta \end{gathered}$ |
| Vector $A$ | $A_{x} i+A_{y} j+A_{z} k$ | $A_{\rho} \hat{\rho}+A_{\varphi} \hat{\varphi}+A_{z} \hat{z}$ | $A_{r} \hat{r}+A_{\theta} \hat{\theta}+A_{\varphi} \widehat{\varphi}$ |
| Gradient $\nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{\prime}$ | $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} i+\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} j+\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} k$ | $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \rho} \widehat{\rho}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \varphi} \widehat{\varphi}+\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \widehat{z}$ | $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \hat{r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta} \widehat{\theta}+\frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \varphi} \widehat{\varphi}$ |
| Divergence $\nabla \cdot A$ | $\frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial A_{z}}{\partial z}$ | $\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial\left(\rho A_{\rho}\right)}{\partial \rho}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial A_{\varphi}}{\partial \varphi}+\frac{\partial A_{z}}{\partial z}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial\left(r^{2} A_{r}\right)}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial A_{\theta} \sin \theta}{\partial \theta} \\ +\frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial A_{\varphi}}{\partial \varphi} \end{array}$ |
| Curl $\nabla \times A$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ccc}i & j & k \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ A_{x} & A_{y} & A_{z}\end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{1}{\rho} \widehat{\rho} & \widehat{\varphi} & \frac{1}{\rho} \hat{z} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ A_{\rho} & \rho A_{\varphi} & A_{z}\end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin \theta} \hat{r} & \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \hat{\theta} & \frac{1}{r} \widehat{\varphi} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \\ A_{r} & r A_{\theta} & r A_{\varphi} \sin \theta\end{array}\right\|$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Laplacian } \\ & \quad \nabla^{2} \phi \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}$ | $\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\left(\rho \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \rho}\right)+\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \varphi^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin \theta} \end{array} \begin{array}{r} \partial \theta\left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta}\right) \\ \\ +\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \varphi^{2}} \end{array}$ |

## Solution:

1.6 A force of magnitude F acts in a direction radially away from the axes origin, at a point with coordinates $(a / 3,2 b / 3,2 c / 3)$ on the surface of the ellipsoid of equation:

$$
\left(\frac{x_{1}}{a}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{x_{2}}{b}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{x_{3}}{c}\right)^{2}=1
$$

Determine the component of the force in the direction normal to the surface.

Solution: see Figure 1.6.

Compurant of $\vec{F}$ in direction mound to upu, $\vec{F}$ :

$$
\bar{F}=2 F \times \frac{9 a^{2} b^{2} c^{2}}{2\left(b_{b}^{2}+4 a^{2} c^{2}+4 b^{2} a^{2}\right)}
$$

$$
\bar{F}=F_{\times} \frac{q}{\frac{1}{a^{2}}+\frac{4}{b^{2}}+\frac{4}{c^{2}}}
$$

Figure 1.6 Solution of Problem 1.6.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{M}=\frac{\underline{\nabla} T}{|\underline{\nabla} T|} \quad \text { with surface } T\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=c s t \\
& \nabla T(x)=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
2 x_{1} / a^{2} & \nabla T\left(x_{1}^{0}, x_{i}, x_{3}^{0}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2}{3 a} \\
2 x_{2} / b^{2} \\
2 x_{3} / c^{2}
\end{array}\right| \frac{4}{3 b} \\
\frac{4}{3 c}
\end{array}\right. \\
& |\underline{\nabla} T|\left(\underline{x}^{0}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{4}{9 a^{2}}+\frac{16}{9 b^{2}}+\frac{16}{9 c^{2}}} \\
& \text { (a) } \frac{2}{3)^{2} c}=\frac{2}{3 a b c} \sqrt{b^{2} c^{2}+4 a^{2} c^{2}+4 b^{2} a^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

1.7 In the following, $U$ is a scalar and $\boldsymbol{a}$ is a vector. Prove the following equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} U)=\Delta U \\
\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times(\boldsymbol{\nabla} U)=\mathbf{0} \\
\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{a})=\mathbf{0} \\
\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{a})=\boldsymbol{\nabla}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{a})-\Delta \boldsymbol{a}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Solution:

See Figure 1.7 for the proofs of:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} U)=\Delta U \\
& \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times(\boldsymbol{\nabla} U)=\mathbf{0} \\
& \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{a})=\mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

See Figure 1.8 for the proof of:

$$
\nabla \times(\nabla \times a)=\nabla(\nabla \cdot a)-\Delta a
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{div}(\underline{\nabla} U)=\nabla\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{i}} e_{i}\right): \underline{I}=\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}} \operatorname{ei\otimes ej}\right) \delta_{j_{i}} e_{i v e} \underline{I} \\
& =\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial^{2} x_{i}}=d \\
& \underset{\sim}{\nabla}(\underline{\nabla} u)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\partial / \partial x_{1} \\
\partial / \partial x_{2} \\
\partial / x_{3}
\end{array} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\partial v / \partial x_{1} \\
\partial u / \partial x_{2} \\
\left.\partial u / \partial x_{3}+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{3}}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{3} \partial x_{2}}\right)-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{3}}-\frac{e_{1}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{3}}\right) e_{2}
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
& +\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{3}} e_{3} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 1.7 Solution of Problem 1.7 -Equations 1-3.


Figure 1.8 Solution of Problem 1.7 - Equation 4.
1.8 In the following, $U$ and $V$ are scalars and $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ are vectors. Prove the following equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{\nabla}(U V)=V \boldsymbol{\nabla}(U)+U \boldsymbol{\nabla}(V) \\
\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot(U \boldsymbol{a})=\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}(U)+U(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}) \\
\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times(U \boldsymbol{a})=\boldsymbol{\nabla}(U) \times \boldsymbol{a}+U(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{a}) \\
\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b})=\boldsymbol{b} \cdot(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{a})-\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{b}) \\
\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b})=(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}) \boldsymbol{a}-(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}) \boldsymbol{b}+(\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{a}-(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{b} \\
\boldsymbol{\nabla}(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})=\boldsymbol{a} \times(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{b})+\boldsymbol{b} \times(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{a})+(\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{a}+(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{b}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Solution:

See Figure 1.9 for the proofs of:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{\nabla}(U V)=V \boldsymbol{\nabla}(U)+U \boldsymbol{\nabla}(V) \\
\nabla \cdot(U \boldsymbol{a})=\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}(U)+U(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}) \\
\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times(U \boldsymbol{a})=\boldsymbol{\nabla}(U) \times \boldsymbol{a}+U(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{a}) \\
\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b})=\boldsymbol{b} \cdot(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{a})-\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{b})
\end{gathered}
$$

See Figure 1.10 for the proof of:

$$
\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times(\boldsymbol{a} \times b)=(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot b) a-(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}) b+(b \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) a-(a \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) b
$$

See Figure 1.11 for the proof of:

$$
\nabla(a \cdot b)=a \times(\nabla \times b)+b \times(\nabla \times a)+(b \cdot \nabla) a+(a \cdot \nabla) b
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\nabla}(U V)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}(U V) e_{i}=\frac{V U}{\partial x_{i}} e_{i}+\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot U \underline{e}_{i} \\
& =V \underline{\nabla} U+U \underline{\nabla} V \\
& \underline{\nabla}_{-}(U \underline{a})=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} e_{i} \cdot\left(\operatorname{Uaj}_{j}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial(U a j)}{\partial x_{i}} \underline{e}_{i} \cdot e_{j} \\
& =\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{i}} e_{q} \text { aj} \cdot e_{j}+\frac{\partial a_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} U_{e_{j}} \cdot g_{j} \\
& =\underline{\nabla} u \cdot \underline{a}+\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{a} \\
& \underline{\underline{\nabla}} \times\left(U_{\underline{a}}\right)=\bar{e}_{i, k} \frac{\partial\left(U_{a k}\right)}{\partial x_{j}} \\
& =\bar{e}_{i j h} U \frac{\partial a h}{\partial x_{j}}+\bar{e}_{i j h} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{j}} a h \\
& =\operatorname{U} U \times \underline{a}+\underline{\nabla} U \times \underline{a} \\
& \underline{\nabla} \cdot(\underline{a} \times \underline{b})=\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{i}}\left(\bar{e}_{i j h} a_{j} b_{k}\right) \\
& =\bar{e}_{i j k} \frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} b k+\bar{e}_{i j k} \frac{\partial b k}{\partial x_{i}} a_{j} \\
& =+\bar{e}_{i k j} \frac{\partial b_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} a k \\
& =-\bar{e}_{i j k} \frac{\partial b_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} a \\
& =\bar{e}_{j k}\left(\frac{\partial a_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} b_{k}-\frac{\partial b_{j}}{\partial r_{i}} a k\right) \\
& =e_{i j k}\left(\frac{\partial a j}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{c k}{}\right) \cdot b R e f-\bar{e}_{i j k}\left(\frac{\partial b j}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{e k}{)}\right) \cdot a b d \in \\
& =(\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{a}) \cdot \underline{b}-(\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{b}) \cdot \underline{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 1.9 Solution of Problem 1.8 -Equations 1-4.


$$
\begin{aligned}
1^{s r} \text { term: }= & a_{1}\left(\frac{\partial b_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial b_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}+\frac{\partial b_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)-b_{1}\left(\frac{\partial a_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial a_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}+\frac{\partial a_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\right) \\
& +d a_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}-a_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} b_{1}-a_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} b_{1}+b_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} a_{1} \\
& -a_{1} \frac{\partial b_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+b_{1} \frac{\partial a_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \\
= & a_{1}(\underline{\nabla}, \underline{b})-b_{1}(\underline{\underline{D}}: \underline{a})+(\underline{b}, \underline{\nabla}) a_{1}-(\underline{a}, \underline{\nabla}) b_{9}
\end{aligned}
$$

With all trons similar:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\nabla} \times(\underline{a} \times \underline{b})= & \underline{a}(\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{b})-\underline{b}(\underline{\nabla}+\underline{a}) \\
& +(\underline{b} \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{a}-(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 1.10 Solution of Problem 1.8 -Equation 5.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\nabla}(\underline{a}, \underline{b})=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\text { ahbik) } \underline{e_{i}}\right. \\
& =\frac{\partial a k}{\partial x_{i}} b k_{i}+\frac{\partial b_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \text { ak ei }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +a_{j} \cdot e_{j} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \text { brek }}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \text { oei } \\
& \underline{a} \times(\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{b})=\widetilde{(\underline{a} \cdot b) \underline{\nabla}}-(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{b} \\
& \underline{b} \times(\underline{\Sigma} \times \underline{a})=(\underline{b}-\underline{a}) \underline{\nabla}-(\underline{b}, \underline{\nabla}) \underline{a} \\
& \underline{a} \times(\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{b})+\underline{b} \times(\underline{\underline{P}} \times \underline{a})+(\underline{b} \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{a}+(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{\nabla}) \underline{b} \\
& =(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}) \underline{\nabla}+(\underline{b} \cdot \underline{a}) \underline{\nabla} \\
& =2(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}) \nabla
\end{aligned}
$$

$\underline{\nabla}(\underline{q} \cdot \underline{b})=\sum_{i, k} \frac{\partial a_{k}}{\partial z_{i}} b k e_{i}+\sum_{i, k} \frac{\partial b_{k}}{\partial x_{i}}$ akci

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{i}\left(\sum_{k}\left(\frac{\partial a_{k} b k_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)\right)+\cdots \\
& =\sum_{i}\left(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} e_{i}\right)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ does not undy bo a oub

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}) \underline{\nabla}+(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}) \nabla \\
& =2(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}) \underline{\nabla}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 1.11 Solution of Problem 1.8-Equation 6.

### 1.9 Homework 1 - Problem 1

We define the third-order permutation tensor $\overline{\boldsymbol{e}}$ as follows:
$\forall i, j, k, \quad \bar{e}_{i j k}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}0 \text { when two indices are equal } \\ +1 \text { when the indices are 1,2,3 or an even permutation of 1,2,3 } \\ -1 \text { when the indices are an odd permutation of } 1,2,3\end{array}\right.$

1. Show that the components of the permutation tensor can be calculated as follows:

$$
\bar{e}_{i j k}=\frac{1}{2}(i-j)(j-k)(k-i)
$$

2. Calculate hte numerical expression of the following:

$$
\delta_{i j} \delta_{i j} ; \quad \bar{e}_{i j k} \bar{e}_{i j k} ; \quad \delta_{i j} \bar{e}_{i j k} ; \quad \bar{e}_{i j k} \bar{e}_{k j i}
$$

## Solution:

1. Let us pose $A=(i-j)(j-k)(k-i) / 2$. By construction, $(i-j)(j-k)(k-i)$ is equal to zero whenever two indices are equal. So $A=0$ whenever two indices are equal. Now suppose that $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ and k are all different from one another. There are six possible sets of indices, including three even permutations: $(1,2,3) ;(3,1,2)$ and $(2,3,1)$, and including three odd permutations: $(1,3,2) ;(2,1,3)$ and $(3,2,1)$. If we test each of the six sets in the expression of $A$, we find that $A=1$ when permutations are even and $A=-1$ for odd permutations. As a result, $A=\bar{e}_{i j k}$.
2. Let us calculate the given expressions.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{i j} \delta_{i j}=\delta_{i i}=\sum_{i=1}^{1}(1)=3 \\
\bar{e}_{i j k} \bar{e}_{i j k}=\sum_{i, j, k}\left(\bar{e}_{i j k}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i}(1)=6
\end{gathered}
$$

since there exists six sets of permutations for which the three indices are different from one another.

$$
\delta_{i j} \bar{e}_{i j k}=\bar{e}_{i i k}=0
$$

since the permutation tensor is zero whenever two indices are equal.

$$
\bar{e}_{i j k} \bar{e}_{k j i}=\bar{e}_{i j k} \times\left(-\bar{e}_{i j k}\right)=-\bar{e}_{i j k} \bar{e}_{i j k}=-6
$$

according to the previous calculations.

### 1.10 Homework 1 - Problem 2

In the following, $\underline{v}$ and $\underline{r}$ are vectors and $\phi$ is a scalar. Prove the following relations:

$$
\underline{\nabla} \times(\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v})=\underline{\nabla} \cdot(\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{v})-\underline{\nabla}^{2}(\underline{v})
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\nabla} \times(\phi \underline{v})= \phi(\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v})-\underline{v} \times \underline{\nabla} \phi \\
& \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{r}=3 \\
& \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{r}=\underline{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

in which $\underline{r}=x_{i} \underline{e}_{i}$ is the position vector, and $r=\sqrt{x_{i} x_{i}}$ is the magnitude of the position vector. Hint: Use index notations to prove the relations above.

## Solution:

For the first relationship:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\nabla} \times(\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v}) \cdot \underline{e}_{1} & =\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial x_{3}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} v_{3}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{3}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}\right)-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v_{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v_{3}}{\partial x_{3}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}(\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{v})-\underline{\nabla}^{2}(\underline{v}) \cdot \underline{e}_{1} \\
& =\underline{\nabla}(\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{v}) \cdot \underline{e}_{1}-\underline{\nabla}^{2}(\underline{v}) \cdot \underline{e}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the same result can be obtained for the other components, from which we deduce:

$$
\underline{\nabla} \times(\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v})=\underline{\nabla} \cdot(\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{v})-\underline{\nabla}^{2}(\underline{v})
$$

For the second relationship, we can use the distributivity of the tensorial product:

$$
\underline{\nabla} \times(\phi \underline{v})=\underline{\nabla} \phi \times \underline{v}+\phi(\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v})=\phi(\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v})-\underline{v} \times \underline{\nabla} \phi
$$

For the third relationship:

$$
\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{r}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{i=j}^{3} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \underline{e}_{i} \cdot \underline{e}_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{i=j}^{3} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \delta_{i j}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{3}(1)=3
$$

For the fourth relationship:

$$
\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{r}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial x_{3}}{\partial x_{2}}-\frac{\partial x_{2}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial x_{3}}-\frac{\partial x_{3}}{\partial x_{1}} \\
\frac{\partial x_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}-\frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}
\end{array}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

## CHAPTER 2

## ELEMENTS OF CONTINUUM MECHANICS

## PROBLEMS

2.1 We consider the 2D domain $0<x_{1}<L,-c<x_{2}<c$ (in Cartesian coordinates). We define: $I=4 c^{3} / 3$. The state of stress in the domain is given as follows:
$\sigma_{11}=\frac{p}{I}\left(x_{1}^{2} x_{2}-\frac{2}{3} x_{2}^{3}\right), \quad \sigma_{22}=\frac{p}{I}\left(\frac{1}{3} x_{2}^{3}-c^{2} x_{2}+\frac{2}{3} c^{3}\right), \quad \sigma_{12}=\frac{p}{I}\left(\left(c^{2}-x_{2}^{2}\right) x_{1}\right)$
a. Show that the state of stress is in equilibrium, i.e., $\underline{\operatorname{div}}(\underline{\underline{\sigma}})=\underline{0}$.
b. Calculate the state of stress on each of the four sides of the domain.
c. Calculate the resulting force that is applied on the face $x_{1}=x_{10}$ by the part of the domain $x_{1} \geq x_{10}$. Calculate the resulting moment at the point $\left(x_{10}, 0\right)$.
d. Suppose that $c \ll L$. Give a loading boundary condition that approximates the stress field given in the equation above.
e. Numerical application: consider a plane wing (shaped as a parallelepiped) that is 20 m long (i.e., $\mathrm{L}=20 \mathrm{~m}$ ), and that has a half width $\mathrm{c}=1 \mathrm{~cm}$. The wing is subjected to a uniformly distributed lifting surface force $p=C_{z} \rho_{a} V^{2} / 2$. We give: $C_{z}=0.8 ; \mathrm{V}=200 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} ; \rho_{a}=1$ $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Calculate $\sigma_{11}^{\max }$.

## Solution:

a. Calculate:

$$
\frac{\partial \sigma_{i j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{\partial x_{j}} \quad \text { for } \mathrm{i}=1,2
$$

and verify that $\underline{\operatorname{div}}(\underline{\underline{\sigma}})=\underline{0}$.
b. On the top face, $x_{2}=+c$ and the normal vector is $e_{2}$, therefore the stress tensor components are:

$$
\sigma_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}=+c\right)=0, \quad \sigma_{22}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}=+c\right)=0
$$

On the right face, $x_{1}=+L$ and the normal vector is $\boldsymbol{e}_{1}$, therefore the stress tensor components are:
$\sigma_{11}\left(x_{1}=L, x_{2}\right)=\frac{3 p}{4}\left(\frac{L^{2} x_{2}}{c^{3}}-\frac{2 x_{2}^{3}}{3 c^{3}}\right), \quad \sigma_{21}\left(x_{1}=L, x_{2}\right)=\sigma_{12}\left(L, x_{2}\right)=\frac{3 p L}{4 c}\left(1-\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{c^{2}}\right)$
On the bottom face, $x_{2}=-c$ and the normal vector is $-\boldsymbol{e}_{2}$, therefore the stress tensor components are:

$$
\sigma_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}=-c\right)=0, \quad \sigma_{22}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}=-c\right)=p
$$

On the left face, $x_{1}=0$ and the normal vector is $-\boldsymbol{e}_{1}$, therefore the stress tensor components are:

$$
\sigma_{12}\left(x_{1}=0, x_{2}\right)=0, \quad \sigma_{22}\left(x_{1}=0, x_{2}\right)=-\frac{p x_{2}^{3}}{2 c^{3}}
$$

c. The traction $\underline{F}$ on the face of equation $x_{1}=x_{10}$ and extending from $x_{2}=-C$ to $x_{2}=+c$ can be written in terms of the surface distribution of tractions $\underline{t}$ that are applied on that face, as follows:

$$
\underline{F}=\int_{-c}^{+c} \underline{t} d x_{2}
$$

Note that here, a "surface" distribution is actually a line distribution, since the problem is 2D. Using the definition of Cauchy's stress tensor:

$$
\underline{F}=\int_{-c}^{+c} \underline{\underline{\sigma}} \cdot \underline{n} d x_{2}
$$

in which here, $\underline{n}=\underline{e}_{1}$, and so:

$$
\forall i=1,2, \quad F_{i}=\int_{-c}^{+c} \sigma_{i 1} d x_{2}
$$

We find:

$$
F_{1}=0, \quad F_{2}=p x_{10}
$$

The moment about the point of coordinates $\left(x_{10}, 0\right)$, is, by definition:

$$
\underline{\mathcal{M}}=\int_{-c}^{+c} \underline{r} \times \underline{t} d x_{2}
$$

in which $\underline{r}=\left(x_{1}-x_{10}\right) \underline{e}_{1}+x_{2} \underline{e}_{2}$ is the vector position. Since $x_{1}=x_{10}$ on the face:

$$
\int_{-c}^{+c}\left(x_{1}-x_{10}\right) \sigma_{21} d x_{2}=0
$$

So the moment reduces to:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}=-e_{3} \int_{-c}^{+c} x_{2} \sigma_{11} d x_{2}=-e_{\mathbf{3}} \frac{p}{I} \int_{-c}^{+c}\left(\left(x_{10}\right)^{2}\left(x_{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{2}{3}\left(x_{2}\right)^{4}\right) \\
\mathcal{M}=-\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{3}} \frac{2}{3} \frac{p}{I}\left(x_{10}\right)^{2} c^{3}+\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{3}} \frac{4}{15} \frac{p}{I}(c)^{5} \\
\mathcal{M}=-\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{3}} \frac{p}{2}\left(x_{10}\right)^{2}+\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{3}} \frac{p}{5} c^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

d. If the beam is slender, $c \ll L, \sigma_{11}\left(0, x_{2}\right)$ is of order 0 in $L / c$ while $\sigma_{11}\left(L, x_{2}\right)$ and $\sigma_{12}\left(L, x_{2}\right)$ are of order $(L / c)^{2}$ and $L / c$, respectively. The force that acts on the face $x_{1}=0$ becomes negligible. Stresses reach a maximum at $x_{1}=L$. Stresses are almost uniaxial since $\sigma_{12}$ is one order of magnitude less than $\sigma_{11}$. It can also be noted that $\sigma_{11}$ is linear in $x_{2}$.
e. We find $p=16,000 \mathrm{~Pa}$ and:

$$
\sigma_{11}^{\max }=\sigma_{11}(L, c) \simeq \frac{3 p L^{2}}{4 c^{2}} \simeq 5 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

2.2 By considering the initial state of stress $\sigma_{i j}$ at a point and a general increment of stress $\Delta \sigma_{i j}$, determine which of the stress invariants $I$ allows superposition such that: $I_{\text {final }}=I_{\text {initial }}+I_{\text {incremental }}$.

## Solution:

The first invariant is the only invariant that is linear in stress and that can satisfy the superposition equation.
2.3 The stress state at a point is given as:

$$
[\sigma]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
4 & 3 & 2 \\
3 & 5 & 1 \\
2 & 1 & 6
\end{array}\right] \quad[\mathrm{kPa}]
$$

a. Determine the stress invariants $I_{1}, I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ at the point.
b. Determine the invariants $J_{1}, J_{2}$ and $J_{3}$ of the deviatoric stress tensor $s_{i j}$.

## Solution:

a. Invariants of $[\sigma]$, calculated with MATLAB:

$$
I_{1}=\operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})=15, \quad I_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left((\operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}))^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\right)\right)=60, \quad I_{3}=\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})=54
$$

b. Deviatoric stress tensor $[s]=[\sigma]-p[I]$ with $p=\operatorname{Tr}([\sigma]) / 3$ :

$$
[s]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
-1 & 3 & 2 \\
3 & 0 & 1 \\
2 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad[\mathrm{kPa}]
$$

Invariants of the deviatoric stress, calculated with MATLAB:

$$
J_{1}=\operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})=0 \quad J_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(s^{2}\right)=15, \quad J_{3}=\operatorname{det}(s)=4
$$

2.4 Consider a point in plane stress, at which the state of stress is given by: $\sigma_{x x}=2 \mathrm{MPa}$, $\sigma_{y y}=-1 \mathrm{MPa}$ and $\sigma_{x y}=0.5 \mathrm{MPa}$. For the given state of stress:
a. Draw Mohr's circle.
b. Determine the orientation of the principal planes and the corresponding principal stresses. c. Determine the state of stress after the element has been rotated through and angle of $30^{\circ}$ clockwise.

## Solution:

a. To draw Mohr's circle (not represented here), calculate the coordinates of the center $\mathrm{C}\left(\sigma_{a v g}, 0\right)$ and calculate the radius $R$ :

$$
\sigma_{a v g}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{x x}+\sigma_{y y}\right)=0.5 \mathrm{MPa}, \quad R=\sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma_{x x}-\sigma_{y y}}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{x y}\right)^{2}} \simeq 1.58 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

b. Principal stresses, from Mohr's circle:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{1}=O P_{1}=0 C+C P_{1}=\sigma_{a v g}+R=2.08 \mathrm{MPa} \\
\sigma_{2}=O P_{2}=0 C-C P_{2}=\sigma_{a v g}-R=-1.08 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{gathered}
$$

Orientation of the principal planes, from Mohr's circle:

$$
\tan 2 \theta_{p}=\frac{A A^{\prime}}{C A}=\frac{\sigma_{x y}}{\left(\sigma_{x x}-\sigma_{y y}\right) / 2}=\frac{1}{3}, \quad \Rightarrow \theta_{p}=9^{\circ}+k * 90^{\circ}, k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

c. State of stress after rotation by $-30^{\circ}$, using Mohr's circle:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{x^{\prime} x^{\prime}}=C D^{\prime}=O C+C D^{\prime}=\sigma_{a v g}+R \cos \left(2 * 30^{\circ}+2 \theta_{p}\right)=829 \mathrm{kPa} \\
\sigma_{y^{\prime} y^{\prime}}=C E^{\prime}=O C-C E^{\prime}=\sigma_{a v g}-R \cos \left(2 * 30^{\circ}+2 \theta_{p}\right)=171 \mathrm{kPa} \\
\sigma_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}}=D D^{\prime}=R \sin \left(2 * 30^{\circ}+2 \theta_{p}\right)=1.55 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{gathered}
$$

2.5 A steel penstock has a $750-\mathrm{mm}$ outer diameter, a $12-\mathrm{mm}$ wall thickness and connects a reservoir at A with a generating station at B , as shown in Figure 2.1. Knowing that the density of water is $1000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, determine the maximum normal stress and the maximum shearing stress in the penstock under static conditions. Hint: in a cylindrical pressurized vessel (i.e., in a cylindrical container that has a radius that is large compared to the thickness of the shell, and in which the pressure inside is larger than the pressure outside), the hoop stress is equal to $p r / t$ and the longitudinal stress is equal to $p r / 2 t$, in which $p$ is the pressure of the fluid inside the vessel, $r$ is the inner radius of the vessel and $t$ is the thickness of the shell.


Figure 2.1 Penstock studied in Problem 2.5.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p=\rho_{w} g h=2.94 \mathrm{MPa} \\
\sigma_{1}=\frac{p r}{t}=89 \mathrm{MPa} \\
\sigma_{3}=\sigma_{z}=0 \mathrm{MPa} \\
\tau_{\max }=\frac{\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}}{2}=44.5 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{gathered}
$$

2.6 Square plates, each of $16-\mathrm{mm}$ thickness, can be bent and welded together in either of the two ways shown to form the cylindrical portion of a compressed air tank, as shown in Figure Figure 2.2. Knowing that the allowable normal stress perpendicular to the weld is 65 MPa , determine the largest allowable gage pressure in each case. Use the same hint as in Problem 2.5.

## Solution:

Configuration (a):
It is required that:

$$
\sigma_{1}=\frac{p r}{t} \leq \sigma_{\text {allow }}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{p r}{2 t} \leq \sigma_{\text {allow }}
$$



Figure 2.2 Compressed air tanks studied in Problem 2.6.
which is satisfied as long as:

$$
\frac{p r}{t} \leq \sigma_{a l l o w}
$$

from which we get:

$$
p \leq \frac{t \sigma_{\text {allow }}}{r}=419 \mathrm{kPa}
$$

Configuration (b):
A rotation by $45^{\circ}$ in the physical plane corresponds to a rotation by an angle of $90^{\circ}$ in the stress plane (in Mohr?s circle). At $90^{\circ}$ from P1 (maximum principal stress), the stress in Mohr?s circle is:

$$
\sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\sigma_{2}^{\prime}=\sigma_{a v g}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{p r}{t}+\frac{p r}{2 t}\right)=\frac{3 p r}{4 t}
$$

As a result, it is required that:

$$
\frac{3 p r}{4 t} \leq \sigma_{a l l o w}
$$

from which we get:

$$
\frac{3 p r}{4 t} \leq \frac{4 t \sigma_{\text {allow }}}{3 r}=558 \mathrm{kPa}
$$

Configuration (b) is $33 \%$ more efficient than configuration (a).
2.7 Show which of the following strain states satisfies the compatibility condition:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{3}=0, \quad \epsilon_{11}=\frac{\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)}{a^{2}}, \quad \epsilon_{22}=\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{a^{2}}, \quad \epsilon_{12}=\frac{x_{1} x_{2}}{a^{2}} \\
u_{3}=0, \quad \epsilon_{11}=\frac{x_{3}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)}{a^{3}}, \quad \epsilon_{22}=\frac{x_{2}^{2} x_{3}}{a^{3}}, \quad \epsilon_{12}=\frac{x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}}{a^{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Solution:

For the first strain state, we are in plane strain since all the derivatives by $x_{3}$ are zero and the displacement along direction 3 is zero. In the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \epsilon_{i j}}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{l}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \epsilon_{k l}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial^{2} \epsilon_{i k}}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{l}}-\frac{\partial^{2} \epsilon_{j l}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{k}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the possible combination of indexes $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{l})$ are

| $(1,1,1,1)$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(2,1,1,1)$ | $(1,2,1,1)$ | $(1,1,2,1)$ | $(1,1,1,2)$ |
| $(2,2,1,1)$ | $(1,2,2,1)$ | $(1,1,2,2)$ | $(2,1,1,2)$ |
| $(2,2,2,1)$ | $(1,2,2,2)$ | $(2,1,2,2)$ | $(2,2,1,2)$ |
| $(2,2,2,2)$ |  |  |  |

and we check that the expression in Equation 2.1 is zero in all cases, which means that the strain state satisfies the compatibility condition.
For the second strain state, we are not in a plane strain condition because not all the derivatives about $x_{3}$ are zero. The expression in Equation 2.1 is not zero for all possible combination of indexes. In particular, for $(i, j, k, l)=(1,2,3,2)$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\frac{\partial^{2} \epsilon_{12}}{\partial x_{3} \partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \epsilon_{32}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} \epsilon_{13}}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} \epsilon_{22}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{3}} \\
& =\frac{x 1}{a^{3}}+0-0-0 \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

The result above shows that the second strain state does not satisfy the compatibility condition.
2.8 Let us consider a vertical beam of length $\mathrm{L}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ and half-width $\mathrm{h}=0.2 \mathrm{~m}$, as shown in Figure 4.6.a. The position vector is given as $\boldsymbol{O P}=X \boldsymbol{e}_{X}+Y \boldsymbol{e}_{Y}$ in the Cartesian coordinate system, with $-h \leq X \leq+h$ and $0 \leq Y \leq L$. Consider the deformed configuration shown in Figure 4.6.b. such that the new position vector is given as:
$\boldsymbol{O P}=\boldsymbol{x}_{0}(Y, t)+X \boldsymbol{e}_{r}(\theta(Y, t)), \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{r}=\cos \theta \boldsymbol{e}_{X}+\sin \theta \boldsymbol{e}_{Y}, \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}(\theta)=-\sin \theta \boldsymbol{e}_{X}+\cos \theta \boldsymbol{e}_{Y}$
in which $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}(Y, t)$ and $\theta(Y, t)$ will be defined later.
a. Calculate the Green-Lagrange deformation tensor $\boldsymbol{e}$ as a function of $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}(Y, t)$ and $\theta(Y, t)$.
b. Calculate the elongation in the $\boldsymbol{e}_{X}$-direction.
c. Calculate the elongation in the $\boldsymbol{e}_{Y}$-direction, on the vertical axis $(X=0)$ and on the lateral sides $(X= \pm h)$.
d. Calculate the distortion in the direction $\left(e_{X}, e_{Y}\right)$ as a function of $Y$.
e. Now we pose:

$$
\boldsymbol{x}_{0}(Y, t)=\frac{L}{\pi}\left(-\boldsymbol{e}_{X}+\boldsymbol{e}_{r}(\theta(Y, t))\right), \quad \theta(Y, t)=\frac{\pi Y}{L}
$$

Repeat questions a.-d. above.
f. Now suppose that the deformed configuration is that shown in Figure 4.6.c, in which:

$$
\boldsymbol{O P}=\boldsymbol{x}_{0}(Y, t)+X \boldsymbol{e}_{r}(1.1 \theta(Y, t))
$$

Explain the difference between Figure 4.6.b and Figure 4.6.c.


Figure 2.3 Beam problem studied in Problem 2.8.

## Solution:

a. By definition of the Green-Lagrange deformation tensor:

$$
\underline{\underline{e}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})+{ }^{T} \underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})+\underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u}) \cdot \cdot^{T} \underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})\right)
$$

We first calculate the gradient of the displacement field:

$$
\underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})=\left(\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial X_{j}}-\delta_{i j}\right) \underline{e}_{i} \otimes \underline{e}_{j}
$$

in which $X_{i} \underline{e}_{i}$ is the position vector in the undeformed configuration and $x_{i} \underline{e}_{i}$ is the position vector in the deformed configuration. Using the notations of the problem, we calculate:
$\boldsymbol{\nabla}(\boldsymbol{u})=\frac{d \boldsymbol{x}_{0}(Y, t)}{d Y} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{Y}+\boldsymbol{e}_{r}(\theta(Y, t)) \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{X}+X \frac{d \boldsymbol{e}_{r}(\theta(Y, t))}{d Y} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{Y}-\boldsymbol{e}_{X} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{X}-\boldsymbol{e}_{Y} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{Y}$
From there, the Green-Lagrange deformation tensor is obtained:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{e}=\quad & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d \boldsymbol{x}_{0}(Y, t)}{d Y} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{X} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{Y}+\boldsymbol{e}_{Y} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{X}\right) \\
& +\left[\frac{\left(\left|\frac{d \boldsymbol{x}_{0}(Y, t)}{d Y}\right|^{2}-1\right)}{2}+X \frac{d \theta(Y, t)}{d Y} \frac{d \boldsymbol{x}_{0}(Y, t)}{d Y} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}+\frac{1}{2} X^{2}\left(\frac{d \theta(Y, t)}{d Y}\right)^{2}\right] \boldsymbol{e}_{Y} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. From Equation 2.2, we see that $\boldsymbol{e}_{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{X}=e_{X X}=0$.
c. From Equation 2.2, we have:

$$
e_{Y Y}=\frac{\left(\left|\frac{d \boldsymbol{x}_{0}(Y, t)}{d Y}\right|^{2}-1\right)}{2}+X \frac{d \theta(Y, t)}{d Y} \frac{d \boldsymbol{x}_{0}(Y, t)}{d Y} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}+\frac{1}{2} X^{2}\left(\frac{d \theta(Y, t)}{d Y}\right)^{2}
$$

On the vertical axis $(X=0)$ :

$$
e_{Y Y}(X=0)=\frac{\left(\left|\frac{d x_{0}(Y, t)}{d Y}\right|^{2}-1\right)}{2}
$$

On the lateral sides $(X= \pm h)$ :

$$
e_{Y Y}(X= \pm h)=\frac{\left(\left|\frac{d \boldsymbol{x}_{0}(Y, t)}{d Y}\right|^{2}-1\right)}{2} \pm h \frac{d \theta(Y, t)}{d Y} \frac{d \boldsymbol{x}_{0}(Y, t)}{d Y} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}\left(\frac{d \theta(Y, t)}{d Y}\right)^{2}
$$

If $\frac{d \theta(Y, t)}{d Y}>0$, the maximum elongation is on the side $X=+h$. If $\frac{d \theta(Y, t)}{d Y}<0$, the maximum elongation is on the side $X=-h$.
d. Here, we calculate the distortion of the angle $\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{X}, \boldsymbol{e}_{Y}\right)$, as follows:

$$
\gamma={ }^{T} \boldsymbol{F}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{X}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{F}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{Y}\right)-\boldsymbol{e}_{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{Y}={ }^{T} \boldsymbol{F}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{X}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{F}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{Y}\right)
$$

in which $\boldsymbol{F}$ is the gradient of the transport function $\phi$, which associate a position vector in the deformed configuration to a vector in the undeformed configuration. We have:

$$
\boldsymbol{e}=\frac{1}{2}\left({ }^{T} \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}-\boldsymbol{I}\right)
$$

from which we get:

$$
{ }^{T} \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}=2 \boldsymbol{e}+\boldsymbol{I}
$$

and in particular:

$$
{ }^{T} \boldsymbol{F}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{X}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{F}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{Y}\right)=F_{X j} F_{j Y}=2 e_{X Y}=\frac{d \boldsymbol{x}_{0}(Y, t)}{d Y} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{r}
$$

We find that the distortion is zero if the the tangent to the neutral axis is orthogonal to the deformed section (Bernouilli's assumption).
e. For the proposed functions $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ and $\theta$, we have:

$$
e=\frac{\pi X}{L}\left(1+\frac{\pi X}{2 L}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{Y} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{Y}
$$

We have: $e_{X X}=e_{X Y}=0$ (no elongation in the X-direction and no distortion), $e_{Y Y}=$ $\frac{\pi X}{L}\left(1+\frac{\pi X}{2 L}\right) \simeq 36 \%$ according to the figure. Few materials can resist such an elongation in the direction of the fibers (latex can).
f . In the right figure, the distortion is non-zero: the material points shown in the right figure experience shear deformation. We can indeed check that:

$$
\frac{d \boldsymbol{x}_{0}(Y, t)}{d Y} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{r}(1.1 \theta)=\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}(\theta) \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{r}(1.1 \theta) \neq 0
$$

2.9 A cube of granite with sides of length $\mathrm{a}=89 \mathrm{~mm}$ (see Figure 2.4) is tested in a laboratory under triaxial stress. Assume $\mathrm{E}=80 \mathrm{GPa}, \nu=0.25$. Gages mounted on the testing machine show that the compressive strains in the material are $\epsilon_{x x}=-138 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\epsilon_{y y}=\epsilon_{z z}=-510 \times 10^{-6}$. Determine the following quantities:
a. The normal stresses $\sigma_{x x}, \sigma_{y y}$, and $\sigma_{z z}$ acting on the $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z faces of the cube;
b. The maximum shear stress $\tau_{\text {max }}$ in the material;
c. The change $\Delta V$ in the volume of the cube;
d. The maximum value of $\sigma_{x x}$ when the change in volume must be limited to $-0.11 \%$.


Figure 2.4 Granite sample studied in Problem 2.9.

## Solution:

a. Using Hook's law in terms of the Young's modulus and the Poisson's ratio:

$$
\sigma_{i i}=\frac{E}{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)}\left[(1-\nu) \epsilon_{i i}+\nu\left(\epsilon_{j j}+\epsilon_{k k}\right)\right], \quad i \neq j, \quad j \neq k, \quad k \neq i
$$

in which there is no summation on the indices. Numerically:

$$
\sigma_{x x}=-82.6 \mathrm{MPa}, \quad \sigma_{y y}=-54.7 \mathrm{MPa}, \quad \sigma_{z z}=-54.7 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

b. The cube is in a state of triaxial stress, therefore there is no shear stress on any of the faces and $\sigma_{x x}, \sigma_{y y}$ and $\sigma_{z z}$ are principal stresses. The maximum shear stress is half of the difference between the major and minor principal stresses, and can therefore be calculated as follows:

$$
\tau_{\max }=\frac{\sigma_{y y}-\sigma_{x x}}{2}=13.92 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

c. Change of volume:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta V & =V_{1}-V_{0}=(a+\Delta a)(b+\Delta b)(c+\Delta c)-a b c \\
& =\left(a+a \epsilon_{x x}\right)\left(b+b \epsilon_{y y}\right)\left(c+c \epsilon_{z z}\right)-a b c \\
& =a b c\left[\left(1+\epsilon_{x x}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{y y}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{z z}\right)-1\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Under the small deformation assumption:

$$
\Delta V \simeq a b c\left(\epsilon_{x x}+\epsilon_{y y}+\epsilon_{z z}\right)=a b c \epsilon_{v}
$$

Numerically:

$$
\epsilon_{v}=-1.2 \times 10^{-3}, \quad \Delta V=-846 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}
$$

d. We impose:

$$
\epsilon_{v}^{\max }=-0.11 \%
$$

Developing the expression of the volumetric strain:

$$
\max \left(\epsilon_{x x}+\epsilon_{y y}+\epsilon_{z z}\right)=-0.11 \%
$$

Substituting the deformations by their relationship to stress via Hooke's law:

$$
\frac{(1-2 \nu)}{E} \max \left(\sigma_{x x}+\sigma_{y y}+\sigma_{z z}\right)=-0.11 \%
$$

If $\sigma_{y y}$ and $\sigma_{z z}$ are fixed, then:

$$
\sigma_{x x}^{\max }=-\frac{0.11 \% E}{(1-2 \nu)}-\sigma_{y y}-\sigma_{z z}
$$

Numerically:

$$
\sigma_{x x}^{\max }=-73 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

2.10 Consider a parallelepidic specimen of length $L$, with a square section of edge length a. The square section of the specimen lies on the plane $\left(0, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. The parallelepiped can slide on the plane but cannot loose contact with the plane. The specimen is loaded at $x_{3}=L$ by a uniform compression $-F$ in the $e_{3}$ direction. The material that makes the specimen is isotropic and linear elastic, with a Young's modulus $E$ and a Poisson's ratio $\nu$. We assume that deformations are very small, and we neglect the gravity and inertia forces. We give: $\mathrm{L}=20 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{a}=1 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{E}=200 \mathrm{GPa}, \nu=0.3, \mathrm{~F}=100 \mathrm{~N}$.
a. Calculate the displacement field at $x_{3}=L$ if the lateral faces of the specimen are free of stress.
b. Calculate the displacement field at $x_{3}=L$ if the specimen is encased in a very rigid support, within which it can slide. c. Calculate the displacement field at $x_{3}=L$ if the the faces $x_{1} \pm a / 2$ are fixed and if the other two lateral faces are free of stress.
d. Now suppose that the specimen is encased in a very rogod support and that there is an initial misfit of 0.01 mm between the lateral faces. What is the required value of the compression force F for which the lateral faces of the specimen get in contact with the rigid support?

Solution: see Figure 2.5.

On cherche une solution où les déformations sont uniformes et seulement des extensions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 1} \mathbf{i}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{1}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 2} \mathbf{i}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{2}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{V}} \mathbf{i}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{3} \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\lambda \operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{I} \mathbf{I}+2 \mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\lambda\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 1}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 2}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{V}}\right) \mathbf{I}+2 \mu\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 1} \mathbf{i}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{1}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 2} \mathbf{i}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{2}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{V}} \mathbf{i}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{3}\right) \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left((\lambda+2 \mu) \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 1}+\lambda\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 2}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right) \mathbf{i}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{1}+\left((\lambda+2 \mu) \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 2}+\lambda\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 1}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right) \mathbf{i}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{2}+\left((\lambda+2 \mu) \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}+\lambda\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 1}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 2}\right)\right) \mathbf{i}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { Cas 1: } \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\mathbf{i}_{1}\right)=\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\mathbf{i}_{2}\right)=0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{S} \mathbf{i}_{3}, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 1}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 2}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\mathbf{i}_{1}\right)=\left(2(\lambda+\mu) \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}}+\lambda \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}\right) \mathbf{i}_{1}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}}=-\lambda \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}} / 2(\lambda+\mu)=-v \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}} \quad(v=\lambda / 2(\lambda+\mu))
$$

$$
\left.\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}\right)=\left(2 \lambda \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}}+(\lambda+2 \mu) \varepsilon_{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right) \mathbf{i}_{3} \quad \Rightarrow \mathrm{E} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{S} \quad(\mathrm{E}=\mu(3 \lambda+2 \mu) /(\lambda+\mu))
$$

$$
\sigma=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{S} \mathbf{i}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{3}
$$

$$
\mathrm{u}_{3}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{X}_{3} \quad\left(\text { CL en } \mathrm{x}_{3}=0\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{u}_{3}\left(\mathrm{x}_{3}=\mathrm{L}\right)=\mathrm{FL} / \mathrm{ES}
$$

Cas 2: $\sigma\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}\right)=F / S i_{3}, \varepsilon_{H}=0$

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{V}}\left(\lambda\left(\mathbf{i}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{1}+\mathbf{i}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{2}\right)+(\lambda+2 \mu) \mathbf{i}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{3}\right)
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{S} \mathbf{i}_{3} \Rightarrow \varepsilon_{v}=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{S}(\lambda+2 \mu)=\mathrm{F}(1-2 v)(1+v) / \mathrm{SE}(1-v)
$$

$$
(\lambda=\mathrm{E} v /(1+v)(1-2 v), \mu=\mathrm{E} / 2(1+v))
$$

$$
\mathrm{u}_{3}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{x}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CL} \text { en } \mathrm{x}_{3}=0\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{u}_{3}\left(\mathrm{x}_{3}=\mathrm{L}\right)=(\mathrm{FL} / \mathrm{SE})(1-2 v)(1+v) /(1-v) \sim 0.4 \mathrm{FL} / \mathrm{SE}
$$

Cas 3 : $\varepsilon_{A 1}=0, \sigma\left(\mathbf{i}_{2}\right)=0$
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\lambda\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 2}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{V}}\right) \mathbf{i}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{1}+\left((\lambda+2 \mu) \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 2}+\lambda \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}\right) \mathbf{i}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{2}+\left((\lambda+2 \mu) \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}+\lambda \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 2}\right) \mathbf{i}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{3}$
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\mathbf{i}_{2}\right)=\left((\lambda+2 \mu) \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}+\lambda \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}\right) \mathbf{i}_{2} \Rightarrow \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 2}=-\lambda \varepsilon_{\mathrm{V}} /(\lambda+2 \mu)=-(\mathrm{v} /(1-\mathrm{v})) \varepsilon_{\mathrm{V}}$
$\left.\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}\right)=(\lambda+2 \mu) \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}+\lambda \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 2}\right) \mathbf{i}_{3} \Rightarrow(\lambda+2 \mu) \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}+\lambda \varepsilon_{\mathrm{H} 2}=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{S} \Rightarrow \varepsilon_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{F}\left(1-\mathrm{v}^{2}\right) / \mathrm{SE}$

$$
u_{3}=\varepsilon_{v} x_{3} \quad\left(\text { CL en } x_{3}=0\right) \Rightarrow u_{3}\left(x_{3}=L\right)=(\mathrm{FL} / \mathrm{SE})\left(1-v^{2}\right) \sim 0.9 \mathrm{FL} / \mathrm{SE}
$$

Conclusion : FL/ES, 0.74 FL/SE, $0.9 \mathrm{FL} / \mathrm{SE}$
aucune différence si $v=0$, énorme différence si $v->0.5$
Donc rôle important de $v$ dès que la pièce n'est pas libre latéralement

Figure 2.5 Solution of Problem 2.10.

### 2.11 Homework 1 - Problem 3

Consider an initial state of stress at a point, given by: $\sigma_{x x} / \sigma_{z z}=0.5, \sigma_{y y} / \sigma_{z z}=0.75$, $\sigma_{x z} / \sigma_{z z}=0.25, \sigma_{x y} / \sigma_{z z}=0, \sigma_{y z} / \sigma_{z z}=0, \sigma_{z z}=1$.

1. Calculate the invariants of the stress tensor: $I_{1}, I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$.
2. Calculate the magnitude of the principal stresses $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ and $\sigma_{3}$. Calculate the angles of orientation of the principal planes.
3. The state of stress at the point is changed by application of the following stress increments: $\Delta \sigma_{z z}=0, \Delta \sigma_{x x} / \sigma_{z z}=-0.25, \Delta \sigma_{x z} / \sigma_{z z}=0.25$. Draw the initial and
final Mohr cirlces. What are the final magnitudes of the principal stresses and the orientations of the principal planes?

## Solution:

1. Calculations can easily be handled with MATLAB:

$$
I_{1}=\operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})=2.25, \quad I_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[(\operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}))^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\right)\right]=1.5625, \quad I_{3}=\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})=0.3281
$$

2. Solving the characteristic equation with MATLAB, we get:

$$
\sigma_{1}=1.1036, \quad \sigma_{2}=\sigma_{y y}=0.75, \quad \sigma_{3}=0.3964
$$

It was expected that $\sigma_{y y}$ would be a principal stress, since $\sigma_{x y}=\sigma_{y z}=0$. As a result, $e_{y}$ is a principal direction. The other tow principal directions are in the plane $\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{x}, \boldsymbol{e}_{x}\right)$. We can find the orientation of the principal axes by calculating first the angle $\theta_{p}$ between the normal to the plane subjected to the major principal stress, as follows:

$$
\tan \left(2 \theta_{p}\right)=\frac{2 \sigma_{x z}}{\sigma_{z z}-\sigma_{x x}}=1 \Rightarrow \theta_{p}=22.5^{\circ}+k * 90^{\circ}, k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

One principal plane has a normal oriented by an angle of $22.5^{\circ}$ to the $x$-axis, and another principal plane is oriented to an angle $112.5^{\circ}$ to the x -axis. The unit vectors that are normal to the principal planes are expressed in the Cartesian base as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}, \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\cos \left(22.5^{\circ}\right) \\
0 \\
\sin \left(22.5^{\circ}\right)
\end{array}\right\}, \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sin \left(22.5^{\circ}\right) \\
0 \\
\cos \left(22.5^{\circ}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

3. The new state of stress is:

$$
\left[\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\prime}\right]=[\boldsymbol{\sigma}+\Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0.25 & 0 & 0.5 \\
0 & 0.75 & 0 \\
0.5 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\sigma_{y y}^{\prime}$ is a principal stress. The other two principal stresses are found by solving the characteristic equation in MATLAB:

$$
\sigma_{1}^{\prime}=1.25, \quad \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=\sigma_{y y}^{\prime}=0.75, \quad \sigma_{3}^{\prime}=0
$$

One principal plane is normal to $e_{y}$. The normal vector of the other two planes is contained in the plane $\left(e_{x}, \boldsymbol{e}_{z}\right)$. The orientation of these two normal vectors compared to the x -axis is found in the same way as in question 2 :

$$
\tan \left(2 \theta_{p}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2 \sigma_{x z}^{\prime}}{\sigma_{z z}^{\prime}-\sigma_{x x}^{\prime}}=\frac{4}{3} \Rightarrow \theta_{p}^{\prime}=26.56^{\circ}+k * 90^{\circ}, k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

The unit vectors normal to the principal planes are the following:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}, \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\cos \left(26.56^{\circ}\right) \\
0 \\
\sin \left(26.56^{\circ}\right)
\end{array}\right\}, \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sin \left(26.56^{\circ}\right) \\
0 \\
\cos \left(26.56^{\circ}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

### 2.12 Homework 1 - Problem 4

Consider a specimen that has the shape of a disc with a diameter $d$. The disc is subjected to two forces $P$ that are diametrically opposite, as shown in Figure 2.6. We consider the following stress field:

$$
\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{a}=\left(\frac{\cos \theta_{1}}{r_{1}}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r 1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r 1}+\left(\frac{\cos \theta_{2}}{r_{2}}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r 1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r 1}-\frac{1}{d} \boldsymbol{I}
$$

in which $a$ is a constant that will be defined later, $\boldsymbol{I}$ is the second-order identity tensor and $r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \boldsymbol{e}_{r 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{r 2}$ are defined in Figure 2.6.

1. Show that $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { d i v }}\left[(\cos \theta / r) \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right]=\mathbf{0}$, in which $r, \theta$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{r}$ are the usual coordinates and coordinate directions used in a cylindrical coordinate system.
2. Deduce from the previous question that the stress field is in equilibrium, i.e. that $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l i v }} \boldsymbol{\sigma}=\mathbf{0}$. Neglect the forces of gravity and inertia.
3. Show that the traction force $\boldsymbol{t}=\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}$ is zero on the free surface $r=d / 2$. Consider points of the free surface that are far from the point of application of the forces $P$.
4. Calculate the stress tensor on the axis $x_{1}=0$. Explain why the specimen has a low resistance in traction on this axis.


Figure 2.6 Schematic of the Brazilian test studied in Problem 4.

1. Proof of : $\boldsymbol{d i v}\left[(\cos \theta / r) \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right]=\mathbf{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{d i v}\left[(\cos \theta / r) \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right]=\boldsymbol{d i v}[(\cos \theta / r)] \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right)+(\cos \theta / r) \boldsymbol{d i v}\left[\boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which: $\boldsymbol{e}_{r}=\cos \theta \boldsymbol{e}_{x}+\sin \theta \boldsymbol{e}_{y}$ (noting x for $x_{1}$ and y for $x_{2}$ for compactness). We have $\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}=-\sin \theta \boldsymbol{e}_{x}+\cos \theta \boldsymbol{e}_{y}$, so that:

$$
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{e}_{r}}{\partial \theta}=\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}
$$

We thus have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla\left[\boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right]=0 \times \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}+\frac{1}{r} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \\
\boldsymbol{\nabla}\left[\boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right]=\frac{1}{r} \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}+\frac{1}{r} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}
\end{gathered}
$$

And so:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{d i v}\left[\boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right]=\frac{1}{r} \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\nabla}[(\cos \theta / r)]=-\frac{\cos \theta}{r^{2}} \boldsymbol{e}_{r}-\frac{\sin \theta}{r^{2}} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{d i v}\left[(\cos \theta / r) \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right]=-\frac{\cos \theta}{r^{2}} \boldsymbol{e}_{r}+\frac{\cos \theta}{r} \frac{1}{r} \boldsymbol{e}_{r}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we showed that $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { d i v }}\left[(\cos \theta / r) \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right]=\mathbf{0}$.
2. Proof of equilibrium: Noting x for $x_{1}$ and y for $x_{2}$ for compactness, we have:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\boldsymbol{e}_{r_{1}}=-\cos \theta_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{y}+\sin \theta_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{x} \\
\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta_{1}}=\sin \theta_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{y}+\cos \theta_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{x} \\
\boldsymbol{e}_{r_{2}}=\cos \theta_{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{y}+\sin \theta_{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{x} \\
\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta_{2}}=-\sin \theta_{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{y}+\cos \theta_{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{x}
\end{array}
$$

Equation 2.5 is valid for any cylindircal coordinate system, and so:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{d i} \boldsymbol{v}_{r_{1}, \theta_{1}}\left[\left(\cos \theta_{1} / r_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r_{1}} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r_{1}}\right]=\mathbf{0} \\
& \boldsymbol{d i} \boldsymbol{v}_{r_{2}, \theta_{2}}\left[\left(\cos \theta_{2} / r_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r_{2}} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r_{2}}\right]=\mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the two equations above are derived in a different coordinate system than equation 2.5. To perform the coordinate change and convert a derivation in $\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}\right)$ or $\left(r_{2}, \theta_{2}\right)$ into a derivation in ( $r, \theta$, we introduce the following Jacobian matrices:

$$
\left[J_{i}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial r_{i}}{\partial r} & r_{i} \frac{\partial \theta_{i}}{\partial r} \\
\frac{\partial r_{i}}{r \partial \theta} & \frac{\partial \theta_{i}}{\partial \theta}
\end{array}\right], \quad i=1,2
$$

We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{d i v}_{r, \theta}\left[\left(\cos \theta_{1} / r_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r_{1}} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r_{1}}\right]=[J]_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{d i v}_{r_{1}, \theta_{1}}\left[\left(\cos \theta_{1} / r_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r_{1}} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r_{1}}\right]=0 \\
& \boldsymbol{d i v}_{r, \theta}\left[\left(\cos \theta_{2} / r_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r_{2}} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r_{2}}\right]=[J]_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{d i} \boldsymbol{v}_{r_{2}, \theta_{2}}\left[\left(\cos \theta_{2} / r_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r_{2}} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r_{2}}\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since: $\boldsymbol{I} / d$ is a constant tensor, we deduce from the two previous equations that:

$$
\boldsymbol{d i v}_{r, \theta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}=0
$$

which shows that the system is in equilibrium.
3. When $r=d / 2, \cos \theta_{1} / r_{1}=\cos \theta_{2} / r_{2}=1 / d$. We have $\boldsymbol{n}=\boldsymbol{e}_{r}$ and so the surface traction is:

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}=\frac{a}{d}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{r 1} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r 1}+\frac{a}{d}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{r 2} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r 2}-\frac{a}{d} \boldsymbol{e}_{r}
$$

We have $\boldsymbol{e}_{r_{1}} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{r_{2}}=0$ and so $\left.\left.\boldsymbol{e}_{r 1} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r 1}+\boldsymbol{e}_{r 2} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r 2}=\boldsymbol{e}_{r}$. So we have:

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}=\frac{a}{d} \boldsymbol{e}_{r}-\frac{a}{d} \boldsymbol{e}_{r}=\mathbf{0}
$$

So the radial traction force is zero on the circumference of the specimen.
4. On the vertical axis ( $x_{1}=0$ ), we have $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=0, \theta= \pm \pi / 2, \boldsymbol{e}_{r_{1}}=-\boldsymbol{e}_{y}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{r_{2}}=\boldsymbol{e}_{y}$ (using $\left.x=x_{1}, y=x_{2}\right)$. The stress is:

$$
\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{a}=\frac{1}{r_{1}} \boldsymbol{e}_{y} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{y}+\frac{1}{r_{2}} \boldsymbol{e}_{y} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{y}-\frac{1}{d} \boldsymbol{e}_{x} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{x}-\frac{1}{d} \boldsymbol{e}_{y} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{y}
$$

Take a vetical cut in the specimen and analyze the traction force that applies on the right side. We have $\boldsymbol{n}=-\boldsymbol{e}_{x}$ and $\frac{\sigma}{a}=\boldsymbol{e}_{x} / d$. Similarly, the traction force that applies on the left side, where $\boldsymbol{n}=\boldsymbol{e}_{x}$, is $\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{a}=-\boldsymbol{e}_{x} / d$. So the specimen is subjected to a horizontal force pointing the the left on the left side, and to a horizontal force pointing to the right on the right side. That means that along the axis $x_{1}=0$, the specimen is subjected to opposite horzontal forces that subject the specimen to traction. That explains why the specimen has a low resistance to traction along the axis $x_{1}=0$.

See Figure 2.7 for more details.

Eléments de solution
4. $\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbf{x}}\left((\cos \theta / \mathrm{r}) \mathbf{i}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)$

On rappelle :
$\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha \mathbf{A})=\alpha \operatorname{Div}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{A}\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathrm{x}} \alpha\right) \operatorname{Div}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b})=\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b})+\operatorname{div}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{a}$
On en déduit :
$\operatorname{Div}_{x}\left((\cos \theta / \mathrm{r}) \mathbf{i}_{\tau} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{\tau}\right)=(\cos \theta / \mathrm{r}) \operatorname{Div}_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\mathbf{i}_{\tau} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{\tau}\right)+\left(\mathbf{i}_{\tau} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{i}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathrm{x}}(\cos \theta / \mathrm{r})\right)$


$\operatorname{Div}_{x}\left((\cos \theta / r) i_{i} \otimes i_{i}\right)=(\cos \theta / r)\left(0+(1 / r) i_{i}\right)-\left(\cos \theta / r^{2}\right) i_{f}=0$
Remarque : avec la formule classique, on trouve le même résultat :
$\partial \sigma_{\pi} / \partial \mathrm{r}+(1 / \mathrm{r}) \partial \sigma_{r e} / \partial \theta+\left(\sigma_{\pi}-\sigma_{\theta \theta}\right) / \mathrm{r}=-\cos \theta_{1} / \mathrm{r}_{1}{ }^{2}+\cos \theta_{1} / \mathrm{r}_{1}{ }^{2}=0$
2. Sur le pourtour $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{d} / 2, \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{e}_{r}, \cos \theta_{1} / \mathrm{r}_{1}=\cos \theta_{2} / \mathrm{r}_{2}=1 / \mathrm{d}$, et : $\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} 1}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)=0$; d'où:
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{n})=\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{d}\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} 1}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} 1}+\left(\mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} 2}-\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}\right]=0$
3. sur $\mathbf{x}_{2}=\mathbf{0}, \theta=0, \theta_{1}=\theta_{2}, r_{1}=r_{2}, \cos \theta_{1}=d / 2 r_{1}, r_{1}=\left(d^{2} / 4+r^{2}\right)^{12} ; \mathbf{n}=-\boldsymbol{i}_{2}$,

$$
\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} 1},-\mathbf{i}_{2}\right)=\cos \theta_{1}, \quad\left(\mathbf{e}_{12},-\mathbf{i}_{2}\right)=-\cos \theta_{2},
$$

$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{n})=\mathrm{a}\left[\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{1} / \mathrm{r}_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{rl}}-\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{2} / \mathrm{r}_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{r} 2}+(1 / \mathrm{d}) \mathbf{i}_{2}\right]$
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{a}\left[\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{1} / \mathrm{r}_{1}\right)\left(\sin \theta_{1} \mathbf{i}_{1}-\cos \theta_{1} \mathbf{i}_{2}\right)-\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{2} / \mathrm{r}_{2}\right)\left(\sin \theta_{2} \mathbf{i}_{1}+\cos \theta_{2} \mathbf{i}_{2}\right)+(1 / \mathrm{d}) \mathbf{i}_{2}\right]$
$\sigma(\mathbf{n})=a\left[-2 \cos ^{3} \theta_{1} / \mathrm{r}_{1}+(1 / \mathrm{d})\right] \mathbf{i}_{2}=\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{d}\left[-\mathrm{d}^{4} / 4 \mathrm{r}_{1}{ }^{4}+1\right] \mathbf{i}_{2}$
donc, il n'y a pas de cisaillement sur cet axe;
si $r_{1}=d / 2$, (au centre), la contrainte normale est max. et vaut: $-3 a / d$
si $r_{1}=d / \sqrt{2}$, (au bord), la contrainte normale vaut zêro.
Résultante (des forces de contact de la partie inférieure $\mathrm{x}_{2}<0$ sur la partie $\mathrm{x}_{2}>0$;
(a/d) $\int_{1,42 a 21}\left[-\mathrm{d}^{4} / 4\left(\mathrm{~d}^{2} / 4+\mathrm{r}^{2}\right)^{2}+1\right] \mathrm{dr}=-(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{d}) \mathrm{d} \pi / 2=-\mathrm{a} \pi / 2=\mathrm{P}$
on en déduit a.
Répartition de $\sigma_{22}$ sur l'axe $x_{2}=0$ :

4. Sur l'axe $x_{1}=0, \theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=0, \theta= \pm \pi / 2, \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r} 1}=-\mathbf{i}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{12}=\mathbf{i}_{2}, \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{i}_{1}$ (si on regarde l'action de $x_{1}>0$ sur $x_{1}<0$ ):
$\sigma / a=-(1 / d) i_{1}$
il n'y a, à nouveau, pas de contrainte de cisaillement. Comme a et d sont positifs, la contrainte normale est une traction. L'essai est intéressant car il est difficile de pratiquer un essai de traction simple sur le béton, à cause des problèmes d'arrimage de l'échantillon à la presse.

Figure 2.7 Solution of Problem 2.12.

### 2.13 Homework 1 - Problem 5

Taking the axis $x_{3}$ normal to the sheet of the paper, draw the final configuration of the displacement fields ( $\underline{u}$ ) given below, in which $a$ is a small positive quantity. Calculate the deformation tensor that contributes to these displacements:

1. $u_{1}=a x_{1}, u_{2}=a x_{2}, u_{3}=0$
2. $u_{1}=a x_{2}, u_{2}=a x_{1}, u_{3}=0$
3. $u_{1}=a x_{2}, u_{2}=a x_{2}, u_{3}=0$
4. $u_{1}=a x_{2}, u 2=-a x_{1}, u_{3}=0$

Solution: There is no displacement in direction 3, and none of the other displacements depends on $x_{3}$, so that all the derivatives $\partial u_{i} / \partial x_{3}$ are zero. As a result, each of the configurations presented are in plane strain, with the strains remaining in the plane ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ). Additionally, $a \ll 1$, so we can make the assumption of small deformation. The final configurations of the four displacement fields are shown in Figure 2.8. The deformation tensor is $2 \times 2$ because we are in plane strain (i.e., the components 33,23 and 13 are zero) and it is calculated by using the definition of the linearized deformation tensor since we are in small deformation. Results are as follows:

1. Gradient of the displacement field:

$$
[\underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & a
\end{array}\right]
$$

Deformation tensor:

$$
[\underline{\epsilon}]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})++^{T} \underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & a
\end{array}\right]
$$

This is a volumetric deformation.
2. Gradient of the displacement field:

$$
[\underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & a \\
a & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Deformation tensor:

$$
[\underline{\epsilon}]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})++^{T} \underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & a \\
a & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

This is a shear deformation.
3. Gradient of the displacement field:

$$
[\underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & a \\
0 & a
\end{array}\right]
$$

Deformation tensor:

$$
[\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})++^{T} \underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & a / 2 \\
a / 2 & a
\end{array}\right]
$$

4. Gradient of the displacement field:

$$
[\underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & a \\
-a & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Deformation tensor:

$$
[\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})+{ }^{T} \underline{\underline{\nabla}}(\underline{u})\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

This is a rotation, which is a rigid body motion. Therefore, there is no deformation.
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Figure 2.8 Illustration of the deformations produced by the displacements in Problem 2.13.

### 2.14 Homework 1 - Problem 6

A constant volume axial compression test is conducted on a soil sample which has initial dimensions $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{2}=x_{3}$. Assuming that the specimen has lubricated ends and that it deforms with plane sides, express the strain state in the sample using the Lagrangian and Eulerian finite strain tensors for a vertical displacement, $u_{1}=k x_{1}$. Show how the major principal strains compare with the principal strains under the assumption of small deformation, as functions of $k$.

Solution: The specimen is lubricated at top and bottom, its faces remain plane, and the deformations in direction 2 and 3 are expected to be the same. Therefore it is anticipated that the specimen will undergo no distortion (or shear), and that it will undergo the same elongation in directions 2 and 3. Additionally, there is a negative elongation in direction 1, due to the loading. The displacement field is thus of the form:

$$
\boldsymbol{u}=k x_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\alpha x_{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\alpha x_{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{3}
$$

The experiment is conducted under constant volume, so $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime}$. Since $x_{1}^{\prime}=$ $(1+k) x_{1}$, then we have $x_{2}^{\prime}=x_{2} / \sqrt{(1+k)}$ and $x_{2}^{\prime}=x_{3} / \sqrt{(1+k)}$ and therefore:

$$
\boldsymbol{u}=k x_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k}}-1\right) x_{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k}}-1\right) x_{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{3}
$$

The Lagrangian finite strain tensor $\boldsymbol{L}$ is defined as follows:

$$
L_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{j}}\right]
$$

and we calculate:

$$
[\boldsymbol{L}]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 k+k^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{k}{1+k} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{k}{1+k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

By definition of the displacement vector, $\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}-\boldsymbol{x}$, in which $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}$ is the the new position vector, which can be expressed explicitly as:

$$
\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}=(1+k) x_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+(1+\alpha) x_{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+(1+\alpha) x_{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{3}
$$

We can now express the displacement vector in terms of the new position vector:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{u}=\frac{k}{1+k} x_{1}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} x_{2}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} x_{3}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{e}_{3} \\
\boldsymbol{u}=\frac{k}{1+k} x_{1}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+(1-\sqrt{1+k}) x_{2}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+(1-\sqrt{1+k}) x_{3}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{e}_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

We can now calculate the Eulerian finite strain tensor, which is defined as:

$$
E_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}^{\prime}}-\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{i}^{\prime}} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{j}^{\prime}}\right]
$$

We find:

$$
[\boldsymbol{E}]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{2 k+k^{2}}{(1+k)^{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -k & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -k
\end{array}\right]
$$

In small deformation, the linearized deformation tensor, defined as the symmetric part of the gradient of displacement in the initial configuration, is:

$$
[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
k & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k}}-1\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k}}-1\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

The major principal strains are $\epsilon_{11}=k$ in small deformation, $L_{11}=k+k^{2} / 2$ in Lagrangian finite strain and $E_{11}=\frac{k+k^{2} / 2}{(1+k)^{2}}$ in Eulerian finite strain.

### 2.15 Homework 2 - Problem 1

Let us consider a cylinder of length $L$ and circular cross-section with radius $a$. We assume that the state of stress in the cylinder is given as $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\alpha r\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{z}+\boldsymbol{e}_{z} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}\right)$. Gravity and inertia forces are neglected.

1. Show that the state of stress satisfies the equations of equilibrium and the boundary condition on the lateral face (free of stress).
2. Calculate the state of stress on the top and bottom faces ( $z=0$ and $z=L$ ). Calculate the resulting moment about the axis of the cylinder. From there, calculate $\alpha$ as a function of the loading and as a function of $I_{p}$, the polar moment of inertia of the section.
3. Propose an experimental set-up that can create this state of stress. Discuss any challenge that you anticipate in this set-up.
4. Calculate the first two invariants of the stress tensor and of the deviatoric tensor.
5. Suppose that the constitutive material breaks when the norm of the deviatoric stress exceeds a certain limit $k$. Calculate the maximum couple that can be exerted on the cylinder. Dimension the cylindrical transmission axis of a truck for a couple $C=$ 3,000 N.m, with $k=120 M P a$ (steel).
6. Now suppose that the cylindrical transmission axis is made of a composite material with long fibers. In what direction should the fibers be oriented to optimize the design of the cylindrical transmission axis?

## Solution:

1. Since gravity and inertia forces are neglected, the equation of equilibrium is satsified if and only if:

$$
\operatorname{div} \sigma=\mathbf{0}
$$

We have:

$$
\boldsymbol{d i v} \boldsymbol{\sigma}=\frac{\partial \sigma_{i j}}{\partial x_{j}} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}=\frac{\partial \sigma_{r j}}{\partial x_{j}} \boldsymbol{e}_{r}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta j}}{\partial x_{j}} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{z j}}{\partial x_{j}} \boldsymbol{e}_{z}
$$

Since $\sigma_{r r}=0$ and $\sigma_{\theta r}=\sigma_{\theta \theta}=\sigma_{z r}=\sigma_{z z}=0$

$$
\boldsymbol{d i v} \boldsymbol{\sigma}=\frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta z}}{\partial z} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{z \theta}}{\partial \theta} \boldsymbol{e}_{z}
$$

Since $\sigma_{\theta z}=\sigma_{z \theta}$ does not depend on $\theta$ or $z$ :

$$
\operatorname{div} \sigma=0
$$

which shows that the proposed state of stress verifies the conditions of equilibrium. On the lateral face, $r=a$ and the normal is $\boldsymbol{e}_{r}$. With the proposed state of stress, the tractions on the lateral face are thus:

$$
\boldsymbol{t}=\boldsymbol{\sigma}(r=a) \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{r}=\alpha a\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{z}+\boldsymbol{e}_{z} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{r}=\mathbf{0}
$$

Hence, the proposed state of stress ensures that the lateral faces are free of stress.
2. On the top face, $z=L$ and $\boldsymbol{n}=+\boldsymbol{e}_{z}$. The state of stress is thus:

$$
\sigma_{r z}=\boldsymbol{e}_{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(z=L) \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{z}=0, \quad \sigma_{\theta z}=\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(z=L) \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{z}=+\alpha r, \quad \sigma_{z z}=\boldsymbol{e}_{z} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(z=L) \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{z}=0
$$

Similarly on the borrom face, $z=0$ and $\boldsymbol{n}=-\boldsymbol{e}_{z}$, and the state of stress is:

$$
\sigma_{r z}=0, \quad \sigma_{\theta z}=-\alpha r, \quad \sigma_{z z}=0
$$

The resulting moment about the axis of the cylinder is:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{z}=\int_{S_{z}}\left(r \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right) \times\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{z}\right) d S=\int_{S_{z}}\left(r \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right) \times\left(\alpha r \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}\right) d S
$$

Using cylindrical coordinates:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{z}=\alpha\left(\int_{r=0}^{a} r^{3} d r \int_{\theta=0}^{2 \pi} d \theta\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{z}=\frac{\pi \alpha a^{4}}{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{z}
$$

Noting that:

$$
I_{p}=\frac{\pi a^{4}}{2}
$$

We have:

$$
\alpha=\frac{\mathcal{M}_{z} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{z}}{I_{p}}
$$

3. The proposed state of stress could be created by fixing the bottom face and subjecting the top face to a couple equal to $\mathcal{M}_{z}$, while leaving the lateral faces free of stress. Some challenges: (i) Ensuring propoer grip at the top and bottom; (ii) Avoiding concentration of stresses for those grips.
4. Stress invariants:

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{1}=\operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})=0 \\
I_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\right)\right]=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with:

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}=\alpha^{2} r^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{z}+\boldsymbol{e}_{z} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}\right) \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{z}+\boldsymbol{e}_{z} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}\right)=\alpha^{2} r^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}+\boldsymbol{e}_{z} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{z}\right)
$$

So that

$$
I_{2}=-\alpha^{2} r^{2}
$$

The stress tensor is equal to the deviatoric stress tensor, so that $I_{1}=J_{1}=0$ and $I_{2}=-J_{2}=-\alpha^{2} r^{2}$.
5. Here we recall that the norm of a matrix is:

$$
|\boldsymbol{A}|=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\boldsymbol{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}^{T}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

As a result, the norm of the deviatoric stress is:

$$
|s|=\operatorname{Tr}\left(s^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=\sqrt{2 J_{2}}=\left(2 \alpha^{2} r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=\sqrt{2} \alpha r
$$

The maximum deviatoric stress is reached at $r=a$ :

$$
\left|s^{\max }\right|=\sqrt{2} \alpha a
$$

If the material breaks when $\left|s^{\max }\right|$ reaches the value $k$, then the maximum possible value of $\alpha$ is:

$$
\alpha^{\max }=\frac{k}{a \sqrt{2}}
$$

and the maximum couple that can be exerted on the specimen is:

$$
\mathcal{C}^{\max }=\alpha^{\max } I_{p}=\alpha^{\max } \frac{\pi a^{4}}{2}=\frac{k \pi a^{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}}
$$

For the dimensions given in the problem, we find that the minimum radius of the cylinder should be: $a_{\min }=2.82 \mathrm{~cm}$.
6. It is advised to orient the fibers such that the direction of maximum shear in the specimen corresponds to the direction of maximum strength of the fibers. Fibers typically resist the most to tension in the direction of their axis. The maximum shear stress in the specimen is oriented at an angle of $\pm 45^{\circ}$ to the specimen axis. Hence, it is recomended to orient the fibers at $\pm 45^{\circ}$ to the cylinder axis.

### 2.16 Homework 2 - Problem 2

For standard triaxial tests (axisymmetric geometry) on soils, a good representation of stress states in the soil is obtained by introducing the stresses $\sigma_{o c t}=\sigma_{k k} / 3$ and $q=\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}$, which are related to the invariants of the stress tensor $I_{1}$ and $J_{2}$, respectively. The stressstrain behavior can be considered by introducing two measures of strains, $\epsilon_{v o l}$ and $\epsilon_{s}$, which are energetically compatible with theses stresses such that:

$$
\delta W=\sigma_{i j} \delta \epsilon_{i j}=\sigma_{o c t} \delta \epsilon_{v o l}+q \delta \epsilon_{s}
$$

1. Find an expression for $\epsilon_{s}$ to satisfy this relationship. Show that $\epsilon_{v o l}$ and $\epsilon_{s}$ can be expressed in terms of the invariants of the strain tensor.
2. Figure 2.9 lists the results of two drained triaxial tests on medium dense sand. Plot the results (i.e. plot $q$ vs. $\sigma_{o c t}, q$ vs. $\epsilon_{s}, \epsilon_{v o l}$ vs. $\epsilon_{s}$ and $\sigma_{o c t}$ vs. $\epsilon_{v o l}$ ). How well does the linear, isotropic elastic model describe the behavior of sands measured in these tests? (Assume values for the elastic moduli $K, G$ and compare with the measurements).

## Solution:

1. We first note that due to the symmetry of the test, the stress and strain tensors take the following forms:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\sigma_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\sigma_{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\sigma_{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{3} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{3} \\
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}=\epsilon_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\epsilon_{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\epsilon_{3} e_{3} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Source: Clough and Seed (1968)

Test 1: $\mathrm{e}_{0}=1.05$

| $\sigma_{\mathrm{ZZ}}(\mathrm{ksc})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{rr}}(\mathrm{ksc})$ | $\varepsilon_{Z Z}(\%)$ | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{rr}}(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1.12 | 0.94 | 0.32 | -0.09 |
| 1.27 | 0.865 | 0.86 | -0.24 |
| 1.44 | 0.78 | 1.56 | -0.46 |
| 1.52 | 0.74 | 2.06 | -0.61 |
| 1.62 | 0.69 | 3.23 | -0.93 |
| 1.70 | 0.65 | 4.19 | -1.30 |
| 1.75 | 0.625 | 5.49 | -1.74 |
| 1.79 | 0.605 | 6.87 | -2.33 |
| 1.81 | 0.595 | 8.55 | -3.12 |
| 1.86 | 0.57 | 10.89 | -4.09 |
| 1.88 | 0.56 | 14.12 | -5.71 |

Test 2: $\mathrm{e}_{0}=1.03$

| $\sigma_{\mathrm{ZZ}}(\mathrm{ksc})$ | $\sigma_{\text {II }}(\mathrm{ksc})$ | $\varepsilon_{\text {ZZ }}(\%)$ | $\varepsilon_{\text {IT }}(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1.12 | 1.0 | 0.19 | 0.05 |
| 1.42 | 1.0 | 0.44 | 0.0 |
| 1.72 | 1.0 | 1.19 | 0.0 |
| 1.94 | 1.0 | 2.25 | -0.24 |
| 2.23 | 1.0 | 3.41 | -0.59 |
| 2.41 | 1.0 | 4.98 | -0.86 |
| 2.60 | 1.0 | 6.65 | -1.23 |
| 2.77 | 1.0 | 8.93 | -1.93 |
| 2.86 | 1.0 | 11.52 | -2.88 |
| 2.96 | 1.0 | 13.85 | -4.04 |
| 3.00 | 1.0 | 16.14 | -5.06 |
| 3.05 | 1.0 | 18.37 | -7.23 |

Figure 2.9 Triaxial test data.
in which the loading is done in the first direction. We have:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta W=\sigma_{i j} \delta \epsilon_{i j}=\sigma_{1} \delta \epsilon_{1}+2 \sigma_{3} \delta \epsilon_{3} \\
\delta W=\frac{1}{3}\left(\sigma_{1}+2 \sigma_{3}\right)\left(\delta \epsilon_{1}+2 \delta \epsilon_{3}\right)+\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right) \delta \epsilon_{s}
\end{array}\right.
$$

To find the expression of $\epsilon_{s}$, we proceed by identification, and we find:

$$
\epsilon_{s}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{3}\right)
$$

Let us recall that the second invariant of the deviatoric stress tensor can be calculated as:

$$
J_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(s^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{4}{9}\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{9}\left(\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{9}\left(\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{1}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

After simplification:

$$
J_{2}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right)^{2}
$$

And in the same way, the second invariant of the deviatoric strain tensor is:

$$
J_{2}^{\epsilon}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{3}\right)^{2}
$$

As a result, we have:

$$
\epsilon_{s}=\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{3 J_{2}^{\epsilon}}
$$

Additionally, we can readily see that:

$$
\epsilon_{v o l}=\operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})=I_{1}^{\epsilon}
$$

2. This question requires plotting the experimental results, fitting them with a linear elastic law, and calculating the error made by this fit.

### 2.17 Homework 2 - Problem 3

When we interpret the results of a triaxial axisymmetric test, we assume a uniform state of stress in the sample (as described in Problem 2), which requires that the porous stones (platens) are frictionless.

1. Draw a sketch of the triaxial specimen (with height $H$ and diameter $D$ ) and indicate the boundary conditions which are implied by this assumption. If the piston is rigid and the sample is made of linear, isotropic (elastic) material, determine the stresses and strains in the sample in terms of the confining pressure, $\sigma_{3}$, and the displacement of the upper piston, $\delta$.
2. In a real experiment, there is no slippage between the specimen and the porous stones. How does this affect the solution of the problem? Can you solve this problem when $\sigma_{3}=0$ and $\nu=0$ ?

## Solution:

1. Boundary conditions (direction 1 is the loading direction):

- Top surface, $z=H$, normal $+\boldsymbol{e}_{1}: u_{1}=\delta$ (controlled displacement), $\sigma_{21}=$ $\sigma_{31}=0$ (no friction);
- Bottom surface, $z=0$, normal $-e_{1}: u_{1}=0$ (fixed displacement), central node: $u_{2}=u_{3}=0$ (fixed) and rest of the boundary: $\sigma_{21}=\sigma_{31}=0$ (no friction);
- Lateral surface, $r=D / 2$, normal $\boldsymbol{e}_{r}: \sigma_{22}=\sigma_{33}=\sigma_{r r}=\sigma_{3}$ (uniform confining stress) and $\sigma_{12}=\sigma_{13}=\sigma_{23}=0$ (no tangential traction on the lateral face).

In the absence of shear stresses, the stresses $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{3}$ are the principal stresses and the strains $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{3}$ are the principal strains. Due to the symmetry of the test, the stress and strain tensors take the following forms:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\sigma_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\sigma_{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\sigma_{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{3} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{3} \\
\boldsymbol{\epsilon}=\epsilon_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\epsilon_{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\epsilon_{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{3} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

in which $\sigma_{3}$ is known and in which $\epsilon_{1}$ is imposed by the boundary conditions:

$$
\epsilon_{1}=\delta / H
$$

Next, $\sigma_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{3}$ can be found by using Hooke's law, as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{1}=\frac{E}{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)}\left[(1-\nu) \epsilon_{1}+2 \nu \epsilon_{3}\right] \\
\epsilon_{3}=\frac{\sigma_{3}}{E}-\nu \frac{\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{3}\right)}{E}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We get:

$$
\epsilon_{3}=\frac{(1-\nu) \sigma_{3}}{E}-\frac{\nu}{E} \times \frac{E}{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)}\left[(1-\nu) \frac{\delta}{H}+2 \nu \epsilon_{3}\right]
$$

from which we get:

$$
\epsilon_{3}=\frac{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)}{E} \sigma_{3}-\frac{\nu(1+\nu)}{(1-\nu)} \times \frac{\delta}{H}
$$

and lastly:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{1}=\frac{E}{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)}\left[(1-\nu) \frac{\delta}{H}-\frac{2 \nu^{2}(1+\nu)}{(1-\nu)} \times \frac{\delta}{H}+2 \nu \frac{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)}{E} \sigma_{3}\right] \\
\sigma_{1}=2 \nu \sigma_{3}+\frac{E}{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)}\left[(1-\nu)-\frac{2 \nu^{2}(1+\nu)}{(1-\nu)}\right] \frac{\delta}{H}
\end{gathered}
$$

2. In a real problem, the boundary conditions are:

- Top surface, $z=H$, normal $+\boldsymbol{e}_{1}: u_{1}=\delta$ (controlled displacement), $u_{2}=u_{3}=0$ (no slippage);
- Bottom surface, $z=0$, normal $-\boldsymbol{e}_{1}: u_{1}=0$ (fixed displacement), $u_{2}=u_{3}=0$ (no slippage);
- Lateral surface, $r=D / 2$, normal $\boldsymbol{e}_{r}: \sigma_{22}=\sigma_{33}=\sigma_{r r}=\sigma_{3}$ (uniform confining stress) and $\sigma_{12}=\sigma_{13}=\sigma_{23}=0$ (no tangential traction on the lateral face).

In a real test, shear stresses $\sigma_{12}$ and $\sigma_{13}$ can develop at the the top and bottom surfaces of the specimen. The state of stress is not uniform, since $\sigma_{12}$ and $\sigma_{13}$ are zero at the lateral surface for $0<z<H$. Maintaining the axis-smmetry assumption, the stress and strain tensors are now expressed as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\sigma}=\sigma_{11} \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\sigma_{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\sigma_{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{3} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{3}+\sigma_{13} \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\sigma_{13} \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{3} \\
& \boldsymbol{\epsilon}=\epsilon_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\epsilon_{33} \boldsymbol{e}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\epsilon_{33} \boldsymbol{e}_{3} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{3}+\epsilon_{13} \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\sigma_{13} \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\epsilon_{1}=\delta / H$ and $\sigma_{3}$ given. The unknowns are $\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{13}, \epsilon_{33}$ and $\epsilon_{13}$, which now depend on the position in the specimen. It is immossible to solve for the stress and strain fields in the specimen unless additional assumptions are made. For instance, if $\nu=0$, then the specimen will not undergo any lateral strains due to the compression in direction 1 and by the same token, there will not be any friction applied on the top and bottom platens. As a result: $\sigma_{13}=\epsilon_{13}=0$ and $\epsilon_{33}=\sigma_{3} / E$. If, in addition, $\sigma_{3}=0$, we get $\epsilon_{33}=0$, and also: $\sigma_{1}=E \epsilon_{1}=E \delta / H$. So we can solve the problem if we assume $\nu=0$ and $\sigma_{3}=0$.

### 2.18 Exam 1 - Problem 1

Let us consider a cylindrical specimen of length $L$ and initial section $S_{0}$. We seek to design a mechanical test in order to reproduce a uniform state of stress $\sigma=\alpha \boldsymbol{e}_{3} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{3}$ in the specimen, by only applying tractions at the boundary of the cylinder. $\alpha$ is a scalar constant and $e_{3}$ is a unit vector parallel to the axis of the cylinder. Inertia forces are neglected.

1. Calculate the forces that need to be applied to the specimen. Propose an experimental set-up to apply those forces. Comment on the way the specimen will be anchored. Calculate the value of $\alpha$ as a function of the applied forces.
2. The peak force at failure, noted $F_{f}$, is measured during the experiment. What is the error made on the calculation of the ultimate stress (i.e. the stress at failure) if the stress is calculated by using the initial cross-section of the specimen $\left(S_{0}\right)$ instead of the current cross section (noted $S_{t}$ ). Calcualte the error for the special case $S_{t}=0.8 S_{0}$.
3. Suppose that the stress field induced by gravity is of the form $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{g}=-\rho g x_{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{3} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{3}$, in which $\rho$ is the specific mass of the material (expressed in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) and $g$ is the gravity acceleration. Show that the equilibrium equations are satisfied. At what condition on the value of $\alpha$ can the gravity stress be neglected? Now suppose that the material that makes the specimen is used to design elevator cables. If the maximum stress that can be applied to the elevator cable is 200 MPa , what is the minimum number of elevators necessary to reach the bottom of a diamond mine located at a depth of 4 km ? Assume $\rho=7.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.
4. In the following, gravity forces are neglected. Express the stress components on a plane of normal $\boldsymbol{n}$ in the specimen. What is the orientation of the plane where the shear stress is maximum?
5. Three simple traction tests are performed with three different materials:

- a material that breaks when the normal stress exceeds a certain resistance $R$;
- a poly-crystalline material that breaks when the maximum shear stress exceeds the threshold $R$;
- a mono-crystalline material that breaks when the shear stress in the direction $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{0}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1,0,+1)$ on a plane of normal $\boldsymbol{n}_{\mathbf{0}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1,1,1)$ exceeds the threshold $R$.

Calculate the maximum force that can be applied to each of the three specimens. Assume small deformations.

Solution: see Figure 2.10

## 1 Essai de traction simple

1) $\sigma=\alpha, i_{3} \otimes i_{3}$,
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\mathbf{i}_{\alpha}\right)=0, \sigma\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}\right)=\alpha . \int_{S} \sigma\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}\right) \mathrm{dS}=\alpha \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{F}$. D'où $\alpha \alpha=\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{S}$. On doit appliquer -F à l'autre extrémité.
2) Il s'agit ici de $S_{t}$ qui est inconnue. Si les déformations sont petites, on approche $S_{t}$ par $S_{0}$.

Boussinesq : $\sigma=F /$ So $\quad$ Cauchy $\sigma=F / S$. erreur sur la contrainte a rupture err $=\mathrm{So} / \mathrm{S}=1.25$ (c est conservatif...)
3) $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{8}=-\rho g x_{3} \mathbf{i}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{3} . \operatorname{Div}_{\mathbf{x}} \sigma=\operatorname{Div}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(-\rho g x_{3} \mathbf{i}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{3}\right)=-\rho g\left(\mathbf{i}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{i}_{3}\right)\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{3}\right)\right)=-\rho g \mathbf{i}_{3}=$ pesanteur. Donc :
$\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbf{x}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}+\boldsymbol{p} \mathbf{g}=0$
Si la pièce est suspendue, ou repose sur le bas, la contrainte maximum associée à la pesanteur est $\rho g \mathrm{~L}$. Il faut done que : $\alpha \gg \rho g \mathrm{~L}$. Donc que : $\mathrm{F} \gg \rho \mathrm{gV}=\mathrm{P}$

Ascenseurs ${ }^{\circ}$ : $L \max =2613 \mathrm{~m} \Rightarrow$ au moins 2 ascenseurs sans compter le poids des cabines et des passagers
4)
$\sigma(\mathbf{n})=\alpha\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}, \mathbf{n}\right) \mathbf{i}_{3} . \quad \sigma_{\mathrm{nn}}=(\sigma(\mathbf{n}), \mathbf{n})=\alpha\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}, \mathbf{n}\right)^{2}=\alpha \mathrm{n}_{3}{ }^{2}$.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{T}}=\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{n})-\sigma_{\mathrm{nn}} \mathbf{n}=\alpha\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}, \mathbf{n}\right) \mathbf{i}_{3}-\alpha\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}, \mathbf{n}\right)^{2} \mathbf{n}=\alpha\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}, \mathbf{n}\right)\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}-\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}, \mathbf{n}\right) \mathbf{n}\right)$
$\left|\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}\right|=\alpha\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}, \mathbf{n}\right)\left|\mathbf{i}_{3}-\left(\mathbf{i}_{3}, \mathbf{n}\right) \mathbf{n}\right|$
$\operatorname{Max}_{4}\left|\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}\right|^{2}=\alpha^{2} \mathrm{n}_{3}{ }^{2}\left(1+\mathrm{n}_{3}{ }^{2}-2 \mathrm{n}_{3}{ }^{2}\right)=\alpha^{2} \mathrm{n}_{3}{ }^{2}\left(1-\mathrm{n}_{3}{ }^{2}\right)$, maximum pour $\mathrm{n}_{3}=1 / 2^{1 / 2}$, donc pour tous les plans de normale qui forment un cone orienté à $\pi / 4$ par rapport à la verticale
5) Cas du crystal : résistance $\max$ lorsque sur le plan de normale $\mathbf{n}_{0}$ : et direction $\mathbf{m}_{0}$ $\left|\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}\right|=\alpha \mathrm{n}_{30} \mathrm{~m}_{30}=\mathrm{R}=>\alpha=\tau_{0} /\left(\mathrm{n}_{30}\left(1-\mathrm{n}_{30}^{2}\right)^{2 / 2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{\max }=\mathrm{SR} /\left(\mathrm{n}_{30} \mathrm{~m}_{30}\right)=\mathrm{SR} \sqrt{6}$

Cas du polycristal : résistance $\max$ lorsque $\left|\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}\right|$ atteint le cisaillement $\max : \alpha=\mathrm{R} / 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{\max }=\mathrm{SR} 2$
A) Cas de la résistance à la traction : $\alpha=\sigma_{\max } \Rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{\max }=\mathrm{SR}$

Figure 2.10 Solution of Exam 1, Problem 1.

## CHAPTER 3

## ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS OF BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS IN LINEAR ELASTICITY

## PROBLEMS

3.1 Prove the Navier's equations of motion in Cartesian coordinates:
$\lambda\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial y}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial z}\right)+G\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial y}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial z}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}\right)+\rho f_{x}=0$
$\lambda\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y \partial z}\right)+G\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y \partial z}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial z^{2}}\right)+\rho f_{y}=0$
$\lambda\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial z}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y \partial z}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial z^{2}}\right)+G\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z \partial y}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y \partial z}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial z^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial z^{2}}\right)+\rho f_{z}=0$

Solution: We start with the equilibrium equation:

$$
\operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})+\rho \boldsymbol{f}=\mathbf{0}
$$

A projection along the x -direction provides:

$$
\frac{\partial \sigma_{x j}}{\partial x_{j}}+\rho f_{x}=0
$$

Now, we recall the constitutive equation, in index notation:

$$
\sigma_{i j}=\lambda \operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \delta_{i j}+2 G \epsilon_{i j}
$$

Combining the two last equations above, we get:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda \frac{\partial T r(\epsilon)}{\partial x_{j}} \delta_{x, j}+2 G \frac{\partial \epsilon_{x j}}{\partial x_{j}}+\rho f_{x}=0 \\
\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\epsilon_{x x}+\epsilon_{y y}+\epsilon_{z z}\right)+2 G\left(\frac{\partial \epsilon_{x x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \epsilon_{x y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \epsilon_{x z}}{\partial z}\right)+\rho f_{x}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

We now recall the strain-displacement relationships:
$\epsilon_{x x}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \epsilon_{y y}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \epsilon_{z z}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}, \epsilon_{x y}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right), \epsilon_{x z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right), \epsilon_{y z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)$
The combination of the two last equations provides:
$\lambda\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial y}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial z}\right)+G\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial y}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial z}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}\right)+\rho f_{x}=0$
which is the first of the three Navier's equations. The two other equations are obtained in the same way, by projecting the equilibrium equation in directions $y$ and $z$, respectively.
3.2 We consider a pressurized circular cavity of radius $a$ subjected to a uniform state of stress at a distance $b$ from the center, as shown in Figure 3.1. We focus on a 2D elasticity problem (plane strain or plane stress). Find the state of stress around the cavity, between $a$ and $b$, by solving the biharmonic equation.


Figure 3.1 Circular pressurized cavity subject to isotropic stress in the far field. Picture taken from (Brady \& Brown, 2004).

Solution: The equilibrium equation in terms of Airy's stress function is:

$$
\nabla^{4} U=0
$$

In cylindrical coordinates:

$$
\boldsymbol{\nabla} U(r, \theta, z)=\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \boldsymbol{e}_{r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}+\frac{\partial U}{\partial z} \boldsymbol{e}_{z}
$$

This problem is axis-symmetric. Suppose it is plane stress (the solution for the plane strain case is left for the reader). Then the equation above reduces to:

$$
\nabla U(r)=\frac{d U}{d r} \boldsymbol{e}_{r}
$$

Using the formulae given in chapter 1 for the gradient of a vector in cylindrical coordinates, we find:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\nabla}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} U(r))=\frac{d^{2} U}{d r^{2}} \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d U}{d r} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that:

$$
\nabla^{2} U=\operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\nabla}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} U))=\frac{d^{2} U}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d U}{d r}
$$

From there, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\nabla}\left(\nabla^{2} U\right)=\left(\frac{d^{3} U}{d r^{3}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d^{2} U}{d r^{2}}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d U}{d r}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \\
& \nabla\left(\nabla\left(\nabla^{2} U\right)\right)=\left(\frac{d^{4} U}{d r^{4}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d^{3} U}{d r^{3}}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d^{2} U}{d r^{2}}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d^{2} U}{d r^{2}}+\frac{2}{r^{3}} \frac{d U}{d r}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \\
& \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{d^{3} U}{d r^{3}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d^{2} U}{d r^{2}}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d U}{d r}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

And we finally get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{4} U=\frac{d^{4} U}{d r^{4}}+\frac{2}{r} \frac{d^{3} U}{d r^{3}}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d^{2} U}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r^{3}} \frac{d U}{d r} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to this equation is not trivial. We listed two of them in the notebook:

- The solution by Timoshenko and Goodier (1970):

$$
U(r)=A \ln (r)+B r^{2} \ln (r)+C r^{2}+D
$$

- The solution by Barber (2010):

$$
U(r \theta)=A \ln (r)+C r^{2}+E \theta
$$

in which $A, B, C, D$ and $E$ are constants. The reader can check that both solutions satisfy equation 3.2.

Using the relationships between the stress components and Airy's stress function, and using equation 3.1:

$$
\sigma_{r r}=\nabla_{\theta \theta}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} U)=\frac{1}{r} \frac{d U}{d r}, \quad \sigma_{\theta \theta}=\nabla_{r r}(\nabla U)=\frac{d^{2} U}{d r^{2}}, \quad \sigma_{r \theta}=\nabla_{\theta r}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} U)=0
$$

From which we get:

- For the solution of Timoshenko and Goodier (1970):

$$
\sigma_{r r}=\frac{A}{r^{2}}+2 B \ln (r)+B+2 C, \quad \sigma_{\theta \theta}=-\frac{A}{r^{2}}+2 B \ln (r)+3 B+2 C, \quad \sigma_{r \theta}=0
$$

- For the solution of Barber (2010):

$$
\sigma_{r r}=\frac{A}{r^{2}}+2 C, \quad \sigma_{\theta \theta}=-\frac{A}{r^{2}}+2 C, \quad \sigma_{r \theta}=0
$$

We now use the boundary conditions to find the unknown constants: $\sigma_{r r}(r=a)=p_{i}$, $\sigma_{r r}(r=b)=p_{0}$. For instance, using the solution of Barber:

$$
A=\frac{\left(p_{i}-p_{0}\right) a^{2} b^{2}}{\left(b^{2}-a^{2}\right)}, \quad 2 C=\frac{p_{0} b^{2}-p_{i} a^{2}}{\left(b^{2}-a^{2}\right)}
$$

At this point, the state of stress is known. The reader can show that the same state of stress is found if the solution of Timoshenko and Goodier is used.
3.3 Show that in Cartesian coordinates, the stress solution can be expressed in terms of two complex potentials $\phi(z)$ and $\psi(z)=\chi^{\prime}(z)$, as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sigma_{x x}+\sigma_{y y}=\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial y^{2}}=2\left[\phi^{\prime}(z)+\overline{\phi^{\prime}(z)}\right]=2 \mathcal{R}\left[\phi^{\prime}(z)\right] \\
\sigma_{y y}-\sigma_{x x}+2 i \sigma_{x y}=\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial y^{2}}-2 i \frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial x \partial y}=2\left[\bar{z} \phi^{\prime \prime}(z)+\psi^{\prime}(z)\right]
\end{array}
$$

Solution: To solve this problem, one has to be able to calculate the second derivatives of Airy's stress function, by using the derivation rules that apply to complex functions. To illustrate the procedure, we provide the details of the calculation of the first order derivatives of Airy's stress function. The second-order derivations are left to the reader. The final expressions of the fist and second - order derivatives of Airy's stress function are provided in the notebook for reference. We recall that, in virtue of Cauchy-Rieman's equation, for a complex function $\zeta(z)=\xi(z)+i \eta(z)$ :

$$
\zeta^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x}-i \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y}+i \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x}+i \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y}-i \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y}
$$

Then, noting that $z=x+i y$ and $\bar{z}=x-i y$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\bar{z} \phi(z)+z \bar{\phi}(z)+\chi(z)+\bar{\chi}(z)) \\
2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}=\phi(z)+\bar{z} \phi^{\prime}(z)+\bar{\phi}(z)+z \bar{\phi}^{\prime}(z)+\chi^{\prime}(z)+\bar{\chi}^{\prime}(z)
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly:

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\bar{z} \phi(z)+z \bar{\phi}(z)+\chi(z)+\bar{\chi}(z)) \\
2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}=-i \phi(z)+i \bar{z} \phi^{\prime}(z)+i \bar{\phi}(z)-i z \bar{\phi}^{\prime}(z)+i \chi^{\prime}(z)-i \bar{\chi}^{\prime}(z)
\end{gathered}
$$

3.4 At a depth of 750 m , a $10-\mathrm{m}$ diameter circular tunnel is driven in rock having a unit weight of $26 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and uniaxial compressive and tensile strengths of 80 MPa and 3 MPa , respectively. Will the strength of the rock on the tunnel boundary be exceeded if: (i) $\mathrm{K}=0.3$ ? (ii) $\mathrm{K}=2$ ?

Solution: This is a problem of free circular cavity subjected to a biaxial state of stress in the far field. We can solve it by using Kirsch's equations. At the cavity wall, $\sigma_{r r}=\sigma_{r \theta}=0$ (free cavity), and we have:

$$
\sigma_{\theta \theta}(r=a)=\sigma_{v}(1+K+2(1-K) \cos 2 \theta)
$$

We have:

$$
\sigma_{v}=-\gamma h=26 \times 10^{3} \times 750=-19.5 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

(i) If $K=0.3$ :

$$
\sigma_{\theta \theta}(r=a)=-\gamma h(1.3+1.4 \cos 2 \theta)
$$

The maximum tension (counted positive here) occurs when $\cos 2 \theta=-1$, and $\sigma_{\theta \theta}(r=$ $a)=+0.1 \gamma h=1.95 \mathrm{MPa}$. The maximum tension is below the tensile strength, so there is no risk of tensile failure at the cavity wall. The maximum compression occurs when $\cos 2 \theta=+1$, and $\sigma_{\theta \theta}(r=a)=-2.7 \gamma h=-52.7 \mathrm{MPa}$. The maximum compression is below the compressive strength, so there is no risk of compressive failure at the cavity wall.
(ii) If $K=2$ :

$$
\sigma_{\theta \theta}(r=a)=-\gamma h(3-2 \cos 2 \theta)
$$

The maximum value of $\sigma_{\theta \theta}(r=a)$ occurs when $\cos 2 \theta=+1$. The stress is still negative for those angles, which means that for $K=2$, the cavity is entirely in compression. Therefore there is no risk of tensile failure at the cavity wall. The maximum compression occurs when $\cos 2 \theta=-1$, and $\sigma_{\theta \theta}(r=a)=-5 \gamma h=-97.5 \mathrm{MPa}$, which exceeds the compressive strength. So there is a risk of compressive failure at the cavity wall.
3.5 A gold-bearing quartz vein, 2 m thick and dipping $90^{\circ}$, is to be exploited by a small-cut-and-fill stoping operation. The mining is to take place at a depth of 800 m , and the average unit weight of the granite host rock above this level is $29 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. The strike of the vein is parallel to the intermediate stress, and the major principal stress is horizontal with a magnitude of 37 MPa . The uniaxial compressive strength of the vein material is 218 MPa (in absolute value), and the tensile strength of the host rock is 5 MPa (in absolute value). What is the maximum permissible stope height before failure occurs?

Solution: Here, the cross section of the cavity is oriented with its width horizontal, its height vertical, and the axis of the cavity is orthogonal to the plane of the paper sheet (parallel to the intermediate stress direction). The width $W$ of the cavity is 2 meters (to exploit full the vein), and the height $H$ of the cavity is to be determined to avoid failure. To solve the problem, we use the formulae of the course that give the extremum values of the orthoradial stress $\sigma_{\theta \theta}$, at A (sidewall) and and at B (crown):

$$
\sigma_{A}=p\left(1-K+2 \frac{W}{H}\right), \quad \sigma_{B}=p\left(K-1+2 K \frac{H}{W}\right)
$$

In this problem, $p=-\gamma h=29 \times 10^{3} \times 800=-23.2 \mathrm{MPa}$, and $K=\sigma_{H} / p=37 / 23.2=$ 1.59. Since the horizontal stress is larger than the vertical stress, it is expected that there will be compression at the crown (B) and tension at the sidewall (A). So to avoid failure at the wall, one must ensure: $\sigma_{A} \leq 5 \mathrm{MPa}$ and $\sigma_{B} \geq 218 \mathrm{MPa}$. From there, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -23.2\left(-0.59+\frac{4}{H}\right) \leq 5 \\
& -23.2\left(0.59+3.18 \frac{H}{2}\right) \geq-218
\end{aligned}
$$

We get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{4}{H} \geq 0.59-\frac{5}{23.2} \\
& 3.18 \frac{H}{2} \leq \frac{218}{23.2}+0.59
\end{aligned}
$$

and lastly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H \leq 5.5 \mathrm{~m} \\
& H \leq 10.7 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

So overall, the height of the cavity should not exceed 5.5 meters.
3.6 In Figure 3.2, the uniaxial rock compressive strength is 50 MPa and the corresponding crack initiation stress is $\sigma_{c}=16 \mathrm{MPa}$. Calculate the extent of the failure zone in tension and compression.

Solution: This is a problem of free circular cavity subjected to a biaxial state of stress in the far field. We can solve it by using Kirsch's equations. At the cavity wall, $\sigma_{r r}=\sigma_{r \theta}=0$ (free cavity), and we have:

$$
\sigma_{\theta \theta}(r=a)=p(1+K+2(1-K) \cos 2 \theta)
$$

with $p=7.5 \mathrm{MPa}$ (compression counted positive) and $K=1 / 3$ :

$$
\sigma_{\theta \theta}(r=a)=\frac{4 p}{3}(1+\cos 2 \theta)
$$



Figure 3.2 Cavity studied in Problem 3.6.

Failure occurs at the wall in compression if $\sigma_{\theta \theta}(r=a) \geq 16 \mathrm{MPa}$, i.e. $\cos 2 \theta \geq 0.6$, i.e. for $-26^{\circ} \leq \theta \geq 26^{\circ}$. Failure occurs at the wall in tension if $\sigma_{\theta \theta}(r=a) \leq 0 \mathrm{MPa}$, i.e. $1+\cos 2 \theta \leq 0$. This only happens at the crown $\left(\theta=90^{\circ}\right)$ and at the foot $\left(\theta=-90^{\circ}\right)$.
3.7 Provided the boundary conditions in Figure 3.3, and knowing that the following purely frictional strength criterion holds: $\sigma_{1}=d \sigma_{3}+C_{0}, C_{0}=0$, calculate: (i) the extent of the damaged zone $\left(r_{e}\right)$, (ii) the pressure in the damaged zone $\left(p_{1}\right)$.


Figure 3.3 Cavity studied in Problem 3.7. (Brady \& Brown, 2004)

Solution: It is not possible to use a known analytical solution in the damaged zone, since the behavior of the rock mass is not linear elastic. So we start by establishing the equation of equilibrium in the damaged zone:

$$
\operatorname{div}(\sigma)=0
$$

We calculate the divergence of the stress tensor in cylindrical coordinates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\operatorname { d i v }}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})=\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}=\quad & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \boldsymbol{e}_{r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \boldsymbol{e}_{z}\right) \cdot\left(\sigma_{r r} \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}+\sigma_{r \theta} \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}+\sigma_{r z} \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{z}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \boldsymbol{e}_{r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \boldsymbol{e}_{z}\right) \cdot\left(\sigma_{\theta r} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}+\sigma_{r \theta} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}+\sigma_{\theta z} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{z}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \boldsymbol{e}_{r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \boldsymbol{e}_{z}\right) \cdot\left(\sigma_{z r} \boldsymbol{e}_{z} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}+\sigma_{z \theta} \boldsymbol{e}_{z} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}+\sigma_{z z} \boldsymbol{e}_{z} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{z}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The full derivation of this expression requires deriving the position vectors $\boldsymbol{e}_{r}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}$ by $\theta$. We have: $\boldsymbol{e}_{r}=\cos \theta \boldsymbol{e}_{x}+\sin \theta \boldsymbol{e}_{y}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}=-\sin \theta \boldsymbol{e}_{x}+\cos \theta \boldsymbol{e}_{y}$ so that $d \boldsymbol{e}_{r} / d \theta=\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}$ and $d \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} / d \theta=-\boldsymbol{e}_{r}$. One can show that the divergence of the stress tensor in cylindrical coordinates is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\operatorname { d i v }}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})=\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}=\quad & \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_{r r}}{\partial r}+\frac{\sigma_{r r}}{r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta r}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{z r}}{\partial z}-\frac{\sigma_{\theta \theta}}{r}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta \theta}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{r \theta}}{\partial r}+2 \frac{\sigma_{r \theta}}{r}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{z \theta}}{\partial z}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \\
& +\left(\frac{\partial \sigma_{z z}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{r z}}{\partial r}+\frac{\sigma_{r z}}{r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta z}}{\partial \theta}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

The present problem is plane stress and axis-symmetric, so:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\operatorname { d i v }}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})=\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}= & \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_{r r}}{\partial r}+\frac{\sigma_{r r}}{r}-\frac{\sigma_{\theta \theta}}{r}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \\
& +\left(\frac{\partial \sigma_{r \theta}}{\partial r}+2 \frac{\sigma_{r \theta}}{r}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

The projection of the divergence on the radial axis provides the following equilibrium equation (often encountered in axis-symmetric problems of cavity expansion):

$$
\frac{d \sigma_{r r}}{d r}+\frac{\sigma_{r r}-\sigma_{\theta \theta}}{r}=0
$$

The problem is isotropic so there is no shear stress. Therefore $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{\theta \theta}$ (compressive hoop stress) and $\sigma_{3}=\sigma_{r r}$. In the damaged zone, the frictional strength criterion is expressed as: $\sigma_{1}=d \sigma_{3}$, therefore $\sigma_{\theta \theta}=d \sigma_{r r}$. The introduction of that relation in the equation of equilibrium provides:

$$
\frac{d \sigma_{r r}}{d r}+(1-d) \frac{\sigma_{r r}}{r}=0
$$

Or:

$$
\frac{d \sigma_{r r}}{\sigma_{r r}}=(d-1) \frac{d r}{r}
$$

Integrating the above equation between $r=a$ (cavity wall) and $r \leq r_{e}$ (where $r=r_{e}$ is the boundary between the damaged zone and the elastic zone, we get:

$$
\ln \left(\frac{\sigma_{r r}}{p_{i}}\right)=(d-1) \ln \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)
$$

And finally:

$$
\sigma_{r r}=p_{i}\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{(d-1)}
$$

At the boundary between the elastic zone and the damaged zone: $\sigma_{r r}=p_{1}$ (by definition) and $r=r_{e}$. Therefore:

$$
p_{1}=p_{i}\left(\frac{r_{e}}{a}\right)^{(d-1)}
$$

and we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{e}=a\left(\frac{p_{1}}{p_{i}}\right)^{1 /(d-1)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So if we find $p_{1}$, Equation 3.3 provides $r_{e}$ and we solved the problem. To find $p_{1}$, we analyze the state of stress in the elastic zone. The elastic zone can be viewed as a pressurized circular cavity of internal radius $r_{e}$, internal pressure $p_{1}$, and far-field pressure $p$. We use the analytical solution seen in class for this case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{r r} & =p+\left(\frac{r_{e}}{r}\right)^{2}\left(p_{1}-p\right) \\
\sigma_{\theta \theta} & =p-\left(\frac{r_{e}}{r}\right)^{2}\left(p_{1}-p\right) \\
\sigma_{r \theta} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

At $r=r_{e}$ : the boundary conditions impose: $\sigma_{r r}=p_{1}$ and we find $\sigma_{\theta \theta}=2 p-p_{1}$. In the elastic zone, the material has some cohesion and the strength criterion is therefore $\sigma_{1}=d \sigma_{3}+C_{0}$, i.e. $\sigma_{\theta \theta}=d \sigma_{r r}+C_{0}$. As a result, we have:

$$
2 p-p_{1}=d p_{1}+C_{0}
$$

and finally:

$$
p_{1}=\frac{2 p-C_{0}}{d+1}
$$

Then $r_{e}$ is found from Equation 3.3:

$$
r_{e}=a\left(\frac{2 p-C_{0}}{(d+1) p_{i}}\right)^{1 /(d-1)}
$$

3.8 In Figure 3.4: Does the plane of weakness affect the elastic stress distribution? Under which conditions does the rock mass slip along the plane of weakness?


Figure 3.4 Cavity studied in Problem 3.8. (Brady \& Brown, 2004)

Solution: This is a problem of free circular cavity subjected to a biaxial state of stress in the far field. We can solve it by using Kirsch's equations. The shear stress that is applied on the plane of weakness is equal to $\sigma_{r \theta}$ at $\theta=0$. According to Kirsch's equations, $\sigma_{r \theta}(\theta=0)=0$, which means that no shear stress is applied on the plane of weakness, and therefore, there is no slip on the plane of weakness. According to Kirsch's equations, the hoop stress $\sigma_{\theta \theta}$ on the plane of weakness at the cavity wall is:

$$
\sigma_{\theta \theta}\left(r=a, \theta=90^{\circ}\right)=p(1+K)+2 p(1-K)=p(3-K)
$$

If $K \leq 3, \sigma_{\theta \theta}$ is compressive (compression counted positive) and the plane of weakness cannot open; the presence of the plane of weakness does not change the elastic state of stress. If $K \geq 3, \sigma_{\theta \theta}$ is tensile and the plane of weakness could open, and disturb the elastic state of stress.
3.9 In Figure 3.5: Does the plane of weakness affect the elastic stress distribution? Under which conditions does the rock mass slip along the plane of weakness?


Figure 3.5 Cavity studied in Problem 3.9. (Brady \& Brown, 2004)

Solution: This is a problem of free circular cavity subjected to a biaxial state of stress in
the far field. We can solve it by using Kirsch's equations. The shear stress that is applied on the plane of weakness is equal to $\sigma_{r \theta}$ at $\theta=90^{\circ}$. According to Kirsch's equations, $\sigma_{r \theta}(\theta=0)=0$, which means that no shear stress is applied on the plane of weakness, and therefore, there is no slip on the plane of weakness. The plane of weakness could open if $\sigma_{\theta \theta}$ was positive (tension). At the cavity wall, $\sigma_{r r}=0$ and, according to Kirsch's equations:

$$
\sigma_{\theta \theta}\left(r=a, \theta=90^{\circ}\right)=p(1+K)-2 p(1-K)=p(3 K-1)
$$

If $K \geq 1 / 3, \sigma_{\theta \theta}$ is compressive and the plane of weakness cannot open; the presence of the plane of weakness does not change the elastic state of stress. If $K \leq 1 / 3$, there is a risk that the fracture (plane of weakness) opens, thus disturbing the elastic state of stress.
3.10 In Figure 3.6: Does the plane of weakness affect the elastic stress distribution? Under which conditions does the rock mass slip along the plane of weakness?


Figure 3.6 Cavity studied in Problem 3.10. (Brady \& Brown, 2004)

Solution: There is slippage along the plane of weakness if $\tau \geq \tan \phi \sigma_{n}$. The transformation of stress provides:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau=\sigma_{\theta \theta} \sin \theta \cos \theta \\
\sigma_{n}=\sigma_{\theta \theta}(\cos \theta)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

So there is slippage if:

$$
\sin \theta \geq \tan \phi \cos \theta
$$

In other words:

$$
\tan \theta \geq \tan \phi
$$

And we conclude that for angles that are under $90^{\circ}$, that implies that there is slippage if:

$$
\theta \geq \phi
$$



Figure 3.7 Cavity studied in Problem 3.11. (Brady \& Brown, 2004)
3.11 In Figure 3.7: Does the plane of weakness affect the elastic stress distribution? Under which conditions does the rock mass slip along the plane of weakness?

Solution: This is a problem of free circular cavity subjected to a biaxial state of stress in the far field. We can solve it by using Kirsch's equations. According to Kirsch's equations, for $\theta=45^{\circ}$ and $K=0.5$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{n} & =\sigma_{\theta \theta}=\frac{3 p}{4}\left(1+\frac{a^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \\
\tau & =\sigma_{r \theta}=\frac{p}{4}\left(1+2 \frac{a^{2}}{r^{2}}-3 \frac{a^{4}}{r^{4}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From here, it is possible to plot $\tau / \sigma_{n}$ and to check when this ratio exceeds $\tan \phi$ (when $\tau / \sigma_{n} \geq \tan \phi$, there is slippage). The plot shows that $\tau / \sigma_{n}$ reaches a maximum for $r / a=2.5$, with $\tau=0.357 \sigma_{n}$, which corresponds to $\phi=19.6^{\circ}$. Most rock materials have a larger friction angle, so the risk of slippage along the plane of weakness is low. One can see that $\sigma_{n}$ is always compressive so there is no risk of plane weakness opening. As a conclusion, the plane of weakness is unlikely to change the state of elastic stress.
3.12 In Figure 3.8: Does the plane of weakness affect the elastic stress distribution? Under which conditions does the rock mass slip along the plane of weakness?

Solution: This is a problem of free circular cavity subjected to a biaxial state of stress in the far field. We can solve it by using Kirsch's equations. According to Kirsch's equations,


Figure 3.8 Cavity studied in Problem 3.12. (Brady \& Brown, 2004)
for $K=1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{r r} & =p\left(1-\frac{a^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \\
\sigma_{\theta \theta} & =p\left(1+\frac{a^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \\
\sigma_{r \theta} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

We can find the state of stress on the plane of weakness by performing a transformation of stress:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{n} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{r r}+\sigma_{\theta \theta}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{r r}-\sigma_{\theta \theta}\right) \cos 2 \theta \\
\tau & =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{r r}-\sigma_{\theta \theta}\right) \sin 2 \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

By combining the two sets of equations above, we get that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{n} & =p+p\left(\frac{a^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \cos 2 \theta \\
\tau & =-p\left(\frac{a^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \sin 2 \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

One can readily see that $\sigma_{n}$ is always compressive, so there is no risk of fracture opening. Slip could happen along the plane of weakness if $\tau / \sigma_{n} \geq \tan \phi$. To determine whether there is slippage or not, one has to plot $\tau / \sigma_{n}$, find the maximum of $\tau / \sigma_{n}$ and check the
value of the friction angle required to trigger slippage (see previous problem).
3.13 Considering Figure 3.9: How can we improve the design in order to avoid compressive failure at the sidewalls?


Figure 3.9 Cavity studied in Problem 3.13. (Brady \& Brown, 2004)

Solution: We recall the stress at the wall of an ellipse: at A (sidewall) and and at B (crown):

$$
\sigma_{A}=p\left(1-K+2 \frac{W}{H}\right), \quad \sigma_{B}=p\left(K-1+2 K \frac{H}{W}\right)
$$

For a field stress ratio K of 0.3 , an inscribed ellipse indicates approximate sidewall stresses of 2.5 p, using equation 3.13 . If the observed performance of the opening involved crushing of the sidewalls, its redesign should aim to reduce stresses in these areas. Inspection of equation 3.13 indicates this can be achieved by reducing the excavation width/height ratio. For example, if the width/height ratio is reduced to 0.5 , the peak sidewall stress is calculated to be 1.7 p . While the practicality of mining an opening to this shape is not certain, the general principle is clear, that the maximum boundary stress can be reduced if the opening dimension is increased in the direction of the major principal stress. For this case, a practical solution could be achieved by mining an opening with a low width/height ratio, and leaving a bed of mullock in the base of the excavation.
3.14 Considering Figure 3.10: What are the stresses at the sidewall and at the crown?


Figure 3.10 Cavity studied in Problem 3.14. (Brady \& Brown, 2004)

Solution: The width/height ratio for the opening is three, and the radius of curvature for the side wall is $\mathrm{H} / 2$. For a ratio of 0.5 of the horizontal and vertical field principal stresses, the sidewall boundary stress is given, by substitution in Equation 3.13 (see previous problem):

$$
\sigma_{A}=p\left(1-0.5+\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 3 H}{H / 2}}\right)=3.96 p
$$

An independent boundary element analysis of this problem yields a sidewall boundary stress of 3.60 p, which is sufficiently close for practical design purposes. Although the radius of curvature, for the ovaloid, is infinite at point $B$ in the centre of the crown of the excavation, it is useful to consider the state of stress at the centre of the crown of an ellipse inscribed in the ovaloid. This predicts a value of B, according to Equation 3.13, of -0.17 p, while the boundary element analysis for the ovaloid produces a value at B of -0.15 p. This suggests that excavation aspect ratio (say, W/H), as well as boundary curvature, can be used to develop a reasonably accurate picture of the state of stress around an opening.
3.15 Considering Figure 3.11: What are is the stress at edge A?


Figure 3.11 Cavity studied in Problem 3.15. (Brady \& Brown, 2004)

Solution: The square hole has rounded corners, each with radius of curvature $\rho=0.2 B$.

For a hydrostatic stress field, the problem shown in the left figure is mechanically equivalent to that shown in the right figure. The inscribed ovaloid has a width of $2 B\left[2^{1 / 2}-\right.$ $\left.0.4\left(2^{1 / 2}-1\right)\right]$, from the geometry. The boundary stress at the rounded corner is estimated from Equation 3.13, as:

$$
\sigma_{A}=p\left(1-1+\sqrt{\frac{2 B\left(2^{1 / 2}-0.4\left(2^{1 / 2}-1\right)\right)}{0.2 B}}\right)=3.53 p
$$

The corresponding boundary element solution is 3.14 p . The effect of boundary curvature on boundary stress appears to be a particular consequence of St Venant's Principle, in that the boundary state of stress is dominated by the local geometry, provided the excavation surface contour is relatively smooth.
3.16 Considering Figure 3.12: Comment on the boundary stresses at the crown, at the sidewall, and at edges A, B, C and D.


Figure 3.12 Cavity studied in Problem 3.16. (Brady \& Brown, 2004)

Solution: Using the general notions developed above, the opening geometry (width/height ratio $=2 / 3$ ) and pre-mining stress ratio $(\mathrm{K}=0.5)$, the following information concerning boundary stresses can be deduced:

- The zones A, B, C are likely to be highly stressed, since the boundary curvature at these locations is high. Local cracking is to be expected in these zones, but this would compromise neither the integrity of the excavation nor the validity of the stress analysis.
- The bench area $D$ is likely to be at a low state of stress, due to the notionally negative curvature of the prominence forming the bench.
- The boundary stress at the centre of the crown would be approximately 0.72 p, estimated from Equation 3.13 (The boundary element solution is 0.82 p.)
- An estimate of the sidewall boundary stress, obtained by considering an inscribed ellipse and applying Equation 3.13, yields $\mathrm{A}=1.83$ p. For the sifewall locations in the left wall, boundary element analysis gives values of 1.87 p, 1.75p and 2.08p. For the locations in the right wall, the A values are $1.35 \mathrm{p}, 1.36 \mathrm{p}$ and 1.61 p . The average of these six values is 1.67 p .

Boundary element analysis also confirms the two first conclusions above. The demonstration, in an elastic analysis, of a zone of tensile stress, such as in the bench of the current excavation design, has significant engineering implications. Since a rock mass must be assumed to have zero tensile strength, stress redistribution must occur in the vicinity of the bench. This implies the development of a de-stressed zone in the bench and some loss of control over the behaviour of rock in this region. The important point is that a rock mass in compression may behave as a stable continuum. In a de-stressed state, small imposed or gravitational loads can cause large displacements of component rock units.

### 3.17 Consider Figure 3.13. We give:

- Solution for the line load:

$$
\sigma_{x x}=\frac{2 P}{\pi} \frac{x^{2} z}{R^{4}}, \quad \sigma_{z z}=\frac{2 P}{\pi} \frac{z^{3}}{R^{4}}, \quad \sigma_{x z}=\frac{2 P}{\pi} \frac{z^{2} x}{R^{4}}
$$

- Solution for the footing:

$$
\sigma_{z z}=\frac{Q}{\pi}(a+\sin a \cos (a+2 \delta))
$$

1. Using the solution for the stresses $\left(\sigma_{x x}, \sigma_{z z}, \sigma_{x z}\right)$ under a line load of intensity $P$ (force/unit length) acting normal to the surface, obtain the stresses due to a strip footing of width $2 a$ with an applied surface traction $Q(x)$. Write specific solutions for the case when $Q=Q_{0}$ (i.e. for surface constant loading).
2. Show that the loci of points with $\sigma_{1}=$ constant (or $\sigma_{3}=$ constant) describe a circle.
3. What is the locus of $q=0.5\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right)=$ constant? The value of $q$ represents the maximum shear stress acting at a point. Show that $q_{\max }=Q / \pi$.

## Solution:

1. The first question can be answered by integrating the given line loads over the width of the strip:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{x x}(x, z)=\frac{2 z}{\pi} \int_{x^{\prime}=-a}^{+a} \frac{x^{\prime 2} Q\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left(z^{2}+\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right.} d x^{\prime} \\
& \sigma_{z z}(x, z)=\frac{2 z^{3}}{\pi} \int_{x^{\prime}=-a}^{+a} \frac{Q\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left(z^{2}+\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right.} d x^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3.13 Distributed load studied in Problem 3.17. (Assimaki, 2014)

$$
\sigma_{x z}(x, z)=\frac{2 z^{2}}{\pi} \int_{x^{\prime}=-a}^{+a} \frac{x^{\prime} Q\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left(z^{2}+\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right.} d x^{\prime}
$$

For a uniform surface traction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{x x}(x, z)=\frac{2 Q_{0} z}{\pi} \int_{x^{\prime}=-a}^{+a} \frac{x^{\prime 2}}{\left(z^{2}+\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right.} d x^{\prime} \\
& \sigma_{z z}(x, z)=\frac{2 Q_{0} z^{3}}{\pi} \int_{x^{\prime}=-a}^{+a} \frac{1}{\left(z^{2}+\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right.} d x^{\prime} \\
& \sigma_{x z}(x, z)=\frac{2 Q_{0} z^{2}}{\pi} \int_{x^{\prime}=-a}^{+a} \frac{x^{\prime}}{\left(z^{2}+\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right.} d x^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

The end result of these calculations is provided in the notebook:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{z z}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi}\left[\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)-\sin \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right) \cos \left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\right] \\
\sigma_{x x}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi}\left[\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)+\sin \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right) \cos \left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\right] \\
\sigma_{x z}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi}\left[\sin \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right) \sin \left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

in which $\theta_{1}=90^{\circ}-\delta$ and $\theta_{2}=90^{\circ}-(\delta+\alpha)$.
2. We first calculate the principal stresses:

$$
\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{a v}+\mathcal{R}, \quad \sigma_{3}=\sigma_{a v}-\mathcal{R}
$$

with:

$$
\sigma_{a v}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{x x}+\sigma_{z z}\right), \quad \mathcal{R}^{2}=\left(\frac{\sigma_{z z}-\sigma_{x x}}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{x z}\right)^{2}
$$

We have:

$$
\sigma_{a v}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi}\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right), \quad \mathcal{R}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi} \sin \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)
$$

$\sigma_{1}$ is constant if:

$$
\sigma_{1}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi}\left(\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)+\sin \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)\right)=\mathrm{cst}
$$

By deriving the above expression by $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}$, we obtain the following requirement:

$$
1+\cos \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)=0
$$

which implies:

$$
\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}+180^{\circ}+k \times 360^{\circ}
$$

Working with $\sigma_{3}$, we similarly obtain:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{3}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi}\left(\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)-\sin \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)\right)=\mathrm{cst} \\
1-\cos \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)=0 \\
\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}+k \times 360^{\circ}
\end{gathered}
$$

We have $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}$ or $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}+180^{\circ}$, which both indicate that the point of observation lies on a circle [check why].
3. We can calculate the value of $q$ from the calculation of $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{3}$ :

$$
q=0.5\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right)=\mathcal{R}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi} \sin \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)
$$

We see that the maximum value of the maximum shear stress is:

$$
q_{\max }=\frac{Q_{0}}{\pi}
$$

The locus of the points characterized by $q=c s t$ is found by deriving the expression of $q$ by $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}$ :

$$
\cos \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)=0
$$

which implies:

$$
\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}+90^{\circ}+2 \times 180^{\circ}
$$

The locus is the vertical axis z [check].

### 3.18 Homework 2 - Problem 4

A strain cell was used to determine the state of strain in the walls of a borehole. The borehole was oriented $300^{\circ} / 70^{\circ}$, in which $300^{\circ}$ is the angle of strike, and $70^{\circ}$ is the angle of dip (Figure 3.14). Using the angular coordinates and orientations defined in Figure 3.14, the measured states of strain in the wall of the hole, for various angles $\theta$ and $\psi$, are reported in Table 3.1. The Young's modulus of the rock was 40 GPa , and the Poisson's ratio, 0.25.

Table 3.1 Measures taken by the strain cell at the wall of a borehole (expressed in microstrains).

|  | $\psi=0^{\circ}$ | $\psi=45^{\circ}$ | $\psi=90^{\circ}$ | $\psi=135^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\theta=0^{\circ}$ | N/A | 213.67 | 934.41 | 821.11 |
| $\theta=120^{\circ}$ | 96.36 | N/A | 349.15 | 131.45 |
| $\theta=240^{\circ}$ | 96.36 | N/A | 560.76 | 116.15 |



Figure 3.14 Conventions adopted to define orientations in strain cell tests.

1. Set up the set of nine equations relating measured strain and gauge location. Note that, for $\psi=0^{\circ}$, identical equations are obtained, independent of $\theta$.
2. Solve the system of equations for the field stress components $p_{l l}, p_{m m}, p_{n n}, p_{l m}, p_{l n}$, $p_{m n}$.
It is recommended to use a computational tool (such as MATLAB). Note that you will have to select six independent equations from the set of eight equations established in question 2.1.
3. Determine the field principal stresses.
4. Determine the orientations of the field principal stresses relative to the mine global axes (x-north, y-east, z -down).

## Solution:

1. First let us recall (or establish) Leeman's analytical solution for the stress distribution around circular cavities subject to the 3D field stress p. Using the notations of Figure
3.14:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{r r} & =\sigma_{r \theta}=\sigma_{r n}=0 \\
\sigma_{\theta \theta} & =p_{l l}(1-2 \cos (2 \theta))+p_{m m}(1+2 \cos (2 \theta))-4 p_{l m} \sin (2 \theta) \\
\sigma_{n n} & =p_{n n}+2 \nu\left(-p_{l l} \cos (2 \theta)+p_{m m} \cos (2 \theta)-2 p_{l m} \sin (2 \theta)\right) \\
\sigma_{\theta n} & =2 p_{m n} \cos \theta-2 p_{n l} \sin \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Changing coordinate systems to express the state of plane stress, the normal components of the boundary stress, in the directions OA, OB are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{A} & =\frac{\sigma_{n n}+\sigma_{\theta \theta}}{2}+\frac{\sigma_{n n}-\sigma_{\theta}}{2} \cos (2 \psi)+\sigma_{n \theta} \sin (2 \psi) \\
\sigma_{B} & =\frac{\sigma_{n n}+\sigma_{\theta \theta}}{2}-\frac{\sigma_{n n}-\sigma_{\theta}}{2} \cos (2 \psi)-\sigma_{n \theta} \sin (2 \psi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Six independent stress measures in six different directions (OA) provide six independent equations:

$$
\sigma_{A i}=\frac{\sigma_{n n}+\sigma_{\theta \theta}}{2}+\frac{\sigma_{n n}-\sigma_{\theta}}{2} \cos (2 \psi)+\sigma_{n \theta} \sin (2 \psi), \quad i=1, \ldots, 6
$$

Which depend on six unknowns $p_{l l}, p_{m m}, p_{n n}, p_{l m}, p_{l n}, p_{m n}$. The 3D field stress $\mathbf{p}$ is determined by solving a system of equations which is written in the form:

$$
[M]\{p\}=\left\{\sigma_{A}\right\}
$$

Assuming a state of plane stress in the plane of the borehole cross section:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{A} & =\frac{\sigma_{A}}{E}-\frac{\nu}{E} \sigma_{B} \\
\epsilon_{B} & =\frac{\sigma_{B}}{E}-\frac{\nu}{E} \sigma_{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

Six independent strain measures in six different directions (OA) provide six independent equations, which depend on six unknowns $p_{l l}, p_{m m}, p_{n n}, p_{l m}, p_{l n}, p_{m n}$. The 3D field stress $\mathbf{p}$ is determined by solving a system of equations which is written in the form:

$$
[M]\{p\}=\left\{\epsilon_{A}\right\}
$$

The system of 9 equations is set up by introducing the values of the measured strains in the following equations, for the positions $\theta$ and $\psi$ reported in the table:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E \epsilon_{A}=\quad & p_{l l}\left[\frac{1}{2}((1-\nu)-(1+\nu) \cos 2 \psi)-\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)(1-\cos 2 \psi) \cos 2 \theta\right] \\
& +p_{m m}\left[\frac{1}{2}((1-\nu)-(1+\nu) \cos 2 \psi)+\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)(1-\cos 2 \psi) \cos 2 \theta\right] \\
& +p_{n n} \times \frac{1}{2}[(1-\nu)+(1+\nu) \cos 2 \psi] \\
& -p_{l m} \times 2\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)(1-\cos 2 \psi) \sin 2 \theta \\
& +p_{m n} \times 2(1+\nu) \sin 2 \psi \cos \theta \\
& -p_{n l} \times 2(1+\nu) \sin 2 \psi \sin \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

2. The 9 equations obtained are not independent. A subsystem of 6 independent equations is solved for the unknown field stress components (with a computational tool such as MATLAB):

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{l l} & =10.22 \mathrm{MPa} \\
p_{m m} & =17.09 \mathrm{MPa} \\
p_{n n} & =10.68 \mathrm{MPa} \\
p_{l m} & =-1.30 \mathrm{MPa} \\
p_{m n} & =-4.86 \mathrm{MPa} \\
p_{n l} & =1.12 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Principal stresses are obtained by using the governing equations of Mohr's circles (3 Mohr's circles in 3D):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=20 M P a \\
& p_{2}=10 M P a \\
& p_{3}=8 M P a
\end{aligned}
$$

4. The directions of principal stresses relative to the mine global axes are determined by establishing geometric relations with Mohr's circles:

| $p_{1}$ | is oriented $030^{\circ} / 30^{\circ}$ (strike/dip) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $p_{2}$ | is oriented $135^{\circ} / 24^{\circ}$ (strike/dip) |
| $p_{3}$ | is oriented $257^{\circ} / 50^{\circ}$ (strike/dip) |

### 3.19 Homework 2 - Problem 5

Figure 3.15 represents a cross section through a long opening. The magnitudes of the plane components of the field stresses are $p_{x x}=13.75 \mathrm{MPa}, p_{y y}=19.25 \mathrm{MPa}, p_{x y}=4.76 \mathrm{MPa}$, expressed relative to the reference axes shown.

1. Calculate the maximum and minimum boundary stresses in the excavation perimeter, defining the locations of the relevant points.
2. If the strength of the rock mass is defined by a maximum shear strength criterion, and the shear strength is 20 MPa , estimate the extent of boundary failure, in terms of the angular range over the perimeter.
3. Comment on the significance of this result for any mining operations in the opening.


Figure 3.15 Orientation of the axes of cross section through a long opening.

## Solution:

1. According to Leeman's equations (equation 3.4), the boundary stresses in the plane of the tunnel cross section are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{r r} & =\sigma_{r \theta}=0 \\
\sigma_{\theta \theta} & =p_{x x}(1-2 \cos (2 \theta-\pi))+p_{y y}(1+2 \cos (2 \theta+\pi))-4 p_{x y} \sin (2 \theta+\pi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Injecting the values of the field stress in the expression of the hoop stress at the cavity boundary:

$$
\sigma_{\theta \theta}=13.75(1+2 \cos (2 \theta))+19.25(1-2 \cos (2 \theta))+19.04 \sin (2 \theta)
$$

The maximum value is $\sigma_{\theta \theta}=55 M P a$, for $\theta=60^{\circ}$ and $\theta=240^{\circ}$ (relative to the vertical axis pointing downwards, Figure ??), i.e. for points located $30^{\circ}$ below the horizontal on the right hand-side, and $30^{\circ}$ above the horizontal on the left hand-side. The minimum value is $\sigma_{\theta \theta}=11 \mathrm{MPa}$, for for $\theta=150^{\circ}$ and $\theta=330^{\circ}$ (relative to
the vertical axis pointing downwards, Figure ??), i.e. for points located $60^{\circ}$ above the horizontal on the right hand-side, and $60^{\circ}$ below the horizontal on the left hand-side.
2. A coordinate change provides the expression of shear stress in a material element at point P located at the cavity wall:

$$
\sigma_{r 1 \theta 1}=-\frac{\sigma_{r r}-\sigma_{\theta \theta}}{2} \sin (2 \alpha)+\sigma_{r \theta} \cos (2 \alpha)=\frac{\sigma_{\theta \theta}}{2} \sin (2 \alpha)
$$

In which $\alpha$ is the angle between axes $r$ and $r 1$. The shear strength criterion provides the following requirement:

$$
\sigma_{\theta \theta} \sin (2 \alpha) \leq 2 \tau_{c}
$$

With $\tau_{c}=20 \mathrm{MPa}$. At any point at the cavity wall (i.e. for any $\theta$ ), shear failure first occurs for $\alpha=45^{\circ}$, i.e. along planes oriented at $45^{\circ}$ from the radial axis, for which $\sin (2 \alpha)=1$. Failure occurs for elements in which the hoop stress can exceed shear strength. Using equation 3.4:

$$
f(\theta)=13.75(1+2 \cos (2 \theta))+19.25(1-2 \cos (2 \theta))+19.04 \sin (2 \theta) \geq \tau_{c}
$$

A plot of $f(\theta)$ against $\theta$ indicates that shear failure can occur for $25.5^{\circ} \leq \theta \leq 95.5^{\circ}$ and for $204.5^{\circ} \leq \theta \leq 275.5^{\circ}$, i.e. at $+/-35.5^{\circ}$ from the points of the cavity wall where the hoop stress is maximum.
3. The maximum principal field stress is 22 MPa , oriented at $30^{\circ}$ below the horizontal, and the minimum principal field stress is 11 MPa , at $60^{\circ}$ above the horizontal. Bray's solution of stress distribution around an elliptical cavity provides the following expressions for the stress at the sidewall $\left(\sigma_{A}\right)$ and at the crown $\left(\sigma_{B}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{A} & =p(1-K+2 q) \\
\sigma_{B} & =p\left(K-1+\frac{2 K}{q}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

In which $q=W / H$ is the aspect ratio of the ellipse. The present cavity can be viewed as an elliptical cavity subject to shear failure at the crown in a plane oriented according to the principal directions of the field stress, as shown in Figure 3.16. To avoid failure, the stress at the crown must be decreased. According to equations 3.4, this can be achieved by increasing $q$, i.e., by enlarging the size of the opening in the direction perpendicular to the maximum principal field stress direction, as shown in Figure 3.16. The additional volume at the bottom of the opening can be filled with rock to maintain the depth location of the infrastructure in the tunnel.

### 3.20 Exam 1-Problem 2

A vertical shaft of elliptical section is being considered in a region of high horizontal stress. Measurements along the shaft route indicate the preshaft principal stresses in psi (compression positive) are given by a vertical stress $\sigma_{v}=1.1 \mathrm{~h}$ and horizontal stresses $\sigma_{h}=100+1.5 h, \sigma_{H}=500+2.2 h$, in which h is the depth in feet. Figure 3.17 gives the


Figure 3.16 Adaptation of the mine shape to avoid shear failure.


Figure 3.17 Peak stress concentrations at the wall of an elliptical cavity.
expressions of the peak stress concentrations at the wall of an elliptical section. Determine the optimum orientation and aspect ratio of the section.

Solution: see the two following pages (from Pariseau, 2007)
can now be obtained by reducing the larger of $K_{a}$ or $K_{b}$. Ideally, one has neither $K_{a}>K_{b}$ nor $K_{b}>K_{a}$. Hence, the optimum occurs when $K_{a}=K_{b}$, that is, when $k=1$. Thus, a circular shape, a special case of an ellipse, is optimum when the preshaft stress state is hydrostatic. This situation occurs for vertical shafts in ground where the stress state is caused by gravity alone.

Example 3.5 A vertical shaft of elliptical section is being considered in a region of high horizontal stress. Measurements along the shaft route indicate the preshaft principal stresses in psi (compression positive) are given by $S_{\mathrm{v}}=1.1 h, S_{\mathrm{h}}=100+1.5 h, S_{\mathrm{H}}=500+2.2 h$ ( $h$ is depth in feet). Determine the optimum orientation and aspect ratio of the section.
Solution: The peak stress concentrations at the wall of an elliptical section occur at the ends of the geometric axes. The peak stresses are given by the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{a}=K_{a} S_{1}=-S_{1}+(1+2 / k) S_{3}=S_{1}[(1+2 / k) M-1] \\
& \sigma_{b}=K_{b} S_{1}=-S_{3}+(1+2 k) S_{1}=S_{1}[(1+2 k)-M]
\end{aligned}
$$

where the meaning of terms is shown in the sketch, $k=b / a$ and $M=S_{3} / S_{1}$.


Sketch illustrating the meaning of ellipse formula terms

An optimum orientation would be one that reduces stress concentration to a minimum, so the stress concentration at $b$ is no greater or less than at $a$ and tension is absent. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{a}=K_{b} \geq 0 \\
& \therefore \\
& (1+2 / k) M-1=(1+2 k)-M \\
& \therefore \\
& k=M=\frac{100+1.5 h}{500+2.2 h}
\end{aligned}
$$

so the aspect ratio varies with depth, but is equal to the preexcavation stress ratio at any particular depth. At the surface $k=0.200$ and at great depth $k=1.5 / 2.2=0.682$.

The best orientation is with the long axis $a$ parallel to $S_{\mathrm{H}}$. In this orientation, with $k=\mathrm{M}$, $K_{a}=K_{b}=1+M$ and indeed the wall of the ellipse is in a uniform compression. At the surface, the stress concentration is 1.2 ; at great depth the stress concentration is 1.682 .

With the short axis parallel to $S_{\mathrm{H}}, K_{a}^{\prime}=2 M^{2}+M$ and $K_{b}^{\prime}=1-M+2 / M$, which is greater than $1+M$ and therefore less favorable at any depth.

If a circular section were considered, the stress concentrations would be $-1+3 M$ and $3-M$ or -0.4 and 2.8 at the surface; 1.046 and 2.318 at great depth. The elliptical section shows a considerable advantage over the circular section in this stress field. However, other considerations such as difficulty in excavation to the proper shape would need to be considered before final selection of the shaft shape.

In vertical section, the shaft wall stress is equal to the preshaft stress and stress concentration is one. This value is less than stress concentration in plan view of the best orientation and aspect ratio $(1+\mathrm{M})$ for $M=k>0$. Hence, the plan view section governs design.

Example 3.6 Suppose a vertical shaft of elliptical section $14 \mathrm{ft} \times 21 \mathrm{ft}$ is being considered for sinking to a depth of $4,350 \mathrm{ft}$. The horizontal stress in the north-south direction is estimated to be twice the vertical stress caused by rock mass weight, while the east-west stress is estimated to be equal to the "overburden" stress. Unconfined compressive strength of rock is $22,000 \mathrm{psi}$, as measured in the laboratory; tensile strength is $2,200 \mathrm{psi}$. Determine shaft wall safety factors as functions of depth with the section oriented in a way that minimizes compressive stress concentration.

Solution: Shaft wall safety factors with respect to compression and tension, by definition, are

$$
\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{C_{\mathrm{o}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}}, \quad \mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{T_{\mathrm{o}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}}
$$

In case of an ellipse, the peak stresses at the ends of the semi-axes are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{a} & =K_{a} S_{1}=-S_{1}+(1+2 / k) S_{3}=S_{1}[(1+2 / k) M-1] \\
\sigma_{b} & =K_{b} S_{1}=-S_{3}+(1+2 k) S_{1}=S_{1}[(1+2 k)-M] \\
k & =14 / 21=2 / 3 \leq 1, \quad M=S_{\mathrm{EW}} / S_{\mathrm{NS}}=1 / 2 \\
\sigma_{a} & =K_{a} S_{1}=-S_{1}+(1+2 / k) S_{3}=S_{1}[(1+2 /(2 / 3))(1 / 2)-1]=S_{1}(1.00) \\
\sigma_{b} & =K_{b} S_{1}=-S_{3}+(1+2 k) S_{1}=S_{1}[(1+2(2 / 3))-1 / 2]=S_{1}(1.83)
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum and minimum stress concentration factors are both positive, so no tension is present. With respect to compressive stress, the shaft wall safety factor as a function of depth is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{c}} & =\frac{C_{\mathrm{o}}}{1.83 S_{1}} \\
& =\frac{22,000}{1.83(2)(158 / 144) h} \\
\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{c}} & =\frac{5,478}{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHAPTER 4

## FINITE ELEMENT METHOD IN LINEAR ELASTICITY

## PROBLEMS

4.1 Write the variational formulation of the following problem:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}\left[E I \frac{d^{2} u(x)}{d x^{2}}\right]-q_{0}=0, \quad 0<x<L \\
u(0)=\left[\frac{d u(x)}{d x}\right]_{x=0}=0, \quad E I\left[\frac{d^{2} u(x)}{d x^{2}}\right]_{x=L}=-M_{0}, \quad E I\left[\frac{d^{3} u(x)}{d x^{3}}\right]_{x=L}=F_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

Solution: Weighted integral statement:

$$
\forall \delta w \sim u, \quad \int_{0}^{L} w(x) \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}\left[E I \frac{d^{2} u(x)}{d x^{2}}\right] d x-\int_{0}^{L} w(x) q_{0} d x=0
$$

First integration by parts (assuming $E, I$ constants):

$$
\forall \delta w \sim u, \quad\left[w(x) E I \frac{d^{3} u(x)}{d x^{3}}\right]_{0}^{L}-\int_{0}^{L} \frac{d w}{d x} E I \frac{d^{3} u(x)}{d x^{3}} d x-\int_{0}^{L} w(x) q_{0} d x=0
$$

Second integration by parts:
$\forall \delta w \sim u, \quad\left[w(x) E I \frac{d^{3} u(x)}{d x^{3}}\right]_{0}^{L}-\left[\frac{d w}{d x} E I \frac{d^{2} u(x)}{d x^{2}}\right]_{0}^{L}+\int_{0}^{L} \frac{d^{2} w}{d x^{2}} E I \frac{d^{2} u(x)}{d x^{2}} d x-\int_{0}^{L} w(x) q_{0} d x=0$

Primary variables: $u(x)$ (deflection) and $d u / d x$ (deflection angle). Secondary variables: $E I \frac{d^{3} u(x)}{d x^{3}}$ (shear force) and $E I \frac{d^{2} u(x)}{d x^{2}}$ (bending moment). Applying boundary conditions:

$$
\forall \delta w \sim u, \quad w(L) F_{0}+\frac{d w}{d x}(L) M_{0}+\int_{0}^{L} \frac{d^{2} w}{d x^{2}} E I \frac{d^{2} u(x)}{d x^{2}} d x-\int_{0}^{L} w(x) q_{0} d x=0
$$

4.2 Find the expression of the interpolation functions of order 1 is by using the interpolation property. Consider elements with 2 and 3 nodes.


Figure 4.1 Finite Elements considered in Problem 4.2.

Solution: Element with two nodes:

$$
\Psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=1, \quad \Psi_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=0, \quad \Psi_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=0, \quad \Psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=1
$$

Using linear polynomials:

$$
\Psi_{1}(x)=\frac{x_{2}-x}{x_{2}-x_{1}}, \quad \Psi_{2}(x)=\frac{x_{1}-x}{x_{1}-x_{2}}
$$

Element with three nodes:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=1, \quad \Psi_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=0, & \Psi_{1}\left(x_{3}\right)=0 \\
\Psi_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=0, \quad \Psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=1, & \Psi_{2}\left(x_{3}\right)=0 \\
\Psi_{3}\left(x_{1}\right)=0, \quad \Psi_{3}\left(x_{2}\right)=0, & \Psi_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)=1
\end{array}
$$

Using quadratic polynomials:
$\Psi_{1}(x)=\frac{\left(x_{2}-x\right)\left(x_{3}-x\right)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)}, \quad \Psi_{2}(x)=\frac{\left(x_{1}-x\right)\left(x_{3}-x\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{2}\right)}, \quad \Psi_{3}(x)=\frac{\left(x_{1}-x\right)\left(x_{2}-x\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)}$
4.3 Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\frac{d^{2} u(x)}{d x^{2}}-u(x)+x^{2}=0, \quad 0<x<1 \\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Provide the FEM equations to solve for the unknown primary and secondary variables when the domain is discretized with: (a) four linear elements; (b) two quadratic elements (Figure 4.2).


Figure 4.2 Meshes considered in Problem 4.3.

Solution: Weak formulation of the problem on one element $\left[x_{a}, x_{b}\right]$ :

$$
\forall w \sim \delta u, \quad-\left[w(x) \frac{d u(x)}{d x}\right]_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}}+\int_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}} \frac{d w}{d x} \frac{d u}{d x} d x-\int_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}} w(x) u(x) d x+\int_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}} w(x) x^{2} d x=0
$$

Using Ritz method with N nodes per element:
$\forall i=1 \ldots N, \quad-\left[\Psi_{i}(x) \frac{d u(x)}{d x}\right]_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}}+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[\int_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}} \frac{d \Psi_{i}}{d x} \frac{d \Psi_{j}}{d x} d x\right] u_{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[\int_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}} \Psi_{i}(x) \Psi_{j}(x) d x\right] u_{j}+\int_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}} \Psi_{i}(x) x^{2} d x=0$
In a matrix form:

$$
\forall i, j=1 \ldots N, \quad K_{i j} u_{j}+M_{i j} u_{j}=F_{i}+Q_{i}
$$

with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{i j} & =\int_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}} \frac{d \Psi_{i}}{d x} \frac{d \Psi_{j}}{d x} d x \\
M_{i j} & =-\int_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}} \Psi_{i}(x) \Psi_{j}(x) d x \\
F_{i} & =-\int_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}} \Psi_{i}(x) x^{2} d x \\
Q_{i} & =\left[\Psi_{i}(x) \frac{d u(x)}{d x}\right]_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the mesh with four linear elements, the length of each element is $1 / 4$ and for each element:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[K]=4\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {[M]=\frac{-1}{24}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the mesh with two quadratic elements, the length of each element is $1 / 2$ and for each element:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[K]=\frac{2}{3}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
7 & -8 & 1 \\
-8 & 16 & -8 \\
1 & -8 & 7
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {[M]=\frac{-1}{60}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
4 & 2 & -1 \\
2 & 16 & 2 \\
-1 & 2 & 4
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assembly for 4 linear elements (note: we only show the top triangle of the stiffness matrix, since the stiffness matrix is symmetric; the same assembly process is used to find the global mass matrix; the assembly process is the same for $\{F\}$ and $\{Q\}$ ):

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[\bar{K}]=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
K_{11}^{1} & K_{12}^{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& K_{22}^{1}+K_{11}^{2} & K_{12}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
& & K_{22}^{2}+K_{11}^{3} & K_{12}^{3} & 0 \\
& & & K_{22}^{3}+K_{11}^{4} & K_{12}^{4} \\
& & \{\bar{F}\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
K_{22}^{4}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \\
F_{2}^{1}+F_{1}^{2} \\
F_{2}^{2}+F_{1}^{3} \\
F_{2}^{3}+F_{1}^{4} \\
F_{2}^{4}
\end{array}\right\}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Assembly for 2 quadratic elements (note: we only show the top triangle of the stiffness matrix, since the stiffness matrix is symmetric; the same assembly process is used to find the global mass matrix; the assembly process is the same for $\{F\}$ and $\{Q\}$ ):

$$
[\bar{K}]=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
K_{11}^{1} & K_{12}^{1} & K_{13}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\
& K_{22}^{1} & K_{23}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\
& & K_{33}^{1}+K_{11}^{2} & K_{12}^{2} & K_{13}^{2} \\
& & & K_{22}^{2} & K_{23}^{2} \\
& & & & K_{33}^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\{\bar{F}\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{1}^{1} \\
F_{2}^{1} \\
F_{3}^{1}+F_{1}^{2} \\
F_{2}^{2} \\
F_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Since the primary variable is known at nodes 1 and 5, the global system of FEM equations can be condensed as follows (regardless of the mesh considered):

$$
\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{K}_{22} & \bar{K}_{23} & 0 \\
& \bar{K}_{33} & \bar{K}_{34} \\
& & \bar{K}_{44}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{M}_{22} & \bar{M}_{23} & 0 \\
& \bar{M}_{33} & \bar{M}_{34} \\
& & \bar{M}_{44}
\end{array}\right]\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{F}_{2} \\
\bar{F}_{3} \\
\bar{F}_{4}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

in which we used $u_{1}=u_{5}=0$ and $Q_{2}=Q_{3}=Q_{4}=0$. From there, it is possible to solve for $u_{2}, u_{3}$ and $u_{4}$. Usually, the secondary variable is post-processed. In the following, we explain the post-processing process for both meshes. For the four linear elements:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{Q}_{1}=Q_{1}^{1}=\Psi_{1}^{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{d}{d x}\left(u_{1} \Psi_{1}^{1}(x)+u_{2} \Psi_{2}^{1}(x)\right)_{x=x_{1}} \\
\bar{Q}_{1}=\left.u_{2} \frac{d \Psi_{2}(x)}{d x}\right|_{x=x_{1}}=u_{2} \frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{x}{(1 / 4)}\right)_{x=0} \\
\bar{Q}_{1}=4 u_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the same way:

$$
\bar{Q}_{5}=4 u_{4}
$$

For the two quadratic elements:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{Q}_{1}=Q_{1}^{1}=\Psi_{1}^{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{d}{d x}\left(u_{1} \Psi_{1}^{1}(x)+u_{2} \Psi_{2}^{1}(x)+u_{3} \Psi_{3}^{1}(x)\right)_{x=x_{1}} \\
\bar{Q}_{1}=u_{2} \frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{x(1 / 2-x)}{(1 / 16)}\right)_{x=0}+u_{3} \frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{x(x-1 / 4)}{(1 / 8)}\right)_{x=0} \\
\bar{Q}_{1}=8 u_{2}-2 u_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the same way:

$$
\bar{Q}_{5}=8 u_{4}-2 u_{3}
$$

4.4 Solve the problem of heat transfer through the composite wall shown in Figure 4.3 by using Ritz method, with four linear elements.

$$
-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(k A \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\right)+\beta P\left(T-T_{\infty}\right)=A q_{0}
$$

Solution: There is no heat source in the problem. Advection takes place on the lect and


Figure 4.3 Heat transfer through a composite wall.
right hand sides of the domain, but not on the top or bottom, i.e., there is no advection along the periphery of the walls (advection can be accounted for in the boundary conditions). As a result, the governing equation reduces to:

$$
-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(k A \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\right)=0
$$

The Ritz Finite Element equations are the following (for each element):

$$
K_{i j} T_{j}=Q_{i}
$$

with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{i j} & =\int_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}} k A \frac{d \Psi_{i}}{d x} \frac{d \Psi_{j}}{d x} d x \\
Q_{i} & =\left[\Psi_{i}(x) k A \frac{d T}{d x}\right]_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For linear elements:

$$
[K]=\frac{k A}{l}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $l$ is the length of the element. The assembled FE equations are (note: we do not write the coefficients below the diagonal, which can be obtained by symmetry):

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
K_{11}^{1} & K_{12}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\
& K_{22}^{1}+K_{11}^{2}+K_{11}^{3} & K_{12}^{2}+K_{12}^{3} & 0 \\
& & K_{22}^{2}+K_{22}^{3} & K_{12}^{4} \\
& & & K_{22}^{4}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T_{1} \\
T_{2} \\
T_{3} \\
T_{4}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1}^{1} \\
Q_{2}^{1}+Q_{1}^{2}+Q_{1}^{3} \\
Q_{2}^{2}+Q_{2}^{3}+Q_{1}^{4} \\
Q_{2}^{4}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The prescribed nodal conditions are: $T_{1}=T_{0}, Q_{2}=Q_{3}=0$ and $Q_{4}=-\beta A\left(T_{4}-T_{\infty}\right)$ (advection with atmosphere). Using these nodal conditions, the condensed system of Finite

Element equations is:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
K_{22}^{1}+K_{11}^{2}+K_{11}^{3} & K_{12}^{2}+K_{12}^{3} & 0 \\
& K_{22}^{2}+K_{22}^{3} & K_{12}^{4} \\
& & K_{22}^{4}-\beta A
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T_{2} \\
T_{3} \\
T_{4}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\bar{K}_{21} T_{0} \\
-\bar{K}_{31} T_{0} \\
\beta A T_{\infty}-\bar{K}_{41} T_{0}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

in which $[\bar{K}]$ is the global stiffness matrix (before condensation). Using the elementary stiffness matrices, we get:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A_{1} k_{1} / l_{1}+A_{2} k_{2} / l_{2}+A_{3} k_{3} / l_{3} & -A_{2} k_{2} / l_{2}-A_{3} k_{3} / l_{3} & 0 \\
& A_{2} k_{2} / l_{2}+A_{3} k_{3} / l_{3} & -A_{4} k_{4} / l_{4} \\
& & A_{4} k_{4} / l_{4}-\beta A_{4}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T_{2} \\
T_{3} \\
T_{4}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{1} k_{1} T_{0} / l_{1} \\
0 \\
\beta A_{4} T_{\infty}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

From there, it is possible to solve for the nodal values of the temperature $\left(T_{2}, T_{3}\right.$ and $\left.T_{4}\right)$, and then, for the nodal value of the heat flux $Q_{1}$.
4.5 Solve the problem of heat transfer through the cylindrical canister shown in Figure 4.4 by using Ritz method, with two linear elements.

$$
-\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}\left(k r \frac{d T}{d r}\right)=q_{0}(r)
$$



Figure 4.4 Heat transfer through a cylindrical canister.

## Solution:

1. Discretization

Linear interpolation functions: for an element defined on the segment $\left[r_{a}, r_{b}\right]$

$$
\Psi_{1}^{e}(r)=\frac{-\left(r-r_{b}\right)}{h_{e}}, \quad \Psi_{2}^{e}(r)=\frac{\left(r-r_{a}\right)}{h_{e}}, \quad h_{e}=r_{b}-r_{a}
$$

2. Elementary equations

Using Ritz Method:

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[K]^{e}\{T\}^{e}=\{F\}^{e}+\{Q\}^{e}} \\
K_{i j}^{e}=2 \pi \int_{r_{a}}^{r_{b}} k \frac{d \Psi_{i}^{e}}{d r} \frac{d \Psi_{j}^{e}}{d r} r d r \\
F_{i}^{e}=2 \pi \int_{r_{a}}^{r_{b}} \Psi_{i}^{e}(r) q_{0}(r) r d r \\
Q_{i}^{e}= \pm 2 \pi k r \frac{d T}{d r}\left(r=r_{i}^{e}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The integrals depends on the bounds. The stiffness matrix and the force vector have thus different expressions for the two elements. In a matrix form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[K^{(1)}\right]=k \pi\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[K^{(2)}\right]=k \pi\left[\begin{array}{rr}
3 & -3 \\
-3 & 3
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming that the heat source function is a constant: $q_{0}(r)=q_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{F^{(1)}\right\}=\frac{\pi q_{0} R_{0}^{2}}{12}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \left\{F^{(2)}\right\}=\frac{\pi q_{0} R_{0}^{2}}{12}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4 \\
5
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Assembly

$$
k \pi\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 4 & -3 \\
0 & -3 & 3
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T_{1} \\
T_{2} \\
T_{3}
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{\pi q_{0} R_{0}^{2}}{12}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
6 \\
5
\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1}^{(1)} \\
Q_{2}^{(1)}+Q_{1}^{(2)} \\
Q_{2}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

4. Nodal conditions

$$
Q_{1}=0, \quad Q_{2}=0, \quad T_{3}=T_{0}
$$

5. Condensation and resolution

$$
k \pi\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 4
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T_{1} \\
T_{2}
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{\pi q_{0} R_{0}^{2}}{12}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
6
\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
3 k r T_{0}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

From here, solve for $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$.
6. Post-processing $Q_{3}$ can be obtained by a direct method, using the stiffness matrix, as follows:

$$
Q_{3}=-3 \pi k T_{2}+3 \pi k T_{0}-\frac{5 \pi q_{0} R_{0}^{2}}{12}
$$

7. Approximate solution:

$$
\begin{gathered}
T(r) \simeq \begin{cases}T_{1} \Psi_{1}^{(1)}(r)+T_{2} \Psi_{2}^{(1)}(r), & r \in] 0, R_{0} / 2[ \\
T_{2} \Psi_{1}^{(2)}(r)+T_{3} \Psi_{2}^{(2)}(r), & r \in] R_{0} / 2, R_{0}[ \end{cases} \\
T(r) \simeq \begin{cases}T_{1} \frac{R_{0} / 2-r}{R_{0} / 2}+T_{2} \frac{r}{R_{0} / 2}, & r \in] 0, R_{0} / 2[ \\
T_{2} \frac{R_{0}-r}{R_{0} / 2}+T_{0} \frac{r-R_{0} / 2}{R_{0} / 2}, & r \in] R_{0} / 2, R_{0}[ \end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

4.6 Solve the 1D Newtonian fluid flow problem with Ritz method, by using two linear elements, and for the two following sets of boundary conditions: (a) $v_{x}(-L)=v_{x}(L)=0$; (b) $v_{x}(-L)=0, v_{x}(L)=v_{0}$.

Solution: The governing equation is:

$$
\mu \frac{d^{2} v_{x}(y)}{d y^{2}}=\frac{d P}{d x}
$$

The Ritz Finite Element equations (for one element) are:

$$
K_{i j} v_{j}=F_{i}+Q_{i}
$$

in which:

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{i j}=\int y_{a}{ }^{y_{b}} \mu \frac{d \Psi_{i}}{d y} \frac{d \Psi_{j}}{d y} d y \\
F_{i}=-\int y_{a}{ }^{y_{b}} \Psi_{i}(y) \frac{d P}{d x} d y \\
Q_{i}=\left[\Psi(y) \mu \frac{d v_{x}}{d y}\right]_{y_{a}}^{y_{b}}
\end{gathered}
$$

For linear elements:

$$
[K]=\frac{\mu}{l}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

For the special where $\frac{d P}{d x}=f_{0}$ (constant):

$$
\{F\}=\frac{f_{0} l}{2}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $l$ is the length of the element. After assembling the elementary equations (assuming that all elements have same length $l$ ):

$$
\frac{\mu}{l}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{1} \\
v_{2} \\
v_{3}
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{f_{0} l}{2}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1}^{1} \\
Q_{2}^{1}+Q_{1}^{2} \\
Q_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For the first set of boundary conditions: $v_{1}=v_{3}=0$ and $Q_{2}=0$, the system of equations can be condensed as:

$$
\frac{2 \mu}{l} v_{2}=f_{0} l
$$

In other words, the solution for the primary variable is:

$$
v_{2}=\frac{f_{0} l^{2}}{2 \mu}
$$

And the FEM approximation of the solution on $[-l,+l]$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{x}(y)=\frac{f_{0} l^{2}}{2 \mu} \frac{y+l}{l}, \quad-l \leq y \leq 0 \\
& v_{x}(y)=\frac{f_{0} l^{2}}{2 \mu} \frac{y}{l}, \quad 0 \leq y \leq l
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the FEM equations to solve for the secondary variable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{1}+\frac{f_{0} l}{2}=-\frac{\mu}{l} v_{2}=-\frac{f_{0} l}{2} \\
& Q_{3}+\frac{f_{0} l}{2}=-\frac{\mu}{l} v_{2}=-\frac{f_{0} l}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that: $Q_{1}=Q_{3}=-f_{0} l$.
For the second set of boundary conditions: $v_{1}=0, Q_{2}=0$ and $v_{3}=v_{0}$ and the system of equations can be condensed as:

$$
\frac{2 \mu}{l} v_{2}=f_{0} l+\frac{\mu}{l} v_{0}
$$

In other words, the solution for the primary variable is:

$$
v_{2}=\frac{f_{0} l^{2}}{2 \mu}+\frac{v_{0}}{2}
$$

And the FEM approximation of the solution on $[-l,+l]$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{x}(y)=\left(\frac{f_{0} l^{2}}{2 \mu}+\frac{v_{0}}{2}\right) \frac{y+l}{l}, \quad-l \leq y \leq 0 \\
& v_{x}(y)=\left(\frac{f_{0} l^{2}}{2 \mu}+\frac{v_{0}}{2}\right) \frac{y}{l}, \quad 0 \leq y \leq l
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the FEM equations to solve for the secondary variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1}+\frac{f_{0} l}{2} & =-\frac{\mu}{l} v_{2}=-\frac{f_{0} l}{2}-\frac{\mu v_{0}}{2 l} \\
Q_{3}+\frac{f_{0} l}{2} & =-\frac{\mu}{l} v_{2}+\frac{\mu}{l} v_{0}=-\frac{f_{0} l}{2}+\frac{\mu v_{0}}{2 l}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{1}=-f_{0} l-\frac{\mu v_{0}}{2 l} \\
& Q_{3}=-f_{0} l+\frac{\mu v_{0}}{2 l}
\end{aligned}
$$

4.7 Solve the problem of bar elongation shown in Figure 4.5 by using Ritz method, with two linear elements.

$$
-\frac{d}{d x}\left(E A \frac{d u}{d x}\right)=f(x)
$$



Figure 4.5 Bar element with a non-uniform cross section.

Solution: Here, there is no distributed horizontal force, i.e. $f(x)=0$, but there is a concentrated load $2 P_{0}$ applied at $x=h_{1}$. The concentrated load will be accounted for in theboundary conditions. The governing equation is thus:

$$
-\frac{d}{d x}\left(E A \frac{d u}{d x}\right)=0
$$

The area of the cross section of the bar for $0 \leq x \leq h_{1}$ is:

$$
A_{1}(x)=\pi\left(c_{1}+c_{2} x\right)^{2}
$$

The area of the cross section of the bar for $h_{1} \leq x \leq h_{1}+h_{2}$ is:

$$
A_{2}(x)=A_{2}=\pi\left(c_{1}+c_{2} h_{1}\right)^{2}
$$

We use two linear elements (one for $0 \leq x \leq h_{1}$ and one for $h_{1} \leq x \leq h_{1}+h_{2}$ ). The Ritz Finite Element equations are:

$$
K_{i j} u_{j}=Q_{i}
$$

For the first element:

$$
K_{i j}^{1}=\pi E \int_{0}^{h_{1}}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} x\right)^{2} \frac{d \Psi_{i}}{d x} \frac{d \Psi_{j}}{d x} d x
$$

For the second element:

$$
K_{i j}^{2}=E A_{2} \int_{h_{1}}^{h_{2}} \frac{d \Psi_{i}}{d x} \frac{d \Psi_{j}}{d x} d x
$$

We calculate the average cross section on the first element:

$$
\bar{A}_{1}=\frac{1}{h_{1}} \int_{0}^{h_{1}} \pi\left(c_{1}+c_{2} x\right)^{2} d x
$$

And we evaluate the stiffness coefficients of the first element as:

$$
K_{i j}^{1}=E \bar{A}_{1} \int_{0}^{h_{1}} \frac{d \Psi_{i}}{d x} \frac{d \Psi_{j}}{d x} d x
$$

Using the expression of the stiffnes matrix of a linear 1D element, the assembled system of FEM equations becomes (only writing the terms of the stiffness matrix above the diagonal):

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{A}_{1} E / h_{1} & -\bar{A}_{1} E / h_{1} & 0 \\
& \bar{A}_{1} E / h_{1}+A_{2} E / h_{2} & -A_{2} E / h_{1} \\
& & A_{2} E / h_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1}^{1} \\
Q_{2}^{1}+Q_{1}^{2} \\
Q_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The prescribed nodal conditions are: $u_{1}=0, Q_{2}=2 P_{0}$ and $Q_{3}=0$. Therefore, we can condense the system of equations as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{A}_{1} E / h_{1}+A_{2} E / h_{2} & -A_{2} E / h_{1} \\
-A_{2} E / h_{1} & A_{2} E / h_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 P_{0} \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

From there, it is possible to solve for $u_{2}$ and $u_{3}$, and then for the reaction $Q_{1}$ (either by using hte FEM equations, or by post-processing).
4.8 Solve the problem of beam deflection shown in Figure 4.6 by using two EulerBernouilli elements.


Figure 4.6 Deflection problem with Euler-Bernouilli beam elements.

Solution: The governing equation is:

$$
E I \frac{d^{4} w(x)}{d x^{4}}=q_{0}-\frac{q_{0}}{L} x
$$

in which $w(x)$ is the deflection. The Ritz Finite Element equations are (for one cubic Euler-Bernouilli element):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall i=1 \ldots 4, \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{4}\left(E I \int_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}} \frac{d^{2} \Psi_{i}(x)}{d x^{2}} \frac{d^{2} \Psi_{j}(x)}{d x^{2}} d x\right) W_{j}= \\
& \int_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}} \Psi_{i}(x)\left(q_{0}-\frac{q_{0}}{L} x\right) d x-\left[\Psi_{i} x E I \frac{d^{3} w(x)}{d x^{3}}\right]_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}}+\left[\frac{d \Psi_{i} x}{d x} E I \frac{d^{2} w(x)}{d x^{2}}\right]_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

in which $W_{j}$ designates the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ degree of freedom (for each node, the deflection is listed first, and the deflection angle, second). The cubic Hermite polynomial interpolation functions are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{1}(x)=1-3\left(\frac{x-x_{a}}{x_{b}-x_{a}}\right)^{2}+2\left(\frac{x-x_{a}}{x_{b}-x_{a}}\right)^{3} \\
& \Psi_{2}(x)=-\left(x-x_{a}\right)\left(1-\frac{x-x_{a}}{x_{b}-x_{a}}\right)^{2} \\
& \Psi_{3}(x)=3\left(\frac{x-x_{a}}{x_{b}-x_{a}}\right)^{2}-2\left(\frac{x-x_{a}}{x_{b}-x_{a}}\right)^{3} \\
& \Psi_{4}(x)=-\left(x-x_{a}\right)\left(\frac{x-x_{a}}{x_{b}-x_{a}}\right)\left(\frac{x-x_{a}}{x_{b}-x_{a}}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in which degrees of freedom 1,2,3 and 4 are respectively: the deflection at node 1 , the deflection angle at node 1 , the deflection at node 2 and the deflection angle at node 2. Noting that the length of each element is $\mathrm{L} / 2$, the elementary stiffness matrix is:

$$
[K]=\frac{16 E I}{L^{3}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
6 & -3 L / 2 & -6 & -3 L / 2 \\
-3 L / 2 & L^{2} / 2 & 3 L / 2 & L^{2} / 4 \\
-6 & 3 L / 2 & 6 & 3 L / 2 \\
-3 L / 2 & L^{2} / 4 & 3 L / 2 & L^{2} / 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Assembling the Finite Element equations, we obtain the following global Finite Element equations (in which only the coefficients of hte stiffness matrix that are above the diagonal are noted):

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
K_{11}^{1} & K_{12}^{1} & K_{13}^{1} & K_{14}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\
& K_{22}^{1} & K_{23}^{1} & K_{24}^{1} & 0 & 0 \\
& & K_{33}^{1}+K_{11}^{2} & K_{34}^{1}+K_{12}^{2} & K_{13}^{2} & K_{14}^{2} \\
& & & K_{44}^{1}+K_{22}^{2} & K_{23}^{2} & K_{24}^{2} \\
& & & & K_{33}^{2} & K_{34}^{2} \\
& & & & & K_{44}^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
W_{1} \\
W_{2} \\
W_{3} \\
W_{4} \\
W_{5} \\
W_{6}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
F_{1}^{1} \\
F_{2}^{1} \\
F_{3}^{1}+F_{1}^{2} \\
F_{4}^{1}+F_{2}^{2} \\
F_{3}^{2} \\
F_{4}^{2}
\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{c}
Q_{1}^{1} \\
Q_{2}^{1} \\
Q_{3}^{1}+Q_{1}^{2} \\
Q_{4}^{1}+Q_{2}^{2} \\
Q_{3}^{2} \\
Q_{4}^{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The nodal conditions are the following: $W_{1}=W_{2}=0$ (fixed support), $Q_{3}=Q_{4}=0$ (no concentrated loads), $Q_{5}=F_{0}, Q_{6}=-M_{0}$. The system of equations can be condensed as
follows:

$$
\frac{16 E I}{L^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
12 & 0 & -6 & -3 L / 2 \\
0 & L^{2} & 3 L / 2 & L^{2} / 4 \\
-6 & 3 L / 2 & 6 & 3 L / 2 \\
-3 L / 2 & L^{2} / 4 & 3 L / 2 & L^{2} / 2
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
W_{3} \\
W_{4} \\
W_{5} \\
W_{6}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
F_{3}^{1}+F_{1}^{2} \\
F_{4}^{1}+F_{2}^{2} \\
F_{3}^{2} \\
F_{4}^{2}
\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
F_{0} \\
-M_{0}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

From there, it is possible to solve for $W_{3}, W_{4}, W_{5}$ and $W_{6}$. The secondary variables $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ can be post-processed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1} & =Q_{1}^{1}=E I \frac{d^{3}}{d x^{3}}\left(W_{1} \Psi_{1}(x)+W_{2} \Psi_{2}(x)+W_{3} \Psi_{3}(x)+W_{4} \Psi_{4}(x)\right)_{x=0} \\
Q_{1} & =E I\left(-\frac{96}{L^{3}} W_{3}-\frac{24}{L^{2}} W_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way:

$$
Q_{2}=\frac{24 E I}{L^{2}} W_{3}+\frac{4 E I}{L} W_{4}
$$

The approximate solution for the deflection is expressed as follows: For $0 \leq x \leq L / 2$ :

$$
w(x)=W_{3}\left[3\left(\frac{x}{L / 2}\right)^{2}-2\left(\frac{x}{L / 2}\right)^{3}\right]+W_{4}\left[-x\left(\frac{x}{L / 2}\right)\left(\frac{x}{L / 2}-1\right)\right]
$$

For $L / 2 \leq x \leq L$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(x)= & W_{3}\left[1-3\left(\frac{x-L / 2}{L / 2}\right)^{2}+2\left(\frac{x-L / 2}{L / 2}\right)^{3}\right]+W_{4}\left[-(x-L / 2)\left(1-\frac{x-L / 2}{L / 2}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& W_{5}\left[3\left(\frac{x-L / 2}{L / 2}\right)^{2}-2\left(\frac{x-L / 2}{L / 2}\right)^{3}\right]+W_{6}\left[-(x-L / 2)\left(\frac{x-L / 2}{L / 2}\right)\left(\frac{x-L / 2}{L / 2}-1\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

4.9 Calculate the coefficients of the elementary stiffness matrix and force vector of a linear trianular element, if the numbering convention in Figure ?? is changed such that: node 1 is $(a, 0)$; node 2 is $(0, b)$; node 3 is $(0,0)$.

Solution: The solution is obtained by performin permutations of the coefficients of the stiffness matrix given in the problem:

$$
[K]=\frac{1}{2 a b}\left(a_{11}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
b^{2} & 0 & -b^{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-b^{2} & 0 & b^{2}
\end{array}\right]+a_{22}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a^{2} & -a^{2} \\
0 & -a^{2} & a^{2}
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

4.10 Calculate the three boundary integrals $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ and $Q_{3}$ for the linear triangular element shown in Figure 4.7.


Figure 4.7 Triangular element subject to boundary loads.

Solution: We calculate the boundary integrals, one by one. For the first node:
$Q_{1}=\oint \Psi_{1}(s) q_{n}(s) d s=\int_{0}^{h_{12}} \Psi_{1}(s) q_{n}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{h_{23}} \Psi_{1}(s) q_{n}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{h_{31}} \Psi_{1}(s) q_{n}(s) d s$
Since $\Psi_{1}(s)=0$ on side 2-3:

$$
Q_{1}=\int_{0}^{h_{12}} \Psi_{1}(s) q_{0} d s+\int_{0}^{h_{31}} \Psi_{1}(s) q_{0} \frac{s}{h_{31}} d s
$$

On side 1-2, $\Psi_{1}(s)$ is equal to the 1D Lagrange polynomial at node 1 . On side 3-1, $\Psi_{1}(s)$ is equal to the 1D Lagrange polynomial at node 2. As a result:

$$
Q_{1}=\int_{0}^{h_{12}} \frac{h_{12}-s}{h_{12}} q_{0} d s+\int_{0}^{h_{31}} \frac{s}{h_{12}} q_{0} \frac{s}{h_{31}} d s
$$

After integrating, we get:

$$
Q_{1}=\frac{q_{0}}{3} h_{31}+\frac{q_{0}}{2} h_{12}
$$

For the second node:

$$
Q_{2}=\oint \Psi_{2}(s) q_{n}(s) d s=\int_{0}^{h_{12}} \Psi_{2}(s) q_{n}(s) d s
$$

because $\Psi_{2}(s)=0$ on side 3-1 and because $q_{n}(s)=0$ on side 2-3. Moreover, on side 1-2, $\Psi_{2}(s)$ is equal to the 1D Lagrange polynomial at node 2, so that:

$$
Q_{2}=\int_{0}^{h_{12}} \frac{s}{h_{12}} q_{0} d s
$$

After integrating:

$$
Q_{2}=\frac{q_{0} h_{12}}{2}
$$

For the third node:

$$
Q_{3}=\oint \Psi_{3}(s) q_{n}(s) d s=\int_{0}^{h_{31}} \Psi_{3}(s) q_{n}(s) d s
$$

because $\Psi_{3}(s)=0$ on side 1-2 and because $q_{n}(s)=0$ on side 2-3. Moreover, on side 3-1, $\Psi_{3}(s)$ is equal to the 1D Lagrange polynomial at node 1, so that:

$$
Q_{3}=\int_{0}^{h_{31}} \frac{h_{31}-s}{h_{31}} q_{0} \frac{s}{h_{31}} d s
$$

After integrating:

$$
Q_{3}=\frac{q_{0} h_{31}}{6}
$$

We check that $Q_{1}+Q_{2}+Q_{3}=q_{0} h_{12}+q_{0} h_{31} / 2$, which corresponds to the total load applied to the element.
4.11 Consider a problem described by the Poisson's equation:

$$
-\nabla^{2} u=-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}\right)=f_{0} \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega
$$

in the square region shown in Figure 4.8. The boundary conditions are:


Figure 4.8 2D FEM to solve Poisson's equation

$$
u(x, y)=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma
$$

We wish to use the FEM to determine $u(x, y)$ on the domain $\Omega$.

1. Show that it is sufficient to solve the problem on $1 / 8$-th of the domain only to determine the solution everywhere in $\Omega$.
2. Mesh this deduced domain with four linear triangular elements (justify).
3. Solve the FEM problem on the reduced domain (i.e. calculate the unknown nodal values of the primary variable).
4. Post-process the results of the FEM model (i.e. calculate the unknown boundary integrals of the secondary variable).

Solution: See the notes in the next 8 pages.
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II. Commented Example $(8.3 .1$ p 443 )


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{\partial^{2} \mu}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} \mu}{\partial y^{2}}=f_{0} \text { in } \Omega \\
u(x, y)=0 \text { on } \\
S V: q_{m}=\partial u / \partial x m_{x}+\partial \mu / \partial y n y
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

STEP 1: MESHING
$\Rightarrow$ symmetry about the $x$ and $y$ axes
$\Rightarrow$ possible to mesh OABC only and deduce the solution oven by symmetry
$\Rightarrow$ new burndany conditions $\angle A B, B C$ : external borndiawes, no change: $\mu_{I A B}=\mu_{\mid B C}=0$ * $O A$ : axis of symmetry $\perp y$ $\mu(x, y)$ reaches an extrinmum:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial m}\left|=\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y}\right|_{\text {DA }}=0 \tag{m}
\end{equation*}
$$

* CO: axis of symmetry $\perp_{x}$ $u(x, y)$ reaches an extremum:

$$
\left.\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \mu}\right|_{c_{0}}=-\left.\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x}\right|_{c_{0}}=0 \quad(\vec{m}=-\overrightarrow{e c t} \mid
$$


$\Rightarrow$ We have a new axis of symmetry: $O B$.
$\Rightarrow$ possible to mesh OABonly

New Boundary conditions: * no change on $O A$ and $A B$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.q_{m}\right|_{O A}=-\left.\frac{\partial r}{\partial y}\right|_{O A}=0 \\
& u_{\mid A B}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow O B$ is an axis of symmetry, thenforee in reaches an extremufu on OB: $\left.\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial m}\right|_{O B}=\left.q_{m}\right|_{O S}=0$
Natural choice: triangular elements
(1)


(2) will give a sltion symmetric about OS AND about $n C$

The physical problem $O B$ only The mesh is symmetric about or only, so the mesh $n^{\circ} 2$ is more desinable.

STEP 2: FE MODEL
Using mesh (2) with linear hiampubre elements, we can notice that all elements have the same geometry. IF the same local numbering convention B used oven all elemputs, the stiffness matrix will be the save re For all elements:

$$
\underset{1}{\substack{2}}{ }_{a=0.5}^{3} \uparrow b=0.5 \quad\left[K^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2 a b}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
b^{2} & -b^{2} & 0 \\
-b^{2} & a^{2}+b^{2} & -a^{2} \\
0 & -a^{2} & a^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Because the distributed load is constant all owen the domain and because all elements have the same geometry, we hove: $\quad\left\{F^{e}\right\}=\frac{f_{0} a b}{6}\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right\}$ Fore all elements.

Numerical values: $\left[K^{e}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 / 2 & -1 / 2 & 0 \\ -1 / 2 & 1 & -1 / 2 \\ 0 & -1 / 2 & 1 / 2\end{array}\right]$

$$
\{F C\}=\frac{B_{0}}{24}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

STEP 3: ASSEMBLY


Connectivity
Matrix:

| ${ }^{2} f_{0} f$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| 4 | 3 | 5 | 6 |

$$
[k]\{u\}=\{P\}+\{Q\}
$$

with:

sym.
 $K_{33}^{4}$

STEP 4 : BOUNDARY CONDITIONS


- essenhid bc: $U_{4}=U_{5}=U_{6}=0$
- vatival be: $Q_{1}=Q_{2}=Q_{3}=0$

Remant 1: node 4, node'6 ane on 2 brundisuries. We could have chosen to impare $Q_{h}=Q_{6}=0$ (accoreding bo the nutural $b_{c}$ on sids $1-4$ and 1-6), bat applying essenhal b.c. Finst gives mare nows to condeuse $\rightarrow$ Fastion vesolution

Remank 2: What if an edge belongs to 2 sides where different values of the dependur variable are applied?
ex:

with $T_{1} \neq T_{2}$
$\Rightarrow A$ is called a singular pant

1) Choose an approximate b.c. at node A. $\triangle$ not the ane rage. Generally, the burgess of the 2 bc , to get conservative estimates.

2] Refine the mesh at the virinity of the singular point.

STEP 5: Resolution / Condensation
Same process as in ID: delete the sows containing a known value of the PV:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{U_{4}}=\bar{U}_{5}=\bar{U}_{6}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

STEP 6: Post processing

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{4} \\
Q_{5} \\
Q_{6}
\end{array}\right\}=-\left|\begin{array}{l}
F_{4} \\
F_{5} \\
F_{6}
\end{array}\right|+\left[\begin{array}{lll}
k_{41} & k_{h 2} & k_{43} \\
k_{51} & k_{52} & k_{53} \\
k_{61} & k_{62} & k_{63}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{1} \\
v_{2} \\
v_{3}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

BUT if the coefficials. of the stiffness matrix ave not stencil $\rightarrow$ pair puree

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{E} Q_{4}=Q_{2}^{2}=\int_{e_{3}} q_{m}(b) \psi_{2}(s) d s \\
& Q_{4}=\int_{1-2} q(x) \Psi_{2}(x, y=0) d x+\int_{2-3} q_{m}(y) \psi_{2}(x=1, y) d y \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

with $q_{n}(s)=0$ over e $1-2$ and $\quad \psi_{2}(s)=0$ over 3-1

$$
\Rightarrow Q_{h}=\int_{0}^{l_{2-3}}(+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \underbrace{n x}_{=1}) \underbrace{\left(1-\frac{y}{h_{2-3}}\right)} d y
$$

nod 2 in triangle 3
is the $15^{2}$ node of side 2-3
with the numbering sense $V$
$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x}$ is approximated by $\frac{\partial e^{e}}{\partial x} \simeq \sum_{j=1}^{3} \mu_{j}^{e} \frac{\partial \psi_{j}^{e}}{\partial x}$
Over element 3: $\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u^{2}}{\partial x} & =\mu_{1}^{3} \\ u_{2} & \frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial x}\end{aligned}+\mu_{2}^{3} \frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial x}+\mu_{3}^{3} \frac{\partial \psi_{3}}{\partial x}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow Q_{4}=\int_{0}^{h_{2-3}} \frac{\beta_{1}^{(3)}}{\frac{\partial A_{3}}{\frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial x}}} \times U_{2}\left(1-\frac{y}{h_{2-3}}\right) d y \quad \beta_{1}^{(3)}=-0.5 \\
& \begin{array}{l}
Q_{h}=\frac{-0.5}{2 A_{3}} v_{2} \underbrace{\int_{0}\left(1-\frac{y}{h_{2-3}}\right) d y}_{\left[\left(1-\frac{y}{h_{2-3}}\right)^{2} \times\left(-\frac{l_{23}}{2}\right)\right]_{0}^{h_{23}}=\frac{a_{23}}{2}}
\end{array} \\
& Q_{4}=-0.5 \frac{h_{23}}{4 A_{3}} V_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{4} \times \underset{h_{23}}{l_{1 / H_{3}}}=--0.5 U_{2}=Q_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

 on a square domens

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{Q}_{6}=\int_{0} \hat{\psi}_{3}^{2-3}(y) \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} d y \quad \text { with } \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} \simeq \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[\sum_{k n}^{1-2} \mu_{k} \frac{\partial \psi_{k}}{\partial x}\right]=\mu_{3} \frac{\partial \psi_{1}^{(4)}}{\partial x}=\mu_{3} \beta_{1} \\
& Q_{6}=\int_{0}^{1 / 2} 2 y \times \mu_{3} \beta_{1} d y=2 \mu_{3} \beta_{1} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{4} \\
& Q_{6}=\frac{\beta_{1}}{4} \mu_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (8) } Q_{5}=Q_{1}^{(2)}+Q_{2}^{(4)}+Q_{3}^{(3)} \\
& \begin{array}{r}
Q_{1}^{(2)}=\oint_{n \theta} \psi_{1}(x, y) q_{n}(s) d s=\underbrace{\int_{1}^{2} \psi_{1}(x, y) q_{n}(s) d s}_{s=-x}+\underbrace{\int_{3}^{1} \psi_{1}(x, y) q_{\mu} / s l d s}_{\vec{M}=-\overrightarrow{e y}} \underbrace{2}-\frac{\overrightarrow{e y}}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{array} \\
& Q_{1}^{(2)}=-\int_{1}^{2} \hat{\psi}_{1}^{(-2 x)}\left[-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right] d x+\int_{3}^{1} \hat{\psi}_{1}^{3-1}(s)\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right] d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
Q_{2}^{0}=\int_{0}^{h_{12}}\left(1+\frac{x}{h_{12}}\right)[\mu_{2} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \psi_{3}}{\partial x}}_{\beta_{3}}+\mu_{3} \frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial x}] d x+\underbrace{h_{31}=1 / \sqrt{2}}_{\beta_{2}} \frac{s}{h_{31}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{3} \mu_{2}+\beta_{2} \mu_{3} \\
-\gamma_{3} \mu_{2}-\gamma_{2} v_{3} \\
d s
\end{array}\right.
$$

(4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{2}^{(1)}=\left(\beta_{3} \mu_{2}+\beta_{2} \mu_{3}\right) \times \frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\beta_{3} \mu_{2}+\beta_{2} \mu_{3}+\gamma_{3} \mu_{2}+\gamma_{2} \mu_{3}\right) \\
& Q_{2}^{\Theta}=\int_{n} \Psi_{2}(x, y) q_{n}|s| d s=\frac{\int_{1}^{2} \psi_{2}|x, y| q u d s}{\int_{s=x} \vec{m}=-\vec{y}} \int_{2}^{3} \psi_{v}|x, y| d s \\
& Q_{2}^{(4)}=-\int_{0}^{1 / 2} 2 x \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} d x+\int_{0}^{1 / 2}(1-2 y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} d y \\
& Q_{2}^{(4)}=2 \gamma_{1} \mu_{3} \times\left(-\frac{1}{8}\right)+\beta_{1} \mu_{3} \times \frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

(*) $Q_{2}^{(4)}=\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{4}-\frac{\gamma_{1}}{4}\right) \mu_{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{3}^{(3)}=\int_{n ß} \psi_{3}^{(3)}(x y) q_{\mu}(s) d s=\int_{2}^{3} \psi_{3} q_{m}(s) d s
\end{aligned} \underbrace{\int_{3}^{1} \vec{m}=\overrightarrow{e x}}_{3} \psi_{3}^{1} \psi_{3} q_{m} d s
$$

(*) $\quad Q_{3}^{(3)}=\frac{3 \beta_{1} \mu_{2}}{4}+\frac{\gamma_{1} \mu_{2}}{4}$
4.12 Determine the Lagrange interpolation polynomials for:

- a triangular element that has two nodes per side $(k=2)$
- a triangular element that has three nodes per side $(k=3)$
- a triangular element that has four nodes per side $(k=4)$

Solution: Here, we express the interpolation functions of the triangular elements as functions of the area coordinates, by using the interpolation property.

- Triangular element with two nodes per side:

$$
\Psi_{1}(x, y)=L_{1}(s), \quad \Psi_{2}(x, y)=L_{2}(s), \quad \Psi_{3}(x, y)=L_{3}(s)
$$

- Triangular element with three nodes per side (see Figure 4.9 for the node numbering):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{1}(x, y)=2 L_{1}(s)\left(L_{1}(s)-\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& \Psi_{2}(x, y)=2 L_{2}(s)\left(L_{2}(s)-\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& \Psi_{3}(x, y)=2 L_{3}(s)\left(L_{3}(s)-\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& \Psi_{4}(x, y)=4 L_{1}(s) L_{2}(s) \\
& \Psi_{5}(x, y)=4 L_{2}(s) L_{3}(s) \\
& \Psi_{6}(x, y)=4 L_{1}(s) L_{3}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Triangular element with four nodes per side (see Figure 4.9 for the node numbering):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{1}(x, y)=\frac{9}{2} L_{1}(s)\left(L_{1}(s)-\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(L_{1}(s)-\frac{2}{3}\right) \\
& \Psi_{2}(x, y)=\frac{9}{2} L_{2}(s)\left(L_{2}(s)-\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(L_{2}(s)-\frac{2}{3}\right) \\
& \Psi_{3}(x, y)=\frac{9}{2} L_{3}(s)\left(L_{3}(s)-\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(L_{3}(s)-\frac{2}{3}\right) \\
& \Psi_{4}(x, y)=\frac{27}{2} L_{1}(s) L_{2}(s)\left(L_{1}(s)-\frac{1}{3}\right) \\
& \Psi_{5}(x, y)=\frac{27}{2} L_{1}(s) L_{2}(s)\left(L_{2}(s)-\frac{1}{3}\right) \\
& \Psi_{6}(x, y)=\frac{27}{2} L_{2}(s) L_{3}(s)\left(L_{2}(s)-\frac{1}{3}\right) \\
& \Psi_{7}(x, y)=\frac{27}{2} L_{2}(s) L_{3}(s)\left(L_{3}(s)-\frac{1}{3}\right) \\
& \Psi_{8}(x, y)=\frac{27}{2} L_{1}(s) L_{3}(s)\left(L_{3}(s)-\frac{1}{3}\right) \\
& \Psi_{9}(x, y)=\frac{27}{2} L_{1}(s) L_{3}(s)\left(L_{1}(s)-\frac{1}{3}\right) \\
& \Psi_{10}(x, y)=27 L_{1}(s) L_{2}(s) L_{3}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 4.9 Node numbering adopted in Problem 4.12.
4.13 Determine the interpolation function $\Psi_{14}$ for the triangular element shown in Figure 4.10. Assume that nodes on the sides of the element are equally spaced.


Figure 4.10 A higher-order triangular element. Image taken from [?]

Solution: We express the interpolation function at node 14 as a function of the area coordinates, by using the interpolation property:

$$
\Psi_{14}(x, y)=128 L_{I}(s) L_{I I}(s) L_{I I I}(s)\left(L_{I I}(s)-\frac{1}{4}\right)
$$

in which we note the edges of the element as nodes I, II and III, as shown in the figure.
4.14 Calculate the Jacobian of each of the three elements of the mesh shown in Figure 4.11. Explain whether the geometry and numbering conventions are acceptable or not.



Figure 4.11 Meshing problem requiring calculating the Jacobian. Image taken from [?]

Solution: To calculate the Jacobian on each element, we first need to explain how the
geometry is interpolated on each element, according to the following equations:

$$
x(\xi, \eta)=\sum_{j=1}^{4} x_{j} \Psi_{j}(\xi, \eta), \quad y(\xi, \eta)=\sum_{j=1}^{4} y_{j} \Psi_{j}(\xi, \eta)
$$

in which the interpolation functions $\Psi_{j}(\xi, \eta)$ are those of the linear quadrilateral master element:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1-\eta) \\
\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1-\eta) \\
\Psi_{3}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1+\eta) \\
\Psi_{4}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1+\eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the Jacobian is calculated for each element, as follows

$$
J=\frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta}-\frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi}
$$

For element $1, x_{1}=0, x_{2}=2, x_{3}=2, x_{4}=0, y_{1}=0, y_{2}=0, y_{3}=3$ and $y_{4}=5$. We find: $J_{1}=(4-\xi) / 16$, which is always positive, since $\xi \in[-1,1]$. Element 1 is thus properly meshed. For element $2, x_{1}=2, x_{2}=3, x_{3}=5, x_{4}=2, y_{1}=0, y_{2}=2, y_{3}=3$ and $y_{4}=3$. We find $J_{2}=2(1-\xi+\eta) / 4$. So $J_{2}>0$ only if $\eta>\xi-1$, i.e. only when the point considered is in the convex hull of the element. Hence, element 2 is not properly meshed, because it is not convex. For element $3, x_{1}=2, x_{2}=0, x_{3}=5, x_{4}=5, y_{1}=3$, $y_{2}=5, y_{3}=5$ and $y_{4}=3$. We find $J_{3}=-(4+\xi) / 2$, which is always negative, since $\xi \in[-1,1]$. Hence, element 3 is not properly meshed. Here, this is because the node numbering convention chosen in the element goes clockwise, while the node numbering convention in the master element goes counter-clockwise (the same node numbering sense has to be chosen for both).
4.15 Determine the conditions on the location of node 3 of the quadrilateral element shown in Figure 4.12.


Figure 4.12 Finding an acceptable element shape. Image taken from (Reddy, 2004)

Solution: To calculate the Jacobian of the element, we first need to explain how the geometry is interpolated, according to the following equations:

$$
x(\xi, \eta)=\sum_{j=1}^{4} x_{j} \Psi_{j}(\xi, \eta), \quad y(\xi, \eta)=\sum_{j=1}^{4} y_{j} \Psi_{j}(\xi, \eta)
$$

Using the expressions of the interpolation functions (linear quadrilateral master element):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1-\eta) \\
\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1-\eta) \\
\Psi_{3}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1+\eta) \\
\Psi_{4}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1+\eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

and using the coordinates of the nodes: $x_{1}=0, x_{2}=2, x_{3}=a, x_{4}=0, y_{1}=0, y_{2}=0$, $y_{3}=b$ and $y_{4}=2$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(\xi, \eta)=\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)[2(1-\eta)+a(1+\eta)] \\
& y(\xi, \eta)=\frac{1}{4}(1+\eta)[b(1+\xi)+2(1-\xi)]
\end{aligned}
$$

We then calculate the Jacobian:

$$
J=\frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta}-\frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi}
$$

$$
J=a+b+(b-2) \xi+(a-2) \eta
$$

At node $1(\xi=-1, \eta=-1): J=4$, positive. At node $2(\xi=1, \eta=-1): J=2 b$, which is positive, since according to the figure shown, $b>0$. At node $3(\xi=1, \eta=1)$ : $J=2(a+b-2)$, which is positive only if $b>2-a$. At node $4(\xi=-1, \eta=1)$ : $J=2 a$, which is positive, since according to the figure shown, $a>0$. So to summarize, the element will be acceptable only if $b>2-a$, i.e., only if point 3 is above the line that links nodes 2 and 4 . In other words, the element is only acceptable if it is convex.
4.16 Consider the isoparametric quadrilateral element shown iFigure 4.13. Use the Gauss-Legendre numerical integration scheme of the lowest order possible to calculate the following integrals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{i j}^{00} & =\int_{\Omega} \Psi_{i}(x, y) \Psi_{j}(x, y) d x d y \\
S_{i j}^{12} & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \Psi_{i}(x, y)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Psi_{i}(x, y)}{\partial y} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 4.13 Evaluating integrals defined on an element of irregular shape. Image taken from (Reddy, 2004)

Solution: For the first integral:

$$
S_{i j}^{00}=\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \Phi_{i}(\xi, \eta) \Phi_{j}(\xi, \eta) J d \xi d \eta
$$

in which the expressions of the interpolation functions for the linear quadrilateral master element are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{1}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1-\eta) \\
\Phi_{2}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1-\eta) \\
\Phi_{3}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1+\eta) \\
\Phi_{4}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1+\eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

To evaluate $S_{i j}^{00}$, we thus need to calculate the Jacobian $J$ on the element:

$$
J=\frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta}-\frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi}
$$

with:

$$
x(\xi, \eta)=\sum_{j=1}^{4} x_{j} \Phi_{j}(\xi, \eta), \quad y(\xi, \eta)=\sum_{j=1}^{4} y_{j} \Phi_{j}(\xi, \eta)
$$

Noting that $x_{1}=0, x_{2}=5, x_{3}=4, x_{4}=1, y_{1}=0, y_{2}=-1, y_{3}=5$ and $y_{4}=4$, we get:

$$
x(\xi, \eta)=\frac{1}{4}(10+8 \xi-2 \xi \eta), \quad y(\xi, \eta)=\frac{1}{4}(8+10 \eta+2 \xi \eta)
$$

and we find:

$$
J=\frac{1}{4}(20+4 \xi-\xi \eta)
$$

The integrand in $S_{i j}^{00}$ is thus cubic in $\xi$ and cubic in $\eta$. Therefore, we need to perform a Gauss Legendre numerical integration of order $r \geq(p+1) / 2=2$. As an example, let us calculate $S_{11}^{00}$. We have:

$$
S_{11}^{00}=\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{64}(1-\xi)^{2}(1-\eta)^{2}(20+4 \xi-\xi \eta) d \xi d \eta
$$

We note $P(\xi, \eta)=\frac{1}{64}(1-\xi)^{2}(1-\eta)^{2}(20+4 \xi-\xi \eta)$. The Gauss-Legendre quadrature of order 2 approximates the integral as follows:

$$
S_{11}^{00} \simeq P\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)+P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)+P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)+P\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)
$$

The integral will be calculated exactly since $P$ is a polynomial, with an order $p=2 r-1$. Then, $S_{12}^{00}=S_{21}^{00}$ and $S_{22}^{00}$ will be calcualted in the same way.
For the second integral:
$S_{i j}^{12}=\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(J_{11}^{*} \frac{\partial \Phi_{i}(\xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi}+J_{12}^{*} \frac{\partial \Phi_{i}(\xi, \eta)}{\partial \eta}\right)\left(J_{21}^{*} \frac{\partial \Phi_{i}(\xi, \eta)}{\partial \xi}+J_{22}^{*} \frac{\partial \Phi_{i}(\xi, \eta)}{\partial \eta}\right) J d \xi d \eta$
in which $\left[J^{*}\right]$ is the inverse of the Jacobian matrix. The Jacobian matrix is calculated as:

$$
[J]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} \\
\frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
8-2 \eta & 2 \eta \\
-2 \xi & 10+2 \xi
\end{array}\right]
$$

The inverse of the Jacobian matrix is calculated as:

$$
\left[J^{*}\right]=[J]^{-1}=\frac{1}{4 J}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10+2 \xi & -2 \eta \\
2 \xi & 8-2 \eta
\end{array}\right]
$$

Each term in the brackets of the integrand of $S_{i j}^{12}$ is the ratio of a plynomial that is linear in $\xi$ and in $\eta$, by $J$. So the integrand is the product of $J$ by a polynomial that is quadratic in $\xi$ and in $\eta$. Overall, the integrand is thus cubic in $\xi$ and in $\eta$, so a Gauss-Legendre quadrature of order 2 should allow calculating the integrals $S_{i j}^{12}$ exactly.
4.17 Prove the equations given for the weak formulation of a plane elasticity problem.

Solution: The balance of momentum in directions x and y is expressed as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \sigma_{x x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{x y}}{\partial y}+f_{x}=\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u_{x}}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial \sigma_{y x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{y y}}{\partial y}+f_{y}=\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u_{y}}{\partial t^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The general form of the constitutive law is:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{x x} \\
\sigma_{y y} \\
\sigma_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{11} & c_{12} & 0 \\
c_{21} & c_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{x x} \\
\epsilon_{y y} \\
2 \epsilon_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Combining the balance and constitutive equations, one gets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(c_{11} \epsilon_{x x}+c_{12} \epsilon_{y y}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(2 c_{66} \epsilon_{x y}\right)+f_{x}=\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u_{x}}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(2 c_{66} \epsilon_{x y}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(c_{21} \epsilon_{x x}+c_{22} \epsilon_{y y}\right)+f_{y}=\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u_{y}}{\partial t^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we use the definition of the small deformation tensor:

$$
\epsilon_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)
$$

and we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(c_{11} \frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial x}+c_{12} \frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial y}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(c_{66} \frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial y}+c_{66} \frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial x}\right)+f_{x}=\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u_{x}}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(c_{66} \frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial y}+c_{66} \frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(c_{21} \frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial x}+c_{22} \frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial y}\right)+f_{y}=\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u_{y}}{\partial t^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

From there, we can write the weak formulation. From the balance equation in the x direction: $\forall w_{1}(x, y) \sim \delta u_{x}(x, y)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{e}}\left[h_{e}\left[\frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial x}\left(c_{11} \frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial x}+c_{12} \frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial y}\right)+c_{66} \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial x}\right)\right]+\rho w_{1} \ddot{u}_{x}\right] d x d y \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{e}} h_{e} w_{1} f_{x} d x d y+\oint_{\Gamma_{e}} h_{e} w_{1} \widehat{t}_{x} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

From the balance equation in the $y$-direction: $\forall w_{2}(x, y) \sim \delta u_{y}(x, y)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{e}}\left[h_{e}\left[\frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial y}\left(c_{12} \frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial x}+c_{22} \frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial y}\right)+c_{66} \frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial x}\right)\right]+\rho w_{2} \ddot{u}_{y}\right] d x d y \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{e}} h_{e} w_{2} f_{y} d x d y+\oint_{\Gamma_{e}} h_{e} w_{2} \widehat{t_{y}} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

4.18 For the Finite Element in plane elasticity shown in Figure 4.14, determine the surface load vector $\left\{Q_{e}\right\}$.


Figure 4.14 Calculation of boundary integrals in plane elasticity.

Solution: See the solution in the notes provided in the next 3 pages.
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$$
\left.\hat{E}_{n}(s)=-p_{0}-p_{0} \frac{s}{L_{23}}\right)=-p_{0}\left(1-\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right)
$$

$$
\left\{Q^{e}\right\}=\oint_{r_{c}}[\psi]^{\top}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\hat{E}_{x} \\
E_{y}
\end{array}\right\} d s, \quad d s=\operatorname{dg} d s
$$

$$
\left.\left\{Q^{e}\right\}=h_{e} \int_{1-2}[\psi]^{\top} i_{E_{y}} \hat{E}_{y}\right\}^{d s}+\left.\left.h_{e}\right|_{2-3}[\psi]^{\top}\left|\hat{E}_{x}\right|_{y}\right|^{d s}+h_{e}\left|{ }_{3-1}[\psi]^{\top}\right| \hat{\hat{R}_{2}} \mid d s
$$ (in $z$-divenim.)

On sides $1-2,3-1: \hat{E}_{n}=\hat{t}_{5}=0 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { (Nte: if the element } \\ & \text { were connected to othere }\end{aligned}$
 elemane equalibuthen of the SV) and $\psi_{1}=0$ on side 2-3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{Q^{e}\right\}=h_{e} \int_{2-3}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
p_{1}^{0} & 0 \\
0 & p_{0} \\
\psi_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \psi_{2} \\
\psi_{3} & 0 \\
0 & \psi_{3}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-p_{0}\left(1-\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right) \cos \alpha \\
-p_{0}\left(1-\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right) \sin \alpha
\end{array}\right\} d s \\
& \left\{Q^{e}\right\}=\left.h_{e}\right|_{2-3}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\left.\psi_{1}^{(1 /} / s\right) & 0 \\
0 & \left.\psi_{1}^{16} / s\right) \\
\left.\psi_{2}^{(1)} / s\right) & 0 \\
0 & \left.\psi_{2}^{(1)} / s\right)
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-p_{0}\left(1-\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right) \cos \alpha \\
-p_{0}\left(1-\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right) \sin \alpha
\end{array}\right\} d s \\
& \left\{Q^{e}\right\}=\left.h_{c}\right|_{z-3}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\left(1-\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right) & 0 \\
0 & \left(1-\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right) \\
s / L_{23} & 0 \\
0 & s / L_{23}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-p_{0}\left(1-\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right) \cos \alpha \\
-p_{0}\left(1-\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right) \sin \alpha
\end{array}\right\} d s \\
& \left\{Q^{e}\right\}=\left.h_{e}\right|_{s=0} ^{s=L_{23}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
-p_{0}\left(1-\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right)^{2} \cos \alpha \\
-p_{0}\left(1-\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right)^{2} \sin \alpha \\
-p_{0} \frac{s}{L_{23}}\left(1-\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right) \cos \alpha \\
-p_{0} \frac{s}{L_{23}}\left(1-\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right) \sin \alpha
\end{array}\right\} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{Q^{e}\right\}=-h_{e p_{0}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
{\left[-\frac{L_{23}}{3}\left(1-\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right)^{3} \cos \alpha\right]_{0}^{L_{23}}} \\
{\left[-\frac{L_{23}}{3}\left(1-\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right)^{3} \sin \alpha\right]_{0}^{L_{23}}} \\
{\left[\left(\frac{L_{23}}{2}\left(\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right)^{3} \times \frac{L_{23}}{3}\right)^{\cos \lambda}\right]_{0}^{L_{23}}} \\
\left.-\left(\frac{L_{23}}{2}\left(\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right)^{2}-\frac{L_{23}}{3}\left(\frac{s}{L_{23}}\right)^{3}\right) \sin \right]_{0}^{13}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \left.\left.\left\{Q^{C}\right\}=-h_{e} p_{0} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{L_{23}}{3} \cos \alpha \\
\frac{L_{23}}{3} \sin \alpha \\
-L_{23} \frac{\cos \alpha}{6} \\
-L_{23} \frac{\sin \alpha}{6}
\end{array}\right.\right\}=\frac{h_{e} p_{0} L_{23}}{6} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
2 \cos \alpha \\
2 \sin \alpha \\
-\cos \alpha \\
-\sin \alpha
\end{array}\right.\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

4.19 In the plane stress problem shown in Figure 4.15, determine the horizontal component of the load vector at node $16\left(Q_{16 x}\right)$ and the vertical component of the load vector at node $11\left(Q_{11 y}\right)$.


Figure 4.15 Calculation of boundary integrals in plane elasticity.

Solution: See the solution in the next 4 pages of notes.

Problem 11.3p630

Struetive of thideness $h$.


Objective: detenmene the honizontal component of the loads vedors at node 16, and the vential comporeant of the loads. vetore at node 11: $Q_{16_{x}}, Q_{11 y}$.
$Q_{16 x}=Q_{31} \mid$ with the glotal numbening of the dio. $P$ $Q_{11 y}=Q_{22}$
(1)Assembly: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}Q_{16 x}=Q_{15}^{2}+Q_{5}^{3} \\ Q_{11}=Q_{10}^{3}\end{array}\right.$
(2) Elementary Londs vectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{Q^{2}\right\}=h \phi_{p_{2}}[\psi]^{\top} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\overrightarrow{t_{x}} \\
\overrightarrow{t_{y}}
\end{array}\right\} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =0 \text { (Free sum Fuce) } \begin{array}{l}
\text { tuaction reists bit } \\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { vanishes at } \\
\text { assembly } \\
\text { equilibuium of } S V
\end{array}=0 \text { (froe }
\end{array} \\
& \text { SVat } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { inter-element } \\
\text { boradepes }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{Q^{3}\right\}=\oint_{C_{3}} h[\psi]^{\top}\left|\begin{array}{l}
\hat{E}_{x} \\
E_{y}^{c}
\end{array}\right| d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { at inter.elelenent boundenies }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{t_{0 x}}{\stackrel{t_{0}}{\longrightarrow}} \uparrow t_{o y} \\
& \frac{b_{0 y}}{t_{0 x}}=\frac{3}{4}, t_{0}^{2}=t_{0}^{2}+t_{0 y}^{2} \\
& t_{0}^{2}=\left(1+\frac{a}{16}\right) t_{0 x}^{2}=\frac{25}{16} t_{0 x}^{2} \Rightarrow t_{x}=\frac{4}{5} t_{0} \\
& t_{y}=\frac{3}{h} \times \frac{4}{5} t \Rightarrow t_{0 y}=\frac{3}{5} t \\
& \left\{Q^{3}\right\}=\frac{t_{0}}{5} \int_{2-3} h[\psi]^{\top}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4 \\
3
\end{array}\right\} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Trensformantion of 20 interpoution Furctions into (D) intenpolation functions on the sides

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\psi_{3}^{(3)}(x, y)\right|_{2-3} \equiv \psi_{3}^{1 p}(s) \\
& \psi_{3}^{10}(s)=\frac{s}{h_{23}}\left(\frac{2 s}{h_{23}}-1\right) \\
& \left.\psi_{5}^{(3)}(x, y)\right|_{2-3} \equiv \psi_{2}^{10}(s) \\
& \psi_{2}^{10}(s)=4 \frac{s}{h_{23}}\left(1-\frac{s}{h_{23}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) Conclusion

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{Q_{16_{x}}=h \frac{t_{0}}{5}\right)_{0}^{h_{2-3}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{4 s}{h_{23}}\left(\frac{2 s}{h_{23}}-1\right) \\
\frac{3 s}{h_{23}}\left(\frac{2 s}{h_{23}}-1\right)
\end{array}\right\} \cdot\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right\} d s \\
& Q_{11} y=\frac{h t_{0}}{s} \int_{0}^{h_{2-3}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{16 s}{h_{23}}\left(1-\frac{s}{h_{23}}\right) \\
\frac{12 s}{h_{23}}\left(1-\frac{s}{h_{23}}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \cdot\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right\} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{16 x}=h \frac{t_{0}}{5} \times\left.\frac{4}{h_{23}}\right|_{0} ^{h_{23}} s\left(\frac{2 s}{h_{23}}-1\right) d s \\
Q_{11 y}=h \frac{t_{0}}{5} \times\left.\frac{12}{h_{23}^{(1)}}\right|_{0} ^{n_{23}} s\left(1-\frac{s}{h_{23}}\right) d s \\
Q_{16 x}=\frac{4 t_{0} h}{5 h_{23}^{(3)}}\left[\frac{2}{3 h_{23}} s^{3}-\frac{s^{2}}{2}\right]_{0}^{h_{23}} \\
Q_{11 y}=\frac{12 t_{0} h}{5 h_{23}^{(3)}}\left[\frac{s^{2}}{2}-\frac{s^{3}}{3 h_{23}}\right]_{0}^{h_{23}^{(3)}} \\
Q_{16 x}=\frac{4 t_{0} h}{5} \times \frac{1}{h_{23}^{(3)}} \times\left(h_{23}^{(3)}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \Rightarrow Q_{16 x}=\frac{2 t_{0} h h_{23}^{(3)}}{15} \\
Q_{11 y}=\frac{12 t_{0} h}{5} \times \frac{1}{h_{23}^{(3)}}\left(h_{23}^{(3)}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3}\right) \Rightarrow Q_{11 y}=\frac{2 t_{0} h_{23}^{(33}}{5}
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 4.20 Homework 3 - Problem 1

The Finite Element Method based on Ritz Method is used to study an insulating wall constituted of three homogeneous layers, each of which being characterized by a thermal conductivity $k_{i}$ (Fig. 4.16). There is no energy production (no source) in the wall. The outer surfaces are exposed to heat exchanges with the atmosphere. These convective transfers are modeled as boundary conditions on heat flow: $Q=-\beta\left(T-T_{\infty}\right)$. The film coefficient is $\beta_{L}$ on the left side, and $\beta_{R}$ on the right side (Fig. 4.16). The objective of this problem is to determine the temperature distribution inside the wall by using three one-dimensional linear Finite Elements.


Figure 4.16 Heat Transfer Problem.

1. What is the equation of 1D heat transfer in the most general case? Explain each term of this equation. Explain why in this particular problem, the elementary governing equation reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d x}\left(k_{i} A \frac{d T}{d x}\right)=0, \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Consider an element defined on $] x_{a}, x_{b}[$. Write the weak formulation for this element, up to the integration by parts.
3. Give the "nodal" conditions (boundary conditions and concentrated loads). Indicate whether the boundary conditions are essential or natural.
4. Provide the elementary stiffness matrices and elementary force vectors. Provide the general form of the stiffness matrix and force coefficients (using $\Psi_{j}$ to denote interpolation functions). Then provide the numerical values of the coefficients of the stiffness matrix.
5. Draw the connectivity table and assemble the elementary equations obtained in question 4.
6. Write the system of condensed equations. Rearrange the system of equations in order to have all unknown variables on the left hand-side of the matrix equation. Do not solve.

## Solution:

1. In the most general case, the governing equation for 1D heat transfer writes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d x}\left(k A \frac{d T}{d x}\right)+\beta P\left(T(x)-T_{\infty}\right)=A g(x) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term of equation 4.2 represents conductive flow, the second term represents convective flow (heat exchanges with the atmosphere), and the right-hand side represents the influence of heat sources. There is no heating source to consider in this problem, thus: $g(x)=0$. There is no convection along the perimeter of the out-ofplane cross-section of the wall. Convection is included in the boundary conditions because heat exchanges with the atmosphere are only possible at the left and right faces of the wall. Therefore, the governing equation reduces to a heat conduction equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d x}\left(k_{i} A \frac{d T}{d x}\right)=0, \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Weighted Integral Statement:
$\forall w \simeq \delta T, \quad-\int_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}} w(x) \frac{d}{d x}\left(k_{i} A \frac{d T}{d x}\right) d x=0, \quad i=1,2,3$
Integration by Parts:
$\forall w \simeq \delta T, \quad \int_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}} \frac{d w}{d x} k_{i} A \frac{d T}{d x} d x=\left[w(x) k_{i} A \frac{d T}{d x}\right]_{x_{a}}^{x_{b}}, \quad i=1,2,3$
3. The primary variable is temperature. The secondary variable is heat flow, defined as: $Q^{i}=k_{i} A \frac{d T}{d x}$ for element i. At internal nodes, no concentrated load is applied: $Q_{2}=Q_{3}=0$. Two natural boundary conditions are applied, on the left and right sides of the wall. Two convective flows are imposed: $Q(0)=Q_{L}=-\beta_{L}\left(T(0)-T_{\infty}^{L}\right)$ and $Q\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+h_{3}\right)=Q_{R}=-\beta_{R}\left(T\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+h_{3}\right)-T_{\infty}^{R}\right)$. In terms of nodal conditions: $Q_{1}=-\beta_{L}\left(T_{1}-T_{\infty}\right)$ and $Q_{4}=-\beta_{R}\left(T_{4}-T_{\infty}\right)$.
Note: Actually, the boundary conditions mix imposed values for heat flux $(Q)(0)$, $\left.Q\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+h_{3}\right)\right)$ and imposed values of temperature ( $\left.T(0), T\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+h_{3}\right)\right)$. Such boundary conditions are called "mixed boundary conditions".
4. Each layer is modeled by a one-dimensional linear Finite Element. In a local coordinate system, the interpolation functions are expressed as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Psi_{1}^{e}(\bar{x})=\left(1-\frac{\bar{x}}{h_{e}}\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
\Psi_{2}^{e}(\bar{x})=\frac{\bar{x}}{h_{e}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

in which $h_{e}$ is the length of the element. Here, the elements have different lengths: $h_{1} \neq h_{2}, h_{2} \neq h_{3}$ and $h_{3} \neq h_{1}$. The weak formulation 4.5 provides the typical
elementary equation which has to be used in this Finite Element model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall k=1,2, \quad\left[\int_{0}^{h_{i}} k_{i} A \frac{d \Psi_{k}}{d \bar{x}} \frac{d \Psi_{j}}{d \bar{x}} d \bar{x}\right] T_{j}=Q_{k}^{i}, \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $Q_{k}^{i}=+/-\left[\Psi_{k}(x) k_{i} A \frac{d T}{d x}\right]$ (the sign depends on the node considered after the integration by parts). There is no contribution of a volumetric force: $\left\{F^{i}\right\}=\{0\}$ for $\mathrm{i}=1,2,3$. With the interpolation functions used in this problem (equation 4.6), and with A and $k_{i}$ parameters being constant, the typical elementary stiffness matrix turns to be:

$$
\left[K^{i}\right]=\frac{k_{i} A}{h_{i}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1  \tag{4.8}\\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad i=1,2,3
$$

5. The connectivity table for this Finite Element model is provided in Tab. 4.1. Assem-

## Table 4.1 Connectivity Table for Problem 1.

| Element | $T_{1}$ | $T_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 3 | 3 | 4 |

bling the elementary equations provides the global matrix equation of this problem:

$$
A\left[\begin{array}{llll}
k_{1} / h_{1} & -k_{1} / h_{1} & 0 & 0  \tag{4.9}\\
-k_{1} / h_{1} & k_{1} / h_{1}+k_{2} / h_{2} & -k_{2} / h_{2} & 0 \\
0 & -k_{2} / h_{2} & k_{2} / h_{2}+k_{3} / h_{3} & -k_{3} / h_{3} \\
0 & 0 & -k_{3} / h_{3} & k_{3} / h_{3}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T_{1} \\
T_{2} \\
T_{3} \\
T_{4}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1}^{1} \\
Q_{2}^{1}+Q_{1}^{2} \\
Q_{2}^{2}+Q_{1}^{3} \\
Q_{2}^{3}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

6. The "nodal" conditions are the following (see question 3): $Q_{1}=-\beta_{L}\left(T_{1}-T_{\infty}\right)$, $Q_{2}=Q_{3}=0, Q_{4}=-\beta_{R}\left(T_{4}-T_{\infty}\right)$. There is no boundary condition imposed on the primary variable. Therefore, the system of equations cannot be condensed. After
introducing the boundary conditions, the FE equation 4.9 writes:
$A\left[\begin{array}{l}k_{1} / h_{1} \\ -k_{1} / h_{1} \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right.$
$-k_{2} / h_{2}$
0
$\left.\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ -k_{3} / h_{3} \\ k_{3} / h_{3}+\beta_{R} / A\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}T_{1} \\ T_{2} \\ T_{3} \\ T_{4}\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\beta_{L} T_{\infty} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -\beta_{R} T_{\infty}\end{array}\right\}$
(4.10)

### 4.21 Homework 3 - Problem 2

A field problem is governed by the following differential equation:

$$
-\nabla^{2} u(x, y)=f_{0}
$$

The problem is solved with a linear triangular element. The nodal values of the dependent variable are the following:

$$
u_{1}=389.79, \quad u_{2}=337.19, \quad u_{3}=395.08
$$

The interpolation functions of the element are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{1}(x, y)=\frac{1}{8.25}(12.25-2.5 x-1.5 y) \\
& \Psi_{2}(x, y)=\frac{1}{8.25}(-1.5+3 x-1.5 y) \\
& \Psi_{3}(x, y)=\frac{1}{8.25}(-2.5-0.5 x+3 y)
\end{aligned}
$$

The flux vector is approximated as:

$$
\mathbf{q}(x, y)=\nabla\left(u_{F E M}(x, y)\right)=\frac{\partial u_{F E M}}{\partial x} \mathbf{i}+\frac{\partial u_{F E M}}{\partial y} \mathbf{j}
$$

1. Find the component of the flux in the direction of the vector $4 \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}}+3 \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}}$ at $(x=3$, $y=2$ ).
2. A point source of magnitude $Q_{0}$ is located at point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=(3,2)$ inside the triangular element. Determine the contribution of the point source to the element source vector. Express your answer in terms of $Q_{0}$.

## Solution:

1. The flux vector is approximated as:

$$
\mathbf{q}(x, y)=\nabla\left(u_{F E M}(x, y)\right)=\frac{\partial u_{F E M}}{\partial x} \mathbf{i}+\frac{\partial u_{F E M}}{\partial y} \mathbf{j}
$$

which can be expressed as:

$$
\mathbf{q}(x, y)=\sum_{k=1}^{3}\left[u_{k} \frac{\partial \Psi_{k}}{\partial x} \mathbf{i}+u_{k} \frac{\partial \Psi_{k}}{\partial y} \mathbf{j}\right]
$$

Using the expressions of the interpolation functions and of the nodal values provided in the problem:

$$
\mathbf{q}(x, y)=389.79\left(\frac{-2.5}{8.25} \mathbf{i}-\frac{1.5}{8.25} \mathbf{j}\right)+337.19\left(\frac{3}{8.25} \mathbf{i}-\frac{1.5}{8.25} \mathbf{j}\right)+395.08\left(\frac{-0.5}{8.25} \mathbf{i}+\frac{3}{8.25} \mathbf{j}\right)
$$

We find:

$$
\mathbf{q}(x, y)=-19.45 \mathbf{i}+11.49 \mathbf{j}
$$

We notice that the expression of the flux vector does not depend on the position ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) in the element. The component of the flux vector on $4 \mathbf{i}+3 \mathbf{j}$ is obtained by projection. We find: $\mathbf{q} \cdot(4 \mathbf{i}+3 \mathbf{j})=-43.33$.
2. A point source $Q_{0}$ at $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ can be expressed as a distributed flux $Q_{0} \delta\left(x-x_{0}, y-\right.$ $y_{0}$ ), in which $\delta\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ represents here the Dirac function (equals one when $x^{\prime}=$ $y^{\prime}=0$, and zero otherwise). According to the weak formulation, the force terms are obtained by integration, as follows:

$$
F_{i}=\int_{\Omega_{e}} \Psi_{i}(x, y) Q_{0} \delta\left(x-x_{0}, y-y_{0}\right) d x d y=\Psi_{i}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) Q_{0}
$$

With $x_{0}=3$ and $y_{0}=2$, from the expressions of we find: $F_{1}=1.75 Q_{0} / 8.25$, $F_{2}=4.5 Q_{0} / 8.25, F_{3}=2 Q_{0} / 8.25$.

## CHAPTER 5

## ELEMENTS OF PORO-ELASTICITY

## PROBLEMS

5.1 [FEM for 1D steady fluid flow] Consider the steady laminar flow of a viscous incompressible fluid with constant density in a long annular region between two coaxial cylinders of radii $R_{i}$ and $R_{0}$ (see Figure 5.1). The differential equation for this case is given by:

$$
-\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}\left(r \mu \frac{d w}{d r}\right)=f_{0}, \quad f_{0}=\frac{P_{1}-P_{2}}{L}
$$

where w is the velocity along the cylinders (i.e., the z component of velocity), $\mu$ is the viscosity, $L$ is the length of the region along the cylinders in which the flow is fully developed, and $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are the pressures at $z=0$ and $z=L$, respectively ( $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ represent the combined effect of static pressure and gravitational force). The boundary conditions are:

$$
w\left(r=R_{0}\right)=w\left(r=R_{i}\right)=0
$$

1. Write the weak formulation of the problem.
2. Consider two linear elements over the segment $\left[R_{0}, R_{i}\right]$. Write the two elementary equations that govern the problem, using Ritz method.
3. Assemble and condense the system of equations.
4. Solve the system of finite element equations for the primary variable and write the expression of approximate solution over the segment $\left[R_{0}, R_{i}\right]$.
5. Post-process the finite element results to calculate the unknown nodal secondary variables.
6. Repeat questions 2 and 3 with one quadratic element. Do not solve.


Figure 5.1 Viscous incompressible fluid flow in an annular area.

## Solution:

1. Weak formulation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall u \sim \delta w, \quad-2 \pi \int_{r_{a}}^{r_{b}} u(r) \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}\left(r \mu \frac{d w}{d r}\right) r d r=2 \pi \int_{r_{a}}^{r_{b}} u(r) f_{0} r d r \\
& \forall u \sim \delta w, \quad \int_{r_{a}}^{r_{b}} \frac{d u}{d r}\left(r \mu \frac{d w}{d r}\right) d r=\int_{r_{a}}^{r_{b}} u(r) f_{0} r d r+\left[u(r)\left(r \mu \frac{d w}{d r}\right)\right]_{r_{a}}^{r_{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Secondary variable:

$$
q(r)=r \mu \frac{d w}{d r}
$$

2. Elementary equations:

For each element defined on the segment $\left[r_{a}, r_{b}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{1}(r)=\frac{r_{b}-r}{r_{b}-r_{a}}, \quad \psi_{2}(r)=\frac{r-r_{a}}{r_{b}-r_{a}} \\
\forall i=1,2, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{2} w_{j} \int_{r_{a}}^{r_{b}} \frac{d \psi_{i}}{d r}\left(r \mu \frac{d \psi_{j}}{d r}\right) d r=\int_{r_{a}}^{r_{b}} \psi_{i}(r) f_{0} r d r+\left[\psi_{i}(r) q(r)\right]_{r_{a}}^{r_{b}} \\
{\left[K^{e}\right]}
\end{gathered}=\frac{\mu\left(r_{a}+r_{b}\right)}{2\left(r_{b}-r_{a}\right)}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad .
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{F^{e}\right\}=\frac{f_{0}}{6}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-2\left(r_{a}\right)^{2}+\left(r_{b}\right)^{2}+r_{a} r_{b} \\
-\left(r_{a}\right)^{2}+2\left(r_{b}\right)^{2}-r_{a} r_{b}
\end{array}\right\} \\
\left\{Q^{e}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-q\left(r_{a}\right) \\
+q\left(r_{b}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

For the two elements in this problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[K^{(1)}\right]=\frac{\mu\left(3 R_{i}+R_{0}\right)}{2\left(R_{0}-R_{i}\right)}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[K^{(2)}\right]=\frac{\mu\left(R_{i}+3 R_{0}\right)}{2\left(R_{0}-R_{i}\right)}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Assembly:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
K_{11}^{(1)} & K_{12}^{(1)} & 0 \\
K_{21}^{(1)} & K_{22}^{(1)}+K_{11}^{(2)} & K_{12}^{(2)} \\
0 & K_{21}^{(2)} & K_{22}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{1} \\
w_{2} \\
w_{3}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1}^{(1)} \\
Q_{2}^{(1)}+Q_{1}^{(2)} \\
Q_{2}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{1}^{(1)} \\
F_{2}^{(1)}+F_{1}^{(2)} \\
F_{2}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

After some computation...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mu}{2\left(R_{0}-R_{i}\right)}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\left(3 R_{i}+R_{0}\right) & -\left(3 R_{i}+R_{0}\right) & 0 \\
-\left(3 R_{i}+R_{0}\right) & 4\left(R_{i}+R_{0}\right) & -\left(R_{i}+3 R_{0}\right) \\
0 & -\left(R_{i}+3 R_{0}\right) & \left(R_{i}+3 R_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{1} \\
w_{2} \\
w_{3}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1} \\
Q_{2} \\
Q_{3}
\end{array}\right\}+\frac{f_{0} \times\left(R_{0}-R_{i}\right)}{24}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5 R_{i}+R_{0} \\
6\left(R_{i}+R_{0}\right) \\
R_{i}+5 R_{0}
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The nodal conditions are $w_{1}=w_{3}=0, Q_{2}=0$. The condensation process yields one equation for one variable $\left(w_{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{22} w_{2}=F_{2} \\
\frac{2 \mu\left(R_{i}+R_{0}\right)}{R_{0}-R_{i}} w_{2}=\frac{f_{0} \times\left(R_{0}-R_{i}\right)\left(R_{i}+R_{0}\right)}{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

## 4. Resolution and approximation:

We solve for $w_{2}$ :

$$
w_{2}=\frac{f_{0} \times\left(R_{0}-R_{i}\right)^{2}}{8 \mu}
$$

Approximation for each element:

$$
w^{e}(r) \simeq w_{1}^{e} \psi_{1}^{e}(r)+w_{2}^{e} \psi_{2}^{e}(r)
$$

Specifically:

$$
\forall r \in\left[R_{i},\left(R_{0}+R_{i}\right) / 2\right], \quad w(r) \simeq w_{2} \frac{R_{0}+R_{i}-2 r}{R_{0}-R_{i}}
$$

$$
\forall r \in\left[\left(R_{i}+R_{0}\right) / 2, R_{0}\right], \quad w(r) \simeq w_{2} \frac{2 r-\left(R_{0}+R_{i}\right)}{R_{0}-R_{i}}
$$

5. Post-processing:

We approximate $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{3}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{1}=Q_{1}^{(1)}=\left(r \mu \frac{d w}{d r}\right)_{r=r_{a}^{(1)}} \\
Q_{1} \simeq-\frac{2 \mu w_{2} R_{i}}{R_{0}-R_{i}}=-\frac{f_{0} R_{i}\left(R_{0}-R_{i}\right)}{4} \\
Q_{3}=Q_{2}^{(2)}=\left(r \mu \frac{d w}{d r}\right)_{r=r_{b}^{(2)}} \\
Q_{2} \simeq \frac{2 \mu w_{2} R_{i}}{R_{0}-R_{i}}=\frac{f_{0} R_{i}\left(R_{0}-R_{i}\right)}{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

## 6. One quadratic element:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\pi \mu}{3 L}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
3 R_{0}+11 R_{\mathrm{i}} & -4 R_{0}-12 R_{\mathrm{i}} & R_{0}+R_{\mathrm{i}} \\
-4 R_{0}-12 R_{\mathrm{i}} & 16\left(R_{0}+R_{\mathrm{i}}\right) & -12 R_{0}-4 R_{\mathrm{i}} \\
R_{0}+R_{\mathrm{i}} & -12 R_{0}-4 R_{\mathrm{i}} & 11 R_{0}+3 R_{\mathrm{i}}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
U_{1} \\
U_{2} \\
U_{3}
\end{array}\right\} \\
=\frac{\pi f_{0} L}{3}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
R_{\mathrm{i}} \\
2 R_{\mathrm{i}}+2 R_{0} \\
R_{0}
\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{c}
Q_{1}^{1} \\
Q 2 \\
Q \frac{1}{1}
\end{array}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

5.2 [FEM for 1D steady fluid flow] Consider the irrotational flow of an ideal fluid about a circular cylinder with its axis perpendicular to the plane of flow, which takes place between two long horizontal walls (see figure 5.2). The governing equation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\nabla^{2} u=0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Is it more computationally efficient to model the flow problem with the stream function ( $u=\Psi$ ) or the flow potential $(u=\Phi)$ ?

Solution: See the next four pages of notes.


Figure 5.2 Flow around a non-penetrable obstacle in 2D. Image taken from (Reddy, 2004).
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$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{8} & =\int_{\Omega_{s}} Q_{1}^{(s)}(x, y) Q_{2} \delta\left(x-x p_{2}, y-y_{p_{2}}\right) d x d y \\
& +\int_{\Omega_{10}} \psi_{2}^{(10)}(x, y) Q_{1} \delta\left(x-x_{p_{1}}, y-y_{p_{1}}\right) d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Step S. Realution / Condensation.
6 essential b.c.
rotal numben of do.F.: $(14$ nodes $)+(1$ dfluade $)=14$ $\Rightarrow$ aften condensation, we should obtain a system of 8 equatims, $r_{0}$ scl ve fore $\phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \phi_{4}, \phi_{7}, \phi_{8}$, $\phi_{9}, \phi_{12}, \phi_{13}$.

Ex. 8.5.5 p 479
Confined Flow Anound a Cinculan Cylinden:


Boundary Conditions:


$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{y}(x, y=0)=0 \\
V \\
v y=0 \text { on } A B
\end{gathered}
$$

- BC: the cylinder cament be penetrated by the Fled, i.e. $V_{m}=0$ on $B C$ (no fluid velocity normal to the besundapy $B C$ )
- $C D$ :
 $v_{y}\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)=-v_{y}(-x, y)$ anh-symuaptaic At $x=0$ (on the venticu axis)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{y}\left(0^{-}, y\right)=-v_{y}\left(0^{+}, y^{\prime}\right) \text {-antisymuphtric } \\
v_{y}\left(0^{-}, y^{\prime}\right)=v_{y}\left(0^{+}, y\right) \text {-continuous. } \\
\Rightarrow \\
v_{y}\left(x=0, y^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
\Rightarrow \\
v_{y}=0 \text { on } C D
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

- DE: Fixed wall : fluid Flow is impossible beyond the wall $\Rightarrow$ non-penetration condition $\Rightarrow V_{n}=0$ (no fluid velocity unnenfal to the wall with $m=y \Rightarrow v_{y}=0$ on $D E$
- EA: Fluid velocity is specified: $v_{x}=U_{0}, v_{y}=0$ on $E A$

Summary

|  | $v_{x}, v_{y}$ | $\psi(x, y)$ | $\phi(x, y)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A B$ | $v_{y}=0$ | $\partial \psi / \partial x=0, n=-y$ | $\partial \phi / \partial y=0, n=-y$ |
| $B C$ | $v_{m}=0$ | $\partial \psi / \partial s=0$ | $\partial \phi / \partial m=0$ |
| $C D$ | $v_{y}=0$ | $\partial \psi / \partial x=0, n=x$ | $\partial \phi / \partial y=0, n=x$ |
| $D E$ | $v_{y}=0$ | $v_{x}=v_{0}, v=0$ | $\partial \psi / \partial x=0, n=y$ |
| $E \phi / \partial y=0, n=y$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\partial \psi / \partial y=0, n=-x$ | $\partial \phi / \partial y=0, n=-x$ |  |

Streain Function Formulation

- on EA: $\psi(x, y)=U_{0} y+\psi(0,0)$
solution defined $\pm$ a consent
$\Rightarrow$ by convention, one can bake $\varphi(0,0)=0$
$\Rightarrow \psi(x, y)=U_{0} y$ on $E A$, and $\psi\left(x, y, y_{A}\right)=0, \quad \psi(x E, y z)=U_{0} y_{E}$.
- on DE: $\partial \dot{\psi} / \partial x=0 \Rightarrow \psi(x, y)=\Psi\left(x, y_{E}\right)=\psi\left(x_{E}, y_{E}\right)=U_{0} y_{=}=$
- on $A B: \partial \psi / \partial x=0 \Rightarrow \psi(x, y)=\psi\left(x, y_{A}\right)=\psi\left(x_{A}, y\right)=0$
- on $B C \cdot \partial \psi / \partial s=0 \Rightarrow d \psi / d s=0 \Rightarrow \psi(s)=\psi\left(s_{B}\right)=\psi\left(x_{B}, y_{B}\right)$

$$
=\psi\left(x_{A}, y_{A}\right)=0
$$

- on CD: $\partial \psi / \partial x=0$, with $m=x \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} m_{x}=q_{m}=0$

- $D E, E A, A B, B C$ essential be
- CD: natural bic.

Velocity Potential Formulation

- on $C D: \phi(x, y)=\varnothing\left(x=x_{c}, y\right)=$ constant $=\varnothing\left(x_{c}, y_{c}\right)$

Solution defined $\pm$ a constant $\Rightarrow$ by convention, we con take $\phi\left(x_{c}, y_{e}\right)=0 \Rightarrow \phi(x, y)=0$ on $C D$

- on $D E: \partial \varnothing / \partial y=0$, with $m=y \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \varnothing}{\partial y} m y=q(\xi)=0$
- on $B C: \partial \phi / \partial m=0 \Rightarrow q_{n}(s)=0$
- on $A B: \partial \phi / \partial y=0$ with $m=y \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} m y=q(s)=0$
- on EA: $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}=0 \Rightarrow \phi(x, y)=\varnothing\left(x_{A}, y\right)=$ constant $=\underbrace{\phi(x, y)}_{\text {untinuw }}$ cannot be set to zero since we already set the reference at $\phi\left(x_{c}, y_{c}\right)=0$.
On the then hand: $\partial \phi / \partial x=-U_{0}$ with $n=-x$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad q_{m}(s)=\frac{\partial \not \partial}{\partial x} m_{x}=U_{0}
$$


$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { - } D E, E A, A B, B C \text { : national be } \\ \text { - } C D \text { : essential bc }\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} & \text { move advantageous to resort o } \\ & \text { He stream function, to have up ane }\end{aligned}$ essential be, and thus, a mane condensed system of equations
5.3 [FEM for 1D steady fluid flow] Consider the groundwater flow problem governed by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(a_{11} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(a_{22} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}\right)=f(x, y) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two pumps are used to extract the water brought by a river, modeled as a lineic fluid source. The boundary and loading conditions are shown in the Figure 5.3. Propose a FEM model made of linear triangular elements to approximate the distribution of water fluxes.


Figure 5.3 Seepage problem in 2D. Image taken from (Reddy, 2004).

Solution: See the next six pages of notes.

Ex. 8,5,4p 474
Top View of an aquifer
The pumps "remove" sure Flour
 From the aquifer.


- Pumping Rate, Pomp 1: $Q_{1} L_{q}=0.24 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day
- Pumping Rate, Pump 2: $Q_{2}$ pen meter in $z=$ direction


Step 1: Meshing:
Follow" the river to apply $q_{0}$ at inton-elewent bocundames $\Rightarrow$ triangulate elements seem a good dormice.


- Ex of rough mesh with linear Enriangular elements
- $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ do not lave $b$ be nodes of the west

Step 2: FE Male l
Govenining Equation: $-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(a_{1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(a_{22} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}\right)=f(x, y)$ in $\Omega$
Boundary Conditions: $A B, C D$ : impermeable $\rightarrow$ vebcity $\perp A B, C D$ ispero
ie $v_{x}=0$

$$
\rightarrow \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \underbrace{n x}_{=1}=0
$$

(natural be) $=1$

- BC, DA: assumption: constant usater head

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow & \vec{v} / / \text { boundrey }=0 \\
\Rightarrow & v_{x}=0 \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}=0 \text {, ie For of Fixed }(a r: B C \text { or } D A): \\
& \varnothing=\phi_{0}=\text { constant (essential bc) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[k^{e}\right]\left\{\phi^{e}\right\}=\left\{F^{e}\right\}+\left\{Q^{e}\right\}} \\
& k_{i j} e^{e}=\int_{r_{e}}\left(a_{11} \frac{\partial \psi_{i}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \psi_{j}}{\partial x}+a_{22} \frac{\partial \psi_{i}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \psi_{j}}{\partial y}\right) d x d y \\
& F_{i}^{e}=\int_{r_{e}} \psi_{i}(x, y) f(x, y) d x d y \\
& Q_{i}^{e}=\oint_{r_{e}} \psi_{i}(s) q_{m}(s) d s \quad \text { with } q_{m}(s)=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} m x+\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} m
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3: Assembling (same process as usual; $3 \mathrm{def} / \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{t}$ )
Step 4: Boundary Conditions and Applied Lands

- consider essential be first, to have more rows of the assembled system of equations $i d$ condense:
$\rightarrow$ For the voles on $B C$ and $D A, \varnothing_{i}=\theta_{0}$ :

$$
\phi_{1}=\phi_{5}=\phi_{6}=\phi_{10}=\phi_{1}=\phi_{14}=\phi_{0}
$$

national beondery conditions:
oven $A B$ and $C D, Q_{i}=0$

$$
Q_{2}=Q_{n}=Q_{13}=0
$$

1 1) at notes 3 and 12, $Q \neq 0$ because of the river Flow


At 3 and 12, Flow $L_{x}=0$ but Flow $l / \overline{\bar{n}}$ is $\neq 0$

- equilibrium of the S.V. (internal nodes)
$Q_{7}=Q_{9}=0$ (no Flow applied)
$Q_{3} ; Q_{8}, Q_{12}$ : in fluence of the riven infiltration rate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{3}^{\text {nhtand }} Q_{3}^{\text {extend }}=\int_{0}^{h_{3.8}} \psi_{1}^{(1) / s)} q_{0} d s \\
& Q_{3}=\int_{0}^{h_{3-8}}\left(1-\frac{s}{h_{3-8}}\right) q_{0}^{(2)} d s \\
& Q_{3}=\left[-\frac{h_{3-8}}{2}\left(1-\frac{s}{h_{38}}\right)^{2} q_{0}\right]_{0}^{h_{3-8}}=\frac{q_{0} h_{3-8}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}Q_{8}=\frac{q_{0} h_{3-8}}{2}+\frac{q_{0} h_{8-12}}{2} \\ Q_{12}=q_{0} \frac{h_{8-1}}{2}\end{array}\right.$

$$
\Rightarrow Q_{3}+Q_{8}+Q_{12}=q_{0}\left(h_{3-8}+h_{8-12}\right)=Q_{\text {tad }}^{\text {ert }}
$$

NOTE $Q_{3}=Q_{2}{ }^{\prime}+Q_{1}^{12}+Q_{1}^{13}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.Q_{3}=\oint_{q_{1}} \psi_{2}^{2 D}(x(s) y(s)) q_{m}(s) d s+\oint_{r_{1}} \psi_{1}^{20}(x()) y(s)\right) q_{m}(s) d s+\oint_{r_{3}} \varphi_{1}^{20}(x d, y(t))_{m}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

with $h_{23}^{\prime \prime}=h_{23}^{12}=h_{3-8}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{3}=\int_{0}^{h_{3-8}} \psi_{1}^{(1 s}(s), q_{m}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{h_{38}} \psi_{v}^{10}(s) q_{m}(s) d s \\
& Q_{3}=\int^{h_{3-8}} q_{m}(s)\left(\psi_{1}^{1}+\psi_{s}^{1 b}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$Q_{3} ; Q_{8} ; Q_{12}$ : in Pluence of the raven in inibrabion rate $\left.Q_{3}^{\text {mbowl }}=Q_{3}^{e r t a n v e}=\int_{0}^{h_{3.8}} \psi_{1}^{10} / s\right) q_{0} d s$


$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{3}=\int_{0}^{h_{3 .-8}}\left(1-\frac{s}{h_{3-8}}\right) q_{0} d s \tag{in}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
Q_{3}=\left[-\frac{h_{3-8}}{2}\left(1-\frac{s}{h_{38}}\right)^{2} q_{0}\right]_{0}^{h_{3-8}}=\frac{q_{0} h_{3-8}}{2}
$$

In the same way: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}Q_{8}=\frac{q_{0} h_{3-8}}{2}+\frac{q_{0} h_{8-12}}{2} \\ Q_{12}=q_{0} \frac{h_{8-12}}{2}\end{array}\right.$

$$
\Rightarrow Q_{3}+Q_{8}+Q_{12}=q_{0}\left(h_{3-8}+h_{8-12}\right)=Q_{t-100}^{\text {ett }}
$$

NOTE

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{3}=Q_{2}^{11}+Q_{1}^{2}+Q_{1}^{13}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.Q_{3}=\oint_{A_{1}} \psi_{2}^{2 D}(x(s) y(s)) q_{m}(s) d s+\oint_{r_{12}} \psi_{10}^{2 D}(x(s) y(g)) q_{m}(s) d s+\oint_{p_{B}} \varphi_{1}^{20}(x) / y(t)\right)_{m}(s) d s \\
& Q_{3}=\underbrace{\int_{0}^{\prime \prime 2}-3} \psi_{1}^{\prime 1}(s) q_{m}() / d s+\int_{0}^{\int_{0}^{h_{2} / 2}} \varphi_{2}^{\prime 1}(s) q_{m}(1) d s+\underbrace{0} \\
& \text { et .11 } \\
& \text { ett } 12 \\
& \text { et } 13 \text { ( } 10 \mathrm{olad} \text { ) } \\
& \text { with } h_{23}^{\prime \prime}=h_{23}^{12}=h_{3-8} \\
& Q_{3}=\int_{0}^{h_{3-8}} \psi_{1}^{(1)}(s) q_{m}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{h_{38}} \psi_{2}^{10}(s) q_{m}(s) d s \\
& Q_{3}=\int_{0}^{h_{3-8}} q_{m}(s) \underbrace{\left(\psi_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\psi_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)}_{=1})_{\text {(pantitis }}^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

- equilibrium of the S.V. (internal nerds)

$$
Q_{7}=Q_{q}=0 \text { (no Flow applied) }
$$

$Q_{3} ; Q_{8}, Q_{12}$ : in Pluence of the riven infiltration rate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.Q_{3}^{\text {intend }}=Q_{3}^{\text {er } t^{t} \text { ad }}=\int_{0}^{h_{3.8}} \Psi_{1}^{10} / s\right) q_{0} d s \\
& Q_{3}=\int_{0}^{h_{3-8}}\left(1-\frac{s}{h_{3-8}}\right) q_{0} d s
\end{aligned}
$$



- "Flow looting" due to the pumps
"concentrated loads" located in elements $S\left(P_{2}\right)$ and $10\left(p_{1}\right)$
Element $S \rightarrow$ nodes $8,9,13$
Element $10 \rightarrow$ nodes $2,8,7$

$$
F_{2}=\int_{\Omega_{10}} \psi_{1}^{(10)}\left(x_{1} y\right) Q_{1} \delta\left(x-x_{p_{1}}, y-y_{p_{1}}\right) d x d y
$$

same approach for $F_{7}, F_{9}, F_{13}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{8} & =\int_{\Omega_{s}} Q_{1}^{(s)}(x, y) Q_{2} \delta\left(x-x p_{2}, y-y_{p_{2}}\right) d x d y \\
& +\int_{\Omega_{10}} \psi_{2}^{(0)}(x, y) Q_{1} \delta\left(x-x_{p_{1}}, y-y_{p_{1}}\right) d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 5: Resolution / Condensation.
6 essential bic.
total number of dofF.: $(14$ nodes $) \times(1$ off (node $)=14$
$\Rightarrow$ after condensation, we should obtain a system of 8 equations, $r_{0}$ solve fore $\phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \phi_{4}, \phi_{7}, \phi_{8}$, $\phi_{9}, \phi_{12}, \phi_{13}$.

Ex. 8.5.5p 479
Confined Flow Around a Circular Cylinder:

5.4 To account for a percolation porosity, the following modified Kozeny-Carman relation was established:

$$
K=B \frac{\left(\phi-\phi_{c}\right)^{3}}{\left(1+\phi_{c}-\phi\right)^{2}} d^{2}
$$

1. Calculate the permeability of a sandstone sample which has porosity 0.32 and an average grain size of $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Assume $B=15$ and $\phi_{c}=0.035$.
2. Compare the permeabilities $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$ of two sandstones that have the same porosity and pore microstructure, but different average grain sizes, $d_{1}=80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $d_{2}=$ $240 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Solution:

1. The units of $B$ in this equation are such that expressing $d$ in microns gives permeability in milliDarcy. Then:

$$
K=B \frac{\left(\phi-\phi_{c}\right)^{3}}{\left(1+\phi_{c}-\phi\right)^{2}} d^{2}=15 \frac{(0.32-0.035)^{3}}{(1+0.035-0.32)^{2}} \times 100^{2}=6.79 \text { Darcy }
$$

2. Assuming that $B$ and $\phi_{c}$ are the same for both sandstones since they have the same pore microstructure, we can express the ratio of their permeabilities as:

$$
\frac{\kappa_{1}}{\kappa_{2}}=\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{d_{2}^{2}}=\frac{80^{2}}{240^{2}}=\frac{1}{9}
$$

The sandstone with larger average grain size has a higher permeability (by a factor of 9 ), even though both have the same total porosity.
5.5 We recall that the 1D laminar flow in a pipe of circular cross-section of radius $r$ is:

$$
q=-\frac{\pi r^{4}}{8 \eta} \frac{\Delta p}{L}
$$

In a tubular pore of circular cross-section, with radius $r$, Darcy's law is expressed as:

$$
q=-K \frac{A}{\eta} \frac{\Delta p}{L}=K \frac{\pi r^{2}}{\eta} \frac{\Delta p}{L}
$$

Consider a unit rock volume that contains $N$ tubular pores of circular cross-section, following an isotropic distribution, with a radius size distribution $p(r)$. Show that the intrinsic permeability $K$ has the following expression:

$$
\kappa=\frac{\Phi}{8} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{\infty} f(r) d r} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{2} f(r) d r
$$

In which $\Phi$ is the porosity of the rock sample, and in which the radius volume frequency $f(r)$ is defined as $f(r)=N L \pi r^{2} p(r)$.

Solution: For a porous medium that contains $N$ pores of different radii, with a radius size distribution $p(r)$, the equation of laminar flow is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=-\frac{N \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{4} p(r) d r}{8 \eta} \frac{\Delta p}{L} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

And Darcy law is expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=-\kappa \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{N \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{2} p(r) d r}{\Phi} \frac{\Delta p}{L} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying Equations 5.4 and 5.3 by $L$, and then combining them, provides:

$$
\frac{\Phi}{8} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{2} f(r) d r=\kappa \int_{0}^{\infty} f(r) d r
$$

which gives the required expression of permeability:

$$
\kappa=\frac{\Phi}{8} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{\infty} f(r) d r} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{2} f(r) d r
$$

5.6 Show that for the pipe model illustrated in Figure 5.4, the intrinsic permeability above the percolation threshold has the following expression:

$$
K=\frac{\pi}{32} \frac{\bar{\lambda} \bar{r}^{4}}{\bar{l}^{3}}
$$

in which $\bar{\lambda}$ is the average capillary length and $\bar{r}$ is the average capillary radius. Assume that the distribution of capillaries centers along the $z$-axis is homogeneous and isotropic, and that the probability density functions of $r, \lambda, \theta$ and $\phi$ are isotropic and statistically independent.


Figure 5.4 Pipe model: Unit section $S$ intercepts pipes of various orientations $(\theta, \phi)$, radius $r$ and length $\lambda . \bar{l}$ is the average spacing between two pipes. Image taken from (Gueguen and Dienes, 1989)

Solution: See the paper by Gueguen and Dienes (1989) provided in the following pages.

# Transport Properties of Rocks from Statistics and Percolation ${ }^{1}$ 

Y. Gueguen ${ }^{2}$ and J. Dienes ${ }^{3}$


#### Abstract

Two simplified microstructural models that account for permeability and conductivity of low-porosity rocks are compared. Both models result from statistics and percolation theory. The first model assumes that transport results from the connection of ID objects or 'pipes'"; the second model assumes that transport results from the connection of 2D objects or "cracks." In both cases, statistical methods permit calculation of permeability $k$ and conductivity $\sigma$, which are dependent on three independent microvariables: average pipe (crack) length, average pipe radius (crack aperture), and average pipe (crack) spacing. The degree of connection is one aspect of percolation theory. Results show that use of the mathematical concept of percolation and use of the rock physics concept of tortuosity are equivalent. Percolation is used to discuss $k$ and $\sigma$ near the threshold where these parameters vanish. Relations between bulk parameters (permeability, conductivity, porosity) are calculated and discussed in terms of microvariables.


KEY WORDS: permeability, electrical conductivity, porosity, microstructure.

## INTRODUCTION

Although 'it is obvious that no simple correlation between porosity and permeability can exist" (Scheidegger, 1974), the search for such correlations is pervasive in rock physics. The reason is probably that tentative correlations are attractive to develop, and they are frequently successful. Success is guaranteed by the fact that undetermined constants are always introduced and adjusted from experimental data. As suggested by Scheidegger, if a correlation should exist, it is between structure and permeability. Structure is a term that needs to be defined accurately: it means here "the microstructure of the porosity." Structure cannot be defined quantitatively by a single parameter. It has to be described by a set of statistical distributions of microstructural parameters, each of them being specific of pore geometry.

[^0]Transport properties, i.e., permeability and conductivity, are calculated using statistical methods and percolation theory (Broadbent and Hammersley, 1957). The results are given for a rock in which the microstructure of the porosity is described by a random distribution of pipes. A similar calculation was reported previously for cracks (Dienes, 1982) and has proved to be useful in some situations (Gueguen et al., 1986). The results show that concepts of tortuosity and percolation are equivalent. Both the pipe and the crack models are used to compute relationships between bulk parameters (permeability, porosity, conductivity) in terms of microvariables.

## PERMEABILITY FROM STATISTICS AND PERCOLATION

Many theoretical models are available to describe fluid flow in rocks (Scheidegger, 1974; Brace, 1977; Dullien, 1979; Seeburger and Nur, 1984; Koplik et al., 1984; Wilke et al., 1985). Here, permeability $k$ for two models, a pipe and a crack model, is calculated.

## Statistics

The pipe model presented here is the following. A set of pipes of variable radii $r$ and lengths $\lambda$ is isotropically distributed (Fig. 1). The model is similar to that of Haring and Greenkorn (1970), but it could be modified to take into account anisotropy. The statistical calculation of permeability (Appendix A) is

$$
k=\frac{\pi}{32} n_{0} \bar{\lambda} \overline{r^{4}}
$$

where $\overline{r^{4}}$ is the fourth-order moment of the radius distribution and $n_{0}$ the number of pipes per unit volume.

A simplified version of the pipe model is sufficient for our purpose. An approximate expression for $\left(\overline{r^{4}}\right)$ is used. The radius distribution is assumed to be narrow so that $\left(\bar{r}^{4}\right)$ is close to $(\bar{r})^{4}$. The average spacing between pipes $\bar{\ell}$ is


Fig. 1. Pipe model. Unit section $S$ intercepts pipes of various orientation $\vec{t}(\theta, \phi)$, radius $r$, and length $\lambda ; \vec{n}$ is normal to $S$ and $\bar{\ell}$ is average spacing between pipes.
introduced so that $n_{0} \simeq 1 / \bar{\ell}^{3}$. With this approximation,

$$
k=\frac{\pi}{32} \frac{\bar{\lambda} \bar{r}^{4}}{\bar{\ell}^{3}}
$$

Only those pipes that are connected have to be retained in the statistical calculation of volume flow. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{\pi}{32} f \frac{\bar{\lambda} \bar{r}^{4}}{\bar{\ell}^{3}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is the fraction of connected pipes: $0 \leq f \leq 1$. The meaning of "connected pipes'" is that of percolation theory: it refers to pipes that are part of an "infinite path." Calculation of $f$ is possible with a percolation model.

A second microstructural model corresponds to a distribution of 2D objects (cracks). Although this situation is certainly relevant to crystalline rocks, crack models are uncommon. Dienes (1982) has shown that an isotropic distribution of cracks with radius $c$, number density $n_{0}$, and aspect ratio $A=w / c$ results in a permeability,

$$
k=\frac{4 \pi}{15} A^{3} n_{0} \bar{c}^{5} \theta f
$$

where $n_{0} \overline{c^{5}}$ is the fifth moment of the crack number density. Factor $\theta$ accounts for the hydrodynamics of flow through a system of cracks with varying thickness, but seems to differ little from unity in the cases examined. The factor $f$ accounts for the fraction of cracks that belong to an infinite network and is to be determined from percolation theory. Three independent microstructural parameters are introduced: $\bar{c}$ (average crack radius), $\bar{w}$ (average half-crack aperture), and $\bar{\ell}$ (average crack spacing) (Fig. 2). By restricting to the isotropic case and using approximations similar to the previous ones as far as statistical dis-

Fig. 2. Crack model: isotropic distribution of cracks. Each crack is characterized by its radius $c$ and aperture $2 w: \vec{n}$ is normal to a crack and $\bar{\ell}$ is average crack spacing.

tributions are concerned

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{4 \pi}{15} f \frac{\bar{w}^{3} \bar{c}^{2}}{\bar{\ell}^{3}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Percolation

Pipe or crack centers are assumed to be distributed on a random network. Let $p$ be the probability that two pipes or two cracks intersect. From percolation theory (Stauffer, 1985), $f$ is known to be a function of $p$. Below a threshold probability $p_{c}, f=0$. Above $p_{c}$, $f$ increases rapidly until $f=1$. In the transition region where $p \# p_{c}, f \propto\left(p-p_{c}\right)^{n}$, where $n \simeq 2$ (De Gennes and Guyon, 1978; Englman et al. 1983). Unfortunately, $f$ can be calculated exactly from $p$ only if simple lattices are assumed. A classical approximation is that of the Bethe lattice where each pipe or crack has $z$ neighbors. Then, $p_{c}=1 /(z-1)$ (Stauffer, 1985). Assuming $z=4$ (as in Dienes, 1982), $p_{c}=1 / 3$. Near this threshold

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \simeq 54\left(p-p_{c}\right)^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is obtained from Eq. (27) (Dienes, 1982) where $p$ is close to $1 / 3$ (Fig. 3).

The above result has yet to be completed, however, in so far as $p$ is a function of three microvariables $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{r}, \bar{\ell}$ or $\bar{w}, \bar{c}, \bar{\ell}$. Several ways are known to calculate $p$.

One method (David, 1985) relies on calculation of the number of intersections of a given population of objects (pipes or cracks) with a random line. [This calculation can be found in Dienes (1978)]. Another way is to use the concept of excluded volume (De Gennes, 1976). The excluded volume $V_{e}$ is the average volume around one object (pipe or crack) within which a second object must have its center in order for the two objects to intersect.

In the case of two cylindrical pipes, $V_{e} \simeq 2 \bar{\lambda}^{2} \bar{r}$. Given that $1 / \bar{\ell}^{3}$ is the pipe number density, the average number of intersections per pipe is $V_{e} / \bar{\ell}^{3}$.


Fig. 3. Variation of the permeability $k$, as a function of the probability of intersection, $p$. Percolation threshold is noted $p_{c} ; k_{\text {max }}$ is obtained when $p=f=1$.

Assuming as above $z=4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \approx \frac{\bar{\lambda}^{2} \bar{r}}{2 \bar{\ell}^{3}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with Eqs. (1) and (3), Eq. (4) gives the solution for $k$ in terms of microvariables $r, \lambda, \ell$. Relation (4) gives moreover the additional condition for having a nonzero permeability,

$$
\frac{\bar{\lambda}^{2} \bar{r}}{2 \bar{\ell}^{3}} \geq \frac{1}{3}
$$

As could be expected, the probability that any pipe intersects another pipe is small if the pipes are short (small $\bar{\lambda}$ ) or if they are spaced far apart (large $\bar{\ell}$ ).

Probability $p$ for two cracks to intersect has been determined previously from different methods (David, 1985; Charlaix et al., 1984) (Appendix B). These last authors used the calculation of De Gennes (1976) that gives the excluded volume for two discs: $V_{e}=\pi^{2} \bar{c}^{3}$. Given that $1 / \bar{\ell}^{3}$ is the crack density, the probability $p$ is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \simeq \frac{\pi^{2}}{4} \frac{\bar{c}^{3}}{\overline{\bar{\ell}}^{3}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming $z=4$.
As previously, Eqs. (2), (3), and (5) allow $k$ to be expressed in terms of three microvariables $w, c, \ell$.

The value of $k_{\text {max }}$ is given by Eq. (2) with $f=1$. Nonzero permeability is observed for $p>\frac{1}{3}$, i.e., $\bar{c} / \bar{\ell}>0.5$ (Gueguen et al., 1986).

## Tortuosity

Porosity is derived easily for each model as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\pi \frac{\bar{r}^{2} \bar{\lambda}}{\overline{\ell^{3}}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=2 \pi \frac{\bar{c}^{2} \bar{w}}{\bar{\ell}^{3}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (1) and (6), a possible relation between $k$ and $\phi$ is

$$
k=\frac{f}{32} \bar{r}^{2} \phi \quad \text { for the pipe model }
$$

This equation is similar to Eq. (11) of Walsh and Brace (1984), also derived for a pipe model, which is

$$
k=\frac{1}{b} \frac{1}{\tau^{2}} m^{2} \phi
$$

where $\tau$ is "tortuosity," $m$ is hydraulic radius, and $b$ is a constant.
Comparison of both equations leads to

$$
\frac{1}{32} f=\frac{1}{b} \frac{1}{\tau^{2}}
$$

This means that the tortuosity concept that is used frequently in rock physics and the percolation concept are related. This result is not really surprising and agrees with those from many other authors concerned with percolation and random media (e.g., Redner, 1983). If $f \rightarrow 0, \tau \rightarrow \infty$; thus, connections no longer exist throughout the medium and $k \rightarrow 0$. A large tortuosity corresponds to a situation where pore connection is poor. In terms of percolation theory, "infinite paths' through the medium exist, but only a few. The opposite situation, a small tortuosity, corresponds to the existence of many "infinite paths." The value of $\tau$ in the case of ideal connection and isotropy is $\tau=2$. In terms of percolation, ideal connection means $f=1$; thus, $b=8$.

## RELATIONS BETWEEN BULK PARAMETERS IN TERMS OF MICROVARIABLES

The above equations concerned with permeability $k$ and porosity $\phi$ may be completed with similar results on electrical conductivity $\sigma$. The bulk parameters $(k, \sigma, \phi)$ can be expressed in terms of microvariables $(\bar{r}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\ell})$ or $(\bar{c}, \bar{w}, \bar{\ell})$ previously used.

## Bulk parameters

Formation factor $F$ is used frequently in rock physics. By definition, $F=$ $\sigma_{f} / \sigma$ where $\sigma_{f}$ is the fluid conductivity and $\sigma$ is the rock conductivity. Calculation of $\sigma$ goes along the same lines as calculation of $k$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=4 f^{-1} \phi^{-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Results for the three bulk parameters $k, F, \phi$ (Table 1) are expressed as functions of three microvariables so that theoretically the relations (Table 1) may be inverted to get $\bar{r}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\ell}$ or $\bar{w}, \bar{c}, \bar{\ell}$. Table 1 should be completed by Eqs. (3), (4), and (5) when the rock is close to the percolation threshold $p_{c}$. Below $p_{c}, f$ $=0$; well above $p_{c}, f=1$. Depending on how the equations are combined, several permeability-porosity relations can be derived also.

One of them has been discussed above for the pipe model ( $k=f / 32 \bar{r}^{2}$ $\phi$ ). However, this is not the only possible $k-\phi$ relation because three variables

Table 1. Permeability, Conductivity, and Porosity for Two Models

| Model | $k$ | $F=\sigma_{f} / \sigma$ | $\phi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe model | $\frac{\pi}{32} f \frac{\bar{\lambda} \bar{r}^{4}}{\bar{\ell}^{3}}$ | $4 f^{-1} \phi^{-1}$ | $\pi \frac{\bar{r}^{2} \bar{\lambda}}{\bar{\ell}^{3}}$ |
| Crack model | $\frac{4 \pi}{15} f \frac{\bar{w}^{3} \bar{c}^{2}}{\bar{\ell}^{3}}$ | $4 f^{-1} \phi^{-1}$ | $2 \pi \frac{\bar{c}^{2} \bar{w}}{\bar{\ell}^{3}}$ |

are involved in both $k$ and $\phi$. Using this relation together with Eq. (8),

$$
k F=\frac{\bar{r}^{2}}{8} \quad \text { or } \quad \bar{r}=2(2 k F)^{1 / 2}
$$

Therefore, $\bar{r}$ is obtained simply from $k F$. Other microvariables can be obtained in a similar way by appropriate combinations of $k, F$, and $\phi$. Because $F$ is proportional to $\phi$, however, only $\bar{r}$ and $\bar{\lambda} / \bar{\ell}^{3}$ can be obtained separately for the pipe model and expressed as functions of $k$ and $F$ when $f=1$. This is not the case close to the percolation threshold where Eqs. (3) and (4) can be used and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\ell}=(8 \pi k F \bar{\lambda} / \phi)^{1 / 3} \\
& \quad \text { with } \bar{\lambda}=2 \pi \phi^{-1}(2 k F)^{1 / 2}\left\{1 / 3+\left[2 /(27 F \phi)^{1 / 2}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that the three microvariables are obtained in that situation.
In the case of the crack model, a possible relation between $k$ and $\phi$ is, from Eqs. (2) and (8),

$$
k=\frac{2}{15} f \bar{w}^{2} \phi
$$

thus

$$
k F=\frac{8}{15} \bar{w}^{2} \quad \text { or } \quad \bar{w}=\frac{1}{4}(30 k F)^{1 / 2}
$$

Then, $\bar{w}$ and $\bar{c}^{2} / \bar{\ell}^{3}$ may be obtained separately as functions of $k$ and $F$ when $f$ $=1$. Again, close to the percolation threshold, Eqs. (3) and (5) can be used and $\bar{c}$ and $\bar{\ell}$ can be derived:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{c}=2(\pi \phi)^{-1}(30 k F)^{1 / 2}\left\{1 / 3+\left[2 /(27 F \phi)^{1 / 2}\right]\right\} \\
& \bar{\ell}=\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{4}\right)^{1 / 3} \bar{c}\left\{1 / 3+\left[2 /(27 F \phi)^{1 / 2}\right]\right\}^{-1 / 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Testing of the Models

The above models should be tested in both general and particular situations. The average microvariables ( $\bar{\lambda}$ or $\bar{c}, \bar{r}$ or $\bar{w}, \bar{\ell}$ ) can be measured from photomicrographs or stained thin sections. Bulk properties $F, k$, and $\phi$ can be measured also. Therefore, to what extent the models are faithfully reproducing observations should be possible to determine. An important distinction should be made between situations where the rock is close to the percolation threshold and situations where it is well above it. Only in the first case does Eq. (3) apply. In the second case, a complete inversion is not possible unless additional assumptions are used. Preliminary testing has been done in a few cases, either on little-porosity Fontainebleau sandstones (Gueguen et al., 1986) or in large-porosity (North Sea) chalks (Jakubowski, 1986). In both cases, the crack model predicted permeabilities are in good agreement with those observed.

## Particular Situations

Given that the three bulk parameters are calculated from three independent microvariables, complete inversion to get the microvariables from the three bulk parameters is possible as long as these variables enter $k, \phi, \sigma$ in different combinations. This is not the case, as seen, if $f=1$. If additional conditions on the microvariables exist, however, complete inversion may be possible even for the situation $f=1$. In that case, particular specific relations also can be derived between $k, F$, and $\phi$.

An example of such a particular situation is that corresponding to a variable pipe or crack density ( $\bar{\ell}$ variable), the two other microvariables being held constant. Examining how $F$ varies in such a case near the percolation threshold by using Eqs. (3)-(8) and choosing as the unique variable $\phi$ instead of $\bar{\ell}$,

$$
F \propto\left(\phi-\phi_{c}\right)^{-2} \phi^{-1}
$$

where

$$
\phi_{c}=\frac{2 \pi}{3} \frac{\bar{r}}{\lambda} \quad \text { (pipe model) }
$$

or

$$
\phi_{c}=\frac{4}{3 \pi} \frac{\bar{w}}{\bar{c}} \quad \text { (crack model) }
$$

This result is close to Archie's law $F=\phi^{-m}$.
Another example of a particular situation can be found if the pressure variation of $k$ and $\sigma$ is considered. Assume that pipe radius and crack aperture are the two variables that are the most sensitive to pressure. Therefore, if only $r$ (pipe model) or $w$ (crack model) vary, with $f$ constant ( $f=1$ ),

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
k \propto r^{4}, & \phi \propto r^{2}, & F \propto \phi^{-1}, & k \propto F^{-2} & \text { (pipe model) } \\
k \propto w^{3}, & \phi \propto w, & F \propto \phi^{-1}, & k \propto F^{-3} & (\text { crack model })
\end{array}
$$

Walsh and Brace (1984) have argued previously that, in such a case, $k \propto F^{-n}$ and that $n$ must fall in the range $1 \leq n \leq 3$. Their data are presented here ( $n$ $=-\log k / \log F)$ :

|  | $n$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Westerly granite | 1.97 |
| Westerly granite $\left(300^{\circ}\right)$ | 2.0 |
| Westerly granite $\left(500^{\circ}\right)$ | 2.2 |
| Chelmsford Granite | 2.13 |
| Henderson augen gneiss | 2.15 |
| Marysville granite | 2.7 |
| Raft River siltstone | 2.4 |
| Frederic diabase $\left(700^{\circ}\right)$ | 2.1 |
| Pottsville Sandstone | 2.6 |
| Pigean Cove Granite | 2.1 |

When several measurements are available for a rock, an average $n$ is calculated so that this list is slightly different from Table 1 in Walsh and Brace's paper. Experimental data show that $2 \leq n \leq 3$, which is in agreement with the two limits set by the pipe model $(n=2)$ and the crack model $(n=3)$. The possibility $n=1$ is excluded by our models, but not by Walsh and Brace. They noted, however, that, if $n \simeq 1$, this would imply a good sensitivity in tortuositypore aperture ( $w$ or $r$ ) dependence. This means, in terms of percolation theory, that the rock is close to the percolation threshold. In this case, $k$ changes rapidly near the percolation threshold because $f$ varies $(f \neq 1)$.

## CONCLUSIONS

The main conclusions can be summarized as:
(1) Simple models, using 1D objects (pipes) or 2D objects (cracks), can be useful to discuss correlations (pore microstructure)-(transport properties). Pore microstructure is described by statistical distributions of three microvariables that have been assumed to be isotropic. The anisotropic case could be investigated in a similar way.
(2) Use of percolation theory and tortuosity are, in a certain sense, equivalent as found previously by others. In both cases, unfortunately, parameters ( $f$ or $\tau$ ) that cannot easily be measured from photomicrographs must be used. Potentially, an advantage lies in using percolation theory, because a large body of information exists describing the statistics of isolated objects as discussed
(for example, by Stauffer, 1985). Though percolation oversimplifies the physical situation assuming either free flow or no flow in a narrow passage, it is far from being completely understood and is, in fact, the subject of active research. The subject of anisotropic percolation, for example, has received virtually no attention.
(3) Pipe and crack models have been used to derive permeability-conduc-tivity-porosity relationships. Depending on the particular situations that are considered, various possible relations are predicted between bulk parameters.
(4) Models should be tested by measuring microvariables from micrographs and measuring bulk properties in the laboratory. Preliminary testing has been done in a few cases successfully.

## Note Added in Proof

Dr. Stauffer has drawn our attention to an important recent publication that was not known to us (Fragmentation, Form and Flow in Fractured Media, 1986). This book contains several papers that are concerned with flow in fractured media. In particular, the paper by Charlaix et al. (1986) contains some results that are presented and discussed also in the present paper (critical behavior of $k$, probability $p$ in terms of disc radius). An important difference, however, is that Charlaix et al. did not use a statistical approach. They examined a "crack model" corresponding to a broad distribution of crack apertures. Our approach is more appropriate for a distribution that is not broad. In fact, Charlaix et al. (1986) have applied the Ambegoakar et al. (1971) percolation model to the calculation of "crack model" permeability. A similar approach has been published simultaneously and independently in the case of a "pipe model" (Katz and Thompson, 1987). Katz and Thompson have considered a population of pipes with a broad distribution of pipe radii. They also applied the Ambegaokar percolation model to the calculation of "pipe model" permeability. The main differences between those models and ours are linked with the types of statistical distribution that have to be considered. Here statistics and percolation are combined whereas the quoted authors used only percolation and do not express the bulk parameters in terms of microvariables.

## APPENDIX A: STATISTICAL CALCULATION OF $\boldsymbol{k}$ FOR PIPE MODEL

The volume flow through a capillaric pipe of radius $r$ is given by the Poiseuille law:

$$
\vec{Q}=\frac{-\pi r^{4}}{8 \eta}(\nabla p \cdot \vec{t}) \vec{t}
$$

where $\vec{t}$ is a unit vector along the pipe axis ( $\nabla p \cdot \vec{t}$ is the pressure gradient along the pipes), and $\eta$ is fluid viscosity. The flow through a section ( $S$ ) of porous medium defined by a plane $z=$ constant (Fig. 1) is considered. The area of the section is unity and this unit section intercepts a number of pipes that are indexed by $1,2, \ldots \alpha, \ldots N$. The normal to the section is $\vec{n}$. Total volume flow through it is $\Sigma_{\alpha=1}^{N} \vec{Q}^{\alpha} \cdot \vec{n}$, where $\vec{Q}^{\alpha}$ is the flow through pipe $\alpha$. By comparing this result to Darcy's law,

$$
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{-\pi\left(r^{\alpha}\right)^{4}}{8 \eta}\left[p_{, i} t_{i}^{\alpha}\right]\left[t_{j}^{\alpha} n_{j}\right]=\frac{-k_{i j}}{\eta} p_{, i} n_{j}
$$

Thus, the permeability is

$$
k_{i j}=\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(r^{\alpha}\right)^{4} t_{i}^{\alpha} t_{j}^{\alpha}
$$

An isotropic distribution of pipes is assumed and substitutes an integral expression for the discrete summation.

Let $n(r, \lambda, \theta, \varphi, z)$ be the number of pipes per unit volume having a radius $r$, a length $\lambda$, an orientation defined by $\theta$ and $\varphi$, and a center at $z$ (Fig. 1). Then, the number of pipes $N$ having a radius $r$ and an orientation $(\theta, \varphi)$ that intercepts the unit area is

$$
N(r, \theta, \varphi)=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} d \lambda \int_{0}^{\lambda / 2 \cos \theta} n(r, \lambda, \theta, \varphi, z) d z
$$

because a pipe with fixed values of $\theta$ and $\lambda$ intercepts ( $S$ ) only if its center is at $z \leq \lambda / 2 \cos \theta$ (Fig. 1). Assuming isotropy and statistical independence,

$$
N(r, \theta, \varphi)=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} d \lambda \int_{0}^{\lambda / 2 \cos \theta} f(r) g(\lambda) \frac{n_{0}}{2 \pi} d z=\bar{\lambda} f(r) \cos \theta \frac{n_{0}}{2 \pi}
$$

The distribution of centers of pipes along $z$ is assumed to be homogeneous and isotropic:

$$
\bar{\lambda}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda g(\lambda) d \lambda
$$

and

$$
n(r, \lambda, \theta, \varphi, z)=f(r) g(\lambda) n_{0} \frac{1}{2 \pi}
$$

where $n_{0}$ is the number of pipes per unit volume, $f(r)$ is the fraction of them having radius $r$, and $g(\lambda)$ is the fraction having length $\lambda$. The factor $1 / 2 \pi$ normalizes the angular distribution.

With these distributions, the expression for $k$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
k & =\frac{\pi}{8} \frac{n_{0}}{2 \pi} \bar{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{4} f(r) d r \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(\cos ^{3} \theta\right) 2 \pi \sin \theta d \theta \\
& =\frac{\pi}{32} n_{0} \bar{\lambda} \overline{r^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(\overline{r^{4}}\right)$ is the fourth-order moment of the radius distribution.

## APPENDIX B

Dienes (1978) has shown that the mean free path for a random line in a field of thin, circular cracks is $\lambda=2 / \pi \bar{c}^{2} \bar{\ell}^{3}$. Assuming that intersections are described by a Poisson process, the probability that a crack is isolated is $q^{4}=$ $e^{-\nu}$ where $\nu=L / \lambda$ and $L$ is the circumference of the average crack, i.e., $L=$ $2 \pi \bar{c}$, and $p=(1-q)$.

Then, $(1-p)^{4}=e^{-\nu}$ where $\nu=\pi^{2} \bar{c}^{3} / \bar{\ell}^{3}$. This frequency $\nu$ is exactly identical to that obtained from the excluded volume argument. The difference between the above calculated value of $p$ and Eq. (5) stems from the fact that an approximation has been used to derive $p$ from $\nu$ in Eqs. (4) and (5). Using the assumption of the Bethe lattice with 4 neighbors leads to $q^{4}=e^{-\nu}$, i.e., to the above equation. When $\nu$ is small, however, $e^{-\nu / 4} \simeq 1-\nu / 4$ and $p \simeq \nu / 4$.
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5.7 Consider a Bethe Lattice with $z=4$.

1. What is the percolation threshold $p_{c}$ for this network?
2. What is the average number of sites $T$ to which the origin is connected through a single branch A? ( $T$ is the average size of the cluster for each branch).
3. Determine the form of the relationship that links $S$ to $p$ and $p_{c}$.
4. Determine $P$, the fraction of sites that are part of the infinite cluster. Introduce $Q$, the probability that a path starting from the origin is interrupted somewhere.

Solution: See the following five pages of notes.


No relowing ffow parabl.

- puote. Io flau fom $0<x A=p$


E: pruthon that the faw does whithe ep: rere geverally: $(z-1) p$.
At the rext site (Aron $A_{2}$ on $A_{3}$ ), agani) the puoba Hat the RAudoes ust shop is $(z-1)_{p}$.
$\rightarrow$ tor. pask. Hat Pbew does at shap: $\left[(z-1)_{p}\right]^{2}$
Fon in brancing livels, the pubsa fuat the flow dores not slop is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{M} \equiv\left[(z-1)_{p}\right]^{n+1} \\
p_{n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow+\infty]{ } \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow(z-1)_{p}>1 \Rightarrow p_{c}=\frac{1}{z-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fen $z=4: \quad p>\frac{1}{3}$.
(2)

$O$ is sumusuinted by 4 sites $C_{n}, A_{C}$ A $3, A_{4}$ ) Hat may be oceuypeda not oceypied.
In avenage, $t 0$ site convectel to 0 with puelalility (1-p)

- ifoccayper: In avereage, +1 site comeated to 0 with publelility $P$.

Each ocapued site is itseff sumsainded by 4 sites:
al is 0 , whick cammit contibuste bo the frow out of the arwartite (me retuming ffaw)

- 3 with ar in avenerge comrected to $T$ sites (by df of $T$ ), with pustability $p$ of baseng occapuid.
$\Rightarrow$ Eack ocaypied site comected bo 0, is in average comected to $3 T \mathrm{~T}$ sites other than 0 .
$\Rightarrow$ Each ocayued site buingo $(1+3 T)_{p}$ camections to 0 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow T=0((1 p)+(1+3 T) p=(1+3 T) p \\
& \Rightarrow T=\frac{p}{1-3 p}
\end{aligned}
$$



 $=$ proter thot $0-A$ is inhermupted $:(1-p)$ (pabue A-noroceyped) + pubber Hat $\left(A-A_{i}\right)_{i=1,23}$ is intracylted $P \times Q^{3}$


$$
\begin{gathered}
\Rightarrow Q=1-p+p Q^{3} \\
Q=1 \text { on } Q=-\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{3}{4}} \text { on } Q_{3} \text { not physinal } \\
\Rightarrow p_{p=0}(\beta<p) \quad \downarrow \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P=6 Q^{2}(1-Q)^{2}+4 Q(1-Q)^{3}+(1-Q)^{4} \\
Q=-\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{3}{4}} \\
\Rightarrow P \simeq\left(p-p_{2}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

5.8 Consider a geomaterial in which the pores are penny-shaped, with the geometric parameters explained in Figure 5.5.


Figure 5.5 Flow in a network of penny-shaped pores. Image taken from (Gueguen and Dienes, 1989).

1. Calculate the value of $l$ (average distance between fractures) at the percolation threshold. Assume that the fractures are disk shaped and of radius $c=200 \mu m$. Assume that each fracture is a site that is part of a Bethe lattice.
2. Calculate the maximum value of permeability for a population of identical fractures with radius $c=200 \mu m$ and aperture $w=1 \mu m$. Given: The permeability of a network of penny-shaped fractures is:

$$
k=\frac{4 \pi}{15} f \frac{\bar{w}^{3} \bar{c}^{2}}{\bar{l}^{3}}
$$

In which $f$ is the portion of fractures that form an infinite cluster, and $\bar{x}^{n}$ is the n -th moment of probability of the variable $x$.

Solution: See the solution of Problem 5.6 (paper by Gueguen and Dienes, 1989).
5.9 Prove the axis-symmetric consolidation equation shown in the course.

Solution: In the demonstration of the 1D consolidation equation, we show that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial t}=c_{v} \nabla^{2} p_{w} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with:

$$
\nabla^{2} p_{w}=\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nabla}\left(p_{w}\right)\right): \delta
$$

in which $\delta$ is the second-order identitiy tensor. In axis-symmetric conditions, using cylindrical coordinates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla\left(p_{w}\right)=\frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial r} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{r}} \\
\boldsymbol{\nabla} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nabla}\left(p_{w}\right)= & \nabla\left(\frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial r} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{r}}\right) \\
= & \boldsymbol{\nabla}\left(\frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial r}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{r}}+\frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial r} \boldsymbol{\nabla}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{r}}\right) \\
= & \frac{\partial^{2} p_{w}}{\partial r^{2}} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{r}} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{r}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{r}}}{\partial \theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \\
= & \frac{\partial^{2} p_{w}}{\partial r^{2}} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{r}} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{r}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial r} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

From there, we find that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} p_{w}=\frac{\partial^{2} p_{w}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial r} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combination of Equations 5.5 and 5.6 provides the result sought:

$$
\frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial t}=c_{v}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} p_{w}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial r}\right)
$$

5.10 Consider a rock specimen filled with oil (mass density $\rho_{n}$, pressure $p_{n}$, saturation degree $S_{n}$ ) and water (mass density $\rho_{w}$, pressure $p_{w}$, saturation degree $S_{w}$ ). The specimen is cylindrical, with impermeable lateral boundaries. The specimen is subjected to a constant water flow at the base. The initial saturation degree of oil is $S_{n}^{0}=0.8$. We assume that fluid flow is purely 1 D and occurs only in the direction of the axis of the specimen. The capillary pressure is assumed to be negligible, i.e. $\forall z, p_{n}(z)=p_{w}(z)=p(z)$. The porosity of the specimen is assumed to remain constant.

1. Provide the governing equations of the water and oil phases.
2. Assume that the fluids are incompressible. Show that the sum of the fluid velocities $v(z)=v_{n}(z)+v_{w}(z)$ is uniform throughout the sample, i.e. that $v(z)$ does not depend on the position z in the sample.
3. Show that:

$$
\frac{d p}{d z}=\frac{-v(z)+\left(K_{w} \rho_{w} / \mu_{w}+K_{n} \rho_{n} / \mu_{n}\right) g}{K_{w} / \mu_{w}+K_{n} / \mu_{n}}
$$

Explain why $d p / d z$ only depends on $S_{w}$.

Solution: See the following two pages of notes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{V}=-\frac{K K^{r}}{\mu}\left[\frac{g r a d}{p-p \vec{g}}\right] \rightarrow v=-\frac{K K^{r}}{\mu}\left[\frac{d p}{d z}+p g\right] \\
& \operatorname{dir}^{r} \vec{p} \vec{v}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho n S)=0 . \\
& S_{w}+S_{n}=1 \\
& p_{c}=0 \Rightarrow p_{w}=p_{n}=p .
\end{aligned}
$$

$2-$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{w}=-\frac{K K_{w}^{( }}{K_{w}}\left[\frac{d p}{d z}+\rho_{w} g\right] \\
v_{n}=-\frac{K_{n}}{K_{n}}\left[\frac{d p}{\mu_{n}}+p_{w} g\right]
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial v_{w}}{d z}+n \frac{\partial S_{w}}{\partial t}=0 . \\
\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial z}+n \frac{\partial S_{n}}{\partial t}=0
\end{array}\right.  \tag{3}\\
& S_{w}+S_{n}=1 . \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
v=V_{w}+v_{n} \\
f_{w}=v_{w} / v \\
f_{n}=v_{n} / v
\end{array} \quad \text { Incurwes } v_{w}, v_{n}, p, S_{w}, S_{n}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
(3)+(4) \frac{\partial v_{w}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial z}+n\left(\frac{\partial S_{w}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial S_{n}}{\partial t}\right)=0
$$

\& $v{ }^{\text {ne }}$ dépend peo de $z \Rightarrow V-$

$$
(5) \rightarrow \frac{\partial r^{2}}{d y}=0 . \Rightarrow r=c^{\text {te }}
$$ iduentruye daw loutes tee sectió $l^{\prime}$ 'echantitilon, ex paticulies La section $z=0$.

 valoui $S_{s w}=0.2$ a la valeus $S_{w}=$

$$
(1)+(2)
$$

$v_{1} p_{w}, \mu_{x}, p_{n}, \mu_{n}, g$ cocotants $K_{w}, K_{n}$ dep. de $S_{w}$ seulement $\rightarrow \left\lvert\, \frac{d p}{\frac{d}{d}}\right.$ ne depend que de Sw.
$\rightarrow \mid v_{w}, v_{n}$ ue dépendent que de $S_{w}$
5.11 The capillary rise in a tube can be calculated by using Jurin's equation:

$$
\gamma_{w} h=p_{n}-p_{w}
$$

and Laplace's equation:

$$
p_{n}-p_{w}=\frac{2 t_{n / w} \cos \theta_{w}}{r}
$$

in which $h$ is the height of the capillary rise, $\gamma_{w}$ is the specific weight of the wetting fluid in the tube (e.g., water), $p_{w}$ is the pressure of the wetting fluid (water), $p_{n}$ is the pressure of the non-wetting fluid (e.g., air), $r$ is the radius of the tube, $t_{n / w}$ is the surface tension in the meniscus (e.g., at the water/air interface) and $\theta_{w}$ is the wetting angle, i.e. the angle that exists between the normal to the tube wall and the tangent to the meniscus. For a water/air meniscus under ambient temperature, we have $t_{n / w}=75 \mathrm{mN} / \mathrm{m}$. The porous network inside a soil specimen is often modeled as a distribution of capillary tubes that are parallel to each other and that are not connected to one another, so that the equations of Jurin and Laplace can be applied. With this assumption in mind, let us consider a cylindrical soil specimen, 5 cm in diameter and 100 cm in height, made of solid grains, liquid water and gaseous air. The soil porous network is modeled by the bundle of tubes described in Table 5.1. Furthermore, it is assumed that the tubes are perfectly wettable, i.e., $\theta_{w}=0^{\circ}$.

1. Calculate the porosity of the specimen.
2. Express the capillary rise in a single tube of diameter $D$ (in symbolic formula). Calculate the capillary rise $h$ in each type of tube listed in Table 5.1.
3. Express the relationship between the capillary pressure and the degree of saturation in water of the specimen. Plot the Water Retention Curve of the specimen.

Table 5.1 Porous network modeled as a bundle of tubes

| Tube diameter $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | Number of tubes |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 500 |
| 0.5 | 1,000 |
| 1 | 5,000 |
| 5 | 50,000 |
| 10 | 100,000 |
| 50 | 50,000 |
| 100 | 5,000 |
| 500 | 1,000 |
| 1000 | 500 |

Solution: See the following two pages of notes.
exacice 2 Remonté capillane dones un sol
1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{T} & =\pi \times\left(2,5 \cdot 10^{-2}\right)^{2} \times 1=1,96 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} \\
V_{V} & =\pi \times\left(0,1 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)^{2} \times 1 \times 500 \\
& +\pi \times\left(0,5 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)^{2} \times 1 \times 1000
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\phi\left(\mu_{m}\right)$ | $V_{\text {unit }} \cdot\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $V_{T / \phi}\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0,1 | $7,85 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $3,93 \cdot 10^{-12}$ |
| 0,5 | $1,96 \cdot 10^{-13}$ | $1,96 \cdot 10^{-10}$ |
| 1 | $7,85 \cdot 10^{-13}$ | $3,92 \cdot 10^{-9}$ |
| 5 | $1,96 \cdot 10^{-11}$ | $9,82 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 10 | $7,85 \cdot 10^{-11}$ | $7,85 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 50 | $1,96 \cdot 10^{-9}$ | $9,82 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 100 | $7,85 \cdot 10^{-9}$ | $3,93 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 500 | $1,96 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $1,96 \cdot 60^{-4}$ |
| 1000 | $7,85 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $3,93 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
|  |  | $7,05 \cdot 10^{-4} \quad 738 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | $n=\frac{7,05 \cdot 10^{-4}}{1,96 \cdot 10^{-3}}$ | $n=0,36$ |$\quad \mathrm{~m}=0,38$.

2) 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{p}=\mu_{a}-\mu_{w}=\frac{2 A}{R}=\frac{2 A \cos \theta}{\pi}=\gamma_{w} h \\
& \gamma_{w} h=\frac{4 A \cos \theta}{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\theta$ : angle air /liquide (mueriesques)
copillerts perferitent mouitililes $\rightarrow \theta=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rightarrow & h=\frac{h A}{D \gamma_{w}} \\
& h=\frac{4 \times 75.10^{-3}}{D \times 10^{4}}=\frac{3 \cdot 10^{-5}}{D} .
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\sqrt{3})$ | $h(\mu \mathrm{~m})$ | $h(\mathrm{~m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0,1 | 300 | $S_{R}\left(\%_{0}\right)$ |
| 0,5 | 60 | $\sim 0$ |
| 1 | 30 | $\sim 0$ |
| 5 | 6 | 0,001 |
| 10 | 3 | 0,012 |
| 50 | 0,6 | 0,147 |
| 100 | 0,3 | 0,2 |
| 500 | 0,06 | 0,47 |
| 100 | 0,03 | 1 |

4) $S_{R}=-S_{\text {colale }}$ des types de tobe $d \quad \phi>\phi$ cossitere + Solake ech.
 sbiak eh.
aver be ulumes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
30 \mathrm{~cm} 2^{2} \frac{7,38-3,9}{\text { the st pues }} \frac{7,38}{7,47}=0,4
\end{array} \\
& 0,44=\frac{7,05-3,93}{7,05} \\
& 0,16=\frac{7,05-3,93-4,96}{7,05}
\end{aligned}
$$

le tgoss tube est aloro a $a^{3} \mathrm{~cm}$ stes tubues
5.12 Let us consider two non-deformable cylinders of radius $R$ and of length 1 m in the direction orthogonal to the sheet of paper (see Figure 5.6). At the contact between the two cylinders, a water meniscus exists. We assume that the material that makes the cylinders is perfectly wettable, i.e., $\theta_{w}=0$. The meniscus section is an arc of circle of radius $r$. The width of the meniscus relative to the axis that links the two centers of the cylinders is noted $l$.

1. Calculate $l$ as a function of $r$ and $R$. Derive the relation between the water capillary pressure in the meniscus, $R$ and $\alpha=R / r$. From there, calculate the attraction force $f_{u}$ between the two cylinders because of the presence of the meniscus (provide the expression of $f_{u}$ as a function of $\alpha$ and $R$ ).
2. Generalize the result found in question 1 above to a regular cubic packing of cylinders. Give the expression of the effective stress. What are the limitations of this model?
3. Calculate the porosity, void ratio and dry specific weight of the material (assuming a regular cubic packing). Assume $\rho_{s}=27 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (for the solid grains).
4. The capillary cohesion is defined as:

$$
c_{s}=\sigma_{n}^{\prime} \tan \Phi^{\prime}
$$

in which $\Phi^{\prime}$ is the friction angle and $\sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ is the normal component of the effective stress (where here, the normal direction is the direction of the segment that links the centers of both cylinders). Calculate the capillary cohesion of the medium as a function of the water capillary pressure, for a friction angle of $30^{\circ}$. Plot the variations of the cohesion for $R=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
5. Calculate the increase in material strength in the vertical direction as a function of the capillary pressure. Assume hte following:

- The stress path is triaxial ( $\left.\sigma_{H}=\mathrm{cst}\right)$;
- The external forces are given as: $f_{e x t}=2 R \times\left(0.75 \sigma_{v}^{2}+0.25 \sigma_{h}^{2}\right)$;
- The orientation angle of the external forces compared to the vertical is given as: $\delta_{\text {ext }}=60-\arctan \left(0.577 \times\left(\sigma_{H} / \sigma_{v}\right)\right)($ in degrees $) ;$
- The material strength of the dry medium is 100 kPa , which corresponds to an external force oriented by an angle of $30^{\circ}$ compared to the vertical (normal).

To proceed with the calculation, assume a value of $\sigma_{v}$ and calculate the capillary force such that $\sigma_{v}$ reaches the material strength.

Solution: See the following two pages of notes.


Figure 5.6 The menisci between two solid cylinders and the corresponding inter-granular forces. Image taken after Fleureau's course notes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1) } l=-n\left[1-\sqrt{\text { Corgjé exo. Rovkaux }} 1+\frac{2 k}{\hbar}\right]=-n b \quad \text { avec } b=1-\sqrt{1+2 \alpha} \quad \alpha=\frac{R}{n} \\
& -\mu=\frac{A}{R}=\frac{A \alpha}{R} \quad f_{\mu}=-\mu \times 2 l=\frac{A}{\not /} \times 2(-\mu b)=-2 A b
\end{aligned}
$$

2) $\sigma_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{f_{u}}{2 R}=-\frac{A b}{R}$


Lrwites in calcul : $R^{2}=n(r+2 R) \rightarrow r=0.414 R$
3) $n=0.215 \quad e=0.27 \quad \gamma_{d}=21.2 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{w}^{3}$
4) 

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c_{\text {cap }}=\sigma_{\mu}^{\prime} \operatorname{tg} \phi^{\prime}=-\frac{A b}{R} \operatorname{tg} 30^{\circ} \\
d_{0 木} \\
\sigma_{m}^{\prime}=-\frac{A b}{R}=-\frac{A}{R}[1-\sqrt{1+2 \alpha}] \text { avec } \alpha=-\frac{R u}{A} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
R+\left.A\right|^{2}=2 L^{2} \\
R=10^{-6} \mathrm{~m} \\
A=45 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

5) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { wat } \\ \text { cos }\end{array}=2 R\left(0.75 \sigma_{v}^{2}+0.25 \sigma_{H}^{2}\right)\right.$

$$
\mathcal{\delta}_{\text {ext }}=60-\operatorname{Arctg}\left(0.577 \sigma_{H} / \sigma_{v}\right)
$$

- en l'absence d'eau $\delta_{\text {ext }}=30^{\circ} \rightarrow \sigma_{1+} / \sigma_{V}=1 \rightarrow \sigma_{H}=100 \mathrm{kPa}$. pursque la trmite élastique ent égale à $\sigma_{v}$
- en présence d'eau :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rightarrow f=f_{0 t}\left[\frac{\sin \delta_{\text {ext }}}{\operatorname{tg} \delta}-\cos \delta_{o x t}\right] \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\delta=30^{\circ} \text { si } \sigma_{v}=\sigma_{v p e} \text { (Linite élastique: Énoncé) }
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{u}=2 A[-1+\sqrt{1+2 \alpha}] \rightarrow \sqrt{1+2 \alpha}=\frac{f_{0}+2 A}{2 A}=1+\frac{f_{u}}{2 A} \\
& \rightarrow 2 \alpha=\left(1+\frac{f_{0}}{2 A}\right)^{2}-1=-\frac{2 R u}{A} \rightarrow-\mu=\frac{A}{2 R}\left[\left(1+\frac{f_{u}}{2 A}\right)^{2}-1\right] \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Pirncipe du calue: On se doami $\sigma_{v}$, on en dèduit $\delta_{\text {ext }}$ et fext La formule (1) permet de calculur fu, la formvie (2) de caluter $-\mu$. $\left(\sigma_{11}=c^{+0}=100 \mathrm{kPa}\right)$.
ie on $\uparrow$ fat


Surface de charge en succion


### 5.13 Homework 3 - Problem 3

A varved clay consists of successive layers of silt and clay each of which has isotropic flow properties (see Figure 5.7).

1. Derive expressions for the average (gross) permeability of the varved clay system parallel $\left(k_{t}\right)$ and perpendicular $\left(k_{n}\right)$ to the direction of deposition.
2. Show that for any system of this type, $k_{t} \geq k_{n} \geq 0$.
3. Noting that the values of $k_{t}$ and $k_{n}$ are principal values of the permeability tensor, determine the components of the permeability tensor in a reference frame X ' (see Figure 5.7).


Figure 5.7 Flow through an element of varved clay.

## Solution:

1. We note $\Delta h_{s}$ (respectively $\Delta h_{c}$ ) the difference of pressure head from the top to the bottom of a silt layer (respectively, clay layer). The flow in the normal direction is the same across all layers, thus:

$$
q_{n}=k_{s} \frac{\Delta h_{s}}{L_{s}} A=k_{c} \frac{\Delta h_{c}}{L_{c}} A
$$

in which $A=B \times l$, where $B$ is the width of the domain (in the plane of the sheet of paper) and $l$ is the depth of the domain (in the direction orthogonal to the sheet of paper). Additioanally, the average normal permeability of the soil domain is defined as:

$$
q_{n}=k_{n} \frac{\Delta \bar{h}}{L} A
$$

in which $L=5 L_{s}+4 L_{c}$ (total height of the soil domain) and $\Delta \bar{h}=5 \Delta h_{s}+4 \Delta h_{c}$ (total difference of pressure head between the top and bottom of the soil domain). By combining the two above equations, we get:

$$
k_{n}=\frac{\left(5 L_{s}+4 L_{c}\right)}{5 \frac{L_{s}}{k_{s}}+4 \frac{L_{c}}{k_{c}}}
$$

The flow in the tangential direction is:

$$
q_{t}=5 k_{s} \frac{\Delta h}{B} l \times L_{s}+4 k_{c} \frac{\Delta h}{B} l \times L_{c}
$$

in which $\Delta h$ is the difference of pressure head between the left and right hand sides of the soil domain. Additionally, the average tangential permeability of the soil domain is defined as:

$$
q_{t}=k_{t} \frac{\Delta h}{B} l \times\left(5 L_{s}+4 L_{c}\right)
$$

By combining the two equations above, we get:

$$
k_{t}=\frac{\left(5 k_{s} L_{s}+4 k_{c} L_{c}\right)}{\left(5 L_{s}+4 L_{c}\right)}
$$

2. Since the given permeabilities $k_{s}$ and $k_{c}$ are positive, and the given lengths are positive, the two permeability components $k_{n}$ and $k_{t}$ are positive. Now, let us compare the two:

$$
\frac{k_{t}}{k_{n}}=\frac{\left(5 k_{s} L_{s}+4 k_{c} L_{c}\right)\left(5 \frac{L_{s}}{k_{s}}+4 \frac{L_{c}}{k_{c}}\right)}{\left(5 L_{s}+4 L_{c}\right)^{2}}
$$

We have:

$$
\left(5 k_{s} L_{s}+4 k_{c} L_{c}\right)\left(5 \frac{L_{s}}{k_{s}}+4 \frac{L_{c}}{k_{c}}\right)=25 L_{s}^{2}+16 L_{c}^{2}+20 L_{s} L_{c}\left(\frac{k_{s}}{k_{c}}+\frac{k_{c}}{k_{s}}\right)
$$

Suppose $k_{s}=\alpha k_{c}$. Then:

$$
\left(5 k_{s} L_{s}+4 k_{c} L_{c}\right)\left(5 \frac{L_{s}}{k_{s}}+4 \frac{L_{c}}{k_{c}}\right)=25 L_{s}^{2}+16 L_{c}^{2}+20 L_{s} L_{c}\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}+1}{\alpha}\right)
$$

We have $\alpha^{2}+1-2 \alpha=(\alpha-1)^{2} \geq 0$ so $\alpha^{2}+1 \geq 2 \alpha$ and therefore, $\frac{\alpha^{2}+1}{\alpha} \geq 2$. As a result:

$$
\left(5 k_{s} L_{s}+4 k_{c} L_{c}\right)\left(5 \frac{L_{s}}{k_{s}}+4 \frac{L_{c}}{k_{c}}\right) \geq 25 L_{s}^{2}+16 L_{c}^{2}+40 L_{s} L_{c}=\left(5 L_{s}+4 L_{c}\right)^{2}
$$

We conclude that $\frac{k_{t}}{k_{n}} \geq 1$ and therefore:

$$
k_{t} \geq k_{n} \geq 0
$$

3. To calculate the components of the permeability tensor in the reference frame shown, we can apply the transformation equations that were established for stress transformation in 2D. Using Question 2 for the sign of $k_{t}-k_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{x x} & =\frac{k_{n}+k_{t}}{2}+\frac{k_{t}-k_{n}}{2} \cos (2 \theta) \\
k_{y y} & =\frac{k_{n}+k_{t}}{2}-\frac{k_{t}-k_{n}}{2} \cos (2 \theta) \\
k_{x y} & =\frac{k_{t}-k_{n}}{2} \sin (2 \theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.14 Homework 3 - Problem 4

The combination of the water momentum balance equation, the solid mass conservation equation and the water mass conservation equation provides:

$$
\left(\frac{(\alpha-\phi)}{K_{s}}+\frac{\phi}{K_{w}^{*}}\right) \frac{D^{s} p_{w}}{D t}+\alpha \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}\right)=\frac{\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{w}}}{\mu_{w}} \nabla^{2} p_{w}
$$

... which can be solved for the fields of displacements $\mathbf{u}$ and water pore pressure $p_{w}$ if combined with the momentum balance equation:

$$
\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{e}} \vdots \nabla^{2} \mathbf{u}-\alpha \nabla p_{w}=\mathbf{0}
$$

Starting from these two governing equtions above, show that the 1D consolidation equation is expressed as:

$$
\frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial t}=\frac{k}{c_{v}} \frac{\partial^{2} p_{w}}{\partial z^{2}}
$$

with $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{w}}=k \boldsymbol{\delta}, c_{v}=\mu_{w}\left(S+\alpha^{2} m_{v}\right), S=\left(\frac{(\alpha-\phi)}{K_{s}}+\frac{\phi}{K_{w}^{*}}\right)$ and $m_{v}=\frac{1}{K+\frac{4}{3} G}$. Assume that the solid skeleton has a linear isotropic elastic behavior.

Solution: We start with the governing equation:

$$
\left(\frac{(\alpha-\phi)}{K_{s}}+\frac{\phi}{K_{w}^{*}}\right) \frac{D^{s} p_{w}}{D t}+\alpha \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}\right)=\frac{\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{w}}}{\mu_{w}} \nabla^{2} p_{w}
$$

Using the notations introduced in the problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \frac{D^{s} p_{w}}{D t}+\alpha \frac{\partial \epsilon_{v}}{\partial t}=\frac{k \boldsymbol{\delta}}{\mu_{w}} \nabla^{2} p_{w} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The time derivative relative to the solid skeleton is:

$$
\frac{D^{s} p_{w}}{D t}=\frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial t}+\nabla p_{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}
$$

with, according to the constitutive laws adopted in this course:

$$
\nabla p_{w}=\frac{K_{w}^{*}}{\rho_{w}} \nabla \rho_{w}
$$

We assume that the permeability of the porous medium is high enough that we can neglect the gradient of water mass density. Therefore, in one dimension, equation 6.8 becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial t}+\alpha \frac{\partial \epsilon_{z z}}{\partial t}=\frac{k}{\mu_{w}} \frac{\partial^{2} p_{w}}{\partial z^{2}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a linear isotropic elastic solid skeleton, we have:

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}+\alpha p_{w} \boldsymbol{\delta}=\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right) \epsilon_{v} \boldsymbol{\delta}+2 G \boldsymbol{\epsilon}
$$

For a 1D consolidation test:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{z z}+\alpha p_{w}=\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right) \epsilon_{z z}+2 G \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{z z}=\left(K+\frac{4}{3} G\right) \epsilon_{z z}=\frac{1}{m_{v}} \epsilon_{z z} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now combining equations 5.8 and 5.9:

$$
\left(S+\alpha^{2} m_{v}\right) \frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial t}+\alpha m_{v} \frac{\partial \sigma_{z z}}{\partial t}=\frac{k}{\mu_{w}} \frac{\partial^{2} p_{w}}{\partial z^{2}}
$$

In a consolidation test, the imposed stress does not vary over time, therefore:

$$
\left(S+\alpha^{2} m_{v}\right) \frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial t}=\frac{k}{\mu_{w}} \frac{\partial^{2} p_{w}}{\partial z^{2}}
$$

From which we deduce the required consolidation equation:

$$
\frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial t}=\frac{k}{\left(S+\alpha^{2} m_{v}\right) \mu_{w}} \frac{\partial^{2} p_{w}}{\partial z^{2}}=\frac{k}{c_{v}} \frac{\partial^{2} p_{w}}{\partial z^{2}}
$$

### 5.15 Homework 3 - Problem 5

We subject a water-saturated solid specimen to a triaxial axisymmetric test. We assume that the state of stress in the specimen is uniform and that the loading platens at the top and bottom of the specimen are frictionless. Before applying any stress to the specimen, the pore pressure is uniform, and equal to $p_{0}$. The vertical stress applied on the top platen is noted $\sigma_{1}$, and the confining pressure applied on the lateral faces of the specimen is noted $\sigma_{3}$.

1. First consider that the test is undrained. Determine the stresses, pore pressures and strains in the specimen, in terms of $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3}$ and $p_{0}$.
2. Now consider that the test is drained. Repeat question 1, in the short-term and in the long-term.

## Solution:

1. The total stress is given (boundary conditions), so we are looking for the pore pressure and the strains in the specimen (both are assumed to be uniform in the specimen). In undrained conditions, the specimen does not change in volume, since the solid grains and the water are both considered incompressible. There is no change of pore volume during the test. Therefore, the pore pressure of the specimen increases by the same amount as the mean stress applied at the boundary. Under the loading conditions, the pore pressure is therefore:

$$
p_{w}=p_{0}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\sigma_{1}+2 \sigma_{3}\right)
$$

in which compression counted negative. To find the strains, we can use Biot's constitutive relationships, which, in the absence of total volumetric deformation, boil down to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1}+\alpha p_{w} & =2 G \epsilon_{1} \\
\sigma_{3}+\alpha p_{w} & =2 G \epsilon_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

in which $G$ is the shear modulus of the specimen. The two equations above can be solved for $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{3}$.
2. Like for the undrained tests, the pore pressure in a drained test in the short term is:

$$
p_{w}=p_{0}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\sigma_{1}+2 \sigma_{3}\right)
$$

in which compression counted negative. The strains are obtained by solving the following system of equations, which comes form the constitutive relationships:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1}+\alpha p_{w} & =\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right)\left(\epsilon_{1}+2 \epsilon_{3}\right)+2 G \epsilon_{1} \\
\sigma_{3}+\alpha p_{w} & =\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right)\left(\epsilon_{1}+2 \epsilon_{3}\right)+2 G \epsilon_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

in which $G$ is the shear modulus of the specimen and $K$ is the drained bulk modulus of the specimen (solid grains only). In the long term, the excess pore pressure is dissipated and comes back to its initial value at equilibrium:

$$
p_{w}=p_{0}
$$

Then, the strain components can be obtained by solving the following system of equations, which comes from the constitutive relationships:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1}+\alpha p_{w} & =\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right)\left(\epsilon_{1}+2 \epsilon_{3}\right)+2 G \epsilon_{1} \\
\sigma_{3}+\alpha p_{w} & =\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right)\left(\epsilon_{1}+2 \epsilon_{3}\right)+2 G \epsilon_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.16 Homework 4 - Problem 1

1. Let us suppose that you are performing a triaxial compression test, in which $\sigma_{11}=\sigma_{I}$ and $\sigma_{22}=\sigma_{33}=\sigma_{I I}$. Write the stress/strain relationship that governs the mechanical behavior of the solid skeleton during the test.
2. Let us suppose that you are performing a drained isotropic compression test, in which $\sigma_{11}=\sigma_{22}=\sigma_{33}=\sigma_{I}, p=0$. We give the following constitutive relationship, which stems from thermodynamic principles:

$$
\delta \Phi=\alpha \operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})+\frac{K_{s}}{\alpha-\Phi_{0}} p_{w}
$$

in which $\Phi$ is the current porosity, $\Phi_{0}$ is the initial porosity, $K_{s}$ is the bulk modulus of the solid phase, and $\alpha$ is the Biot coefficient. Write the stress/strain and porosity/pressure relationships for the drained isotropic compression test.
3. Now suppose that you are performing an undrained isotropic compression test, in which $\sigma_{11}=\sigma_{22}=\sigma_{33}=\sigma_{I}, \Delta v_{f}=0$ (where $v_{f}$ is the volume of the fluid in the specimen, assumed to be incompressible). Derive the stress/strain relationship and the stress/pore pressure relationship for the undrained isotropic compression test.
4. Discuss possible experimental plans to find the bulk and shear moduli of the solid skeleton, as well as the poroelasticity coefficients $\alpha$ and $N=K_{s} /\left(\alpha-\Phi_{0}\right)$.

## Solution:

1. Linear isotropic behavior of the solid skeleton:

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}+\alpha p_{w}=\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right) \epsilon_{v} \boldsymbol{\delta}+2 G \boldsymbol{\epsilon}
$$

The deviatoric part of the equation above is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=2 G e \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is the deviatoric stress and $e$ is the deviatoric strain. For the triaxial loading described:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{s} & =\sigma_{I} \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\sigma_{I I} \boldsymbol{e}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\sigma_{I I} \boldsymbol{e}_{3} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{3}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\sigma_{I}+2 \sigma_{I I}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\boldsymbol{e}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\boldsymbol{e}_{3} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{3}\right) \\
\boldsymbol{s} & =\frac{2}{3}\left(\sigma_{I}-\sigma_{I I}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\sigma_{I I}-\sigma_{I}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\sigma_{I I}-\sigma_{I}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{3} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for the strain:

$$
\boldsymbol{e}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\epsilon_{I}-\epsilon_{I I}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\epsilon_{I I}-\epsilon_{I}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\epsilon_{I I}-\epsilon_{I}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{3} \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{3}
$$

Taking the component 11 of equation 5.10:

$$
\sigma_{I}-\sigma_{I I}=2 G\left(\epsilon_{I}-\epsilon_{I I}\right)
$$

2. For the solid skeleton, with $p_{w}=0$ :

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right) \epsilon_{v} \boldsymbol{\delta}+2 G \boldsymbol{\epsilon}
$$

Since the loading is isotropic:

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\sigma_{1} \boldsymbol{\delta}=\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right)\left(3 \epsilon_{I}\right) \boldsymbol{\delta}+2 G \epsilon_{I} \boldsymbol{\delta}
$$

And finally:

$$
\sigma_{I}=3 K \epsilon_{I}
$$

For the fluid:

$$
\delta \Phi=\alpha \operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})+\frac{K_{s}}{\alpha-\Phi_{0}} p_{w}
$$

For a drained isotropic test, $p_{w}=0$ and:

$$
\delta \Phi=3 \alpha \epsilon_{I}
$$

3. For the solid skeleton, in direction 1 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{I}+\alpha p_{w}=\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right) \times 3 \epsilon_{I}+2 G \times \epsilon_{I}=3 K \epsilon_{I} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the fluid, in direction 1 , we have $\delta \Phi=0$ since $\Delta v_{f}=0$ and since the fluid is incompressible. Therefore:

$$
0=3 \alpha \epsilon_{I}+\frac{K_{s}}{\alpha-\Phi_{0}} p_{w}
$$

from which we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{w}=-\frac{3 \alpha\left(\alpha-\Phi_{0}\right)}{K_{s}} \epsilon_{I} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining equations 5.11 and 5.12 , we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{I}=\left[K+\frac{\left(\alpha-\Phi_{0}\right) \alpha^{2}}{K_{s}}\right] \times 3 \epsilon_{I} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note $K_{u}$ the undrained bulk modulus:

$$
K_{u}=K+\frac{\left(\alpha-\Phi_{0}\right) \alpha^{2}}{K_{s}}
$$

Now combining equations 5.12 and 5.13 , we get the sought stress/pore pressure relationship:

$$
p_{w}=-\alpha \frac{\left(\alpha-\Phi_{0}\right)}{K_{s}} \frac{1}{K_{u}} \sigma_{I}
$$

4. In each of the three experiments above, we control stress and measure strain (or vice versa), and we control the volume of the fluid (or porosity, in the case of an incompressible fluid), and we measure the pore pressure (or vice versa). Hence, each of the five equations established in the previous questions provides a relationship from which poro-elasticity coefficients can be calculated. In particular, the triaxial compression test provides:

$$
\sigma_{I}-\sigma_{I I}=2 G\left(\epsilon_{I}-\epsilon_{I I}\right)
$$

which allows calculating the shear modulus of the solid skeleton. The drained isotropic compression test provides:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{I}=3 K \epsilon_{I} \\
\delta \Phi=3 \alpha \epsilon_{I}
\end{gathered}
$$

which allows one to calculate the bulk modulus of the solid skeleton and the Biot's coefficient. Lastly, the undrained isotropic compression test provides:

$$
p_{w}=-\alpha \frac{\left(\alpha-\Phi_{0}\right)}{K_{s}} \frac{1}{K_{u}} \sigma_{I}
$$

with:

$$
K_{u}=K+\frac{\left(\alpha-\Phi_{0}\right) \alpha^{2}}{K_{s}}
$$

which, after some intermediate calculations, allows one to find the coefficient $N$.

### 5.17 Homework 4 - Problem 2

Polluted water is to be flushed from a confined aquifer of height L and hydraulic cnductivity k (see Figure 5.8). The method employed is to pump water out of well A . The water is then treated (off-site) and successively pumped back (at the same rate) into the aquifer well B, located at a distance $20 d$ (where $d$ is the diameter of the well bore). Assuming that the initial head in the aquifer is $h_{0}$, and that the adjacent layers are impervious:

1. Show that for a single well, the steady-state piezometric head can be related to the rate of pumping through the following expression:

$$
h=h_{w} \pm \frac{Q}{2 \pi L k} \ln \left(\frac{2 r}{d}\right)
$$

where $h_{w}$ is the water elevation and $d$ is the diameter of the well.
2. On the basis of the above, sketch the equipotential lines and the flow net for the steady flow between wells for the case of $L=20 \mathrm{~m}, k=10 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ and $d=0.4 \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 5.8 Piezometric heads due to pumping and recharge from a confined aquifer.

## Solution:

1. Flow to a single well (in $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ):

$$
\boldsymbol{q}=A \times \boldsymbol{v}=-k \times A \times \nabla p
$$

For a radial flow at a distance $r$ from the center of a single well of length $L$, the area through which the flow happens is $A=2 \pi r L$ and therefore:

$$
q(r)=-2 \pi r L k \frac{d h}{d r}
$$

In steady state, $q(r)= \pm Q,(+\mathrm{Q}$ if water is injected at a flow rate of $\mathrm{Q},-\mathrm{Q}$ if water is pumped at a flow rate of Q ), and so:

$$
\pm Q=2 \pi r L k \frac{d h}{d r}
$$

We thus have:

$$
d h= \pm \frac{Q}{2 \pi L k} \frac{d r}{r}
$$

Integrating between $r=d / 2$ at the circumference of the well, and $r$ :

$$
h(r)-h_{w}= \pm \frac{Q}{2 \pi L k} \ln \left(\frac{2 r}{d}\right)
$$

which is the expected result.
2. For two wells (injection well B and extraction well A), the water head is obtained by superposition:
$h=h_{A}+h_{B}=2 h_{0}-\frac{Q}{2 \pi L k} \ln \left(\frac{2 r_{B}}{d}\right)+\frac{Q}{2 \pi L k} \ln \left(\frac{2 r_{A}}{d}\right)=2 h_{0}+\frac{Q}{2 \pi L k} \ln \left(\frac{r_{A}}{r_{B}}\right)$
where $r_{A}$ is the radial distance to extraction well A , and $r_{B}$ is the radial distance to injection well B. The equipotential lines can be drawn from the knowledge of $h$. The flow lines are orthogonal to the equipotential lines, see Figure 5.9.


Jiaojun Liu's drawing (2020)


Luis Vergaray's drawing (2020)

Figure 5.9 Flow net for the problem with two injection wells (Problem 5.17).

### 5.18 Homework 4 - Problem 3

In the construction of a large excavation, the inflow of water to the excavation is prevented by installing an impervious slurry wall which extends to the level of impervious rock (see Figure 5.10). Outside the excavation, the heads in the soil are to be reduced by pumping from a series of wells. Assuming a steady rate of pumping $Q_{w}=600 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ from a single well, calculate the flow field in the soil assuming that the flow into the well is horizontal and that the soil is homogeneous and isotropic. The piezometric head in the far-field is $h_{0}$ $=100 \mathrm{~m}$. What is the steady state head recorded at the observation well? Sketch the flow field for the case when the horizontal transmissivity of the aquifer is $T=4,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2} /$ day. Treat the well as a line source in the last part of this problem.


Figure 5.10 Horizontal flow field for steady-state pumping.

Solution: The slurry wall acts like an axis of symmetry. The system is equivalent to two puping wells that are 20 meters apart, wit no slurry wall. First consider a single well. In steady state, we have:

$$
\boldsymbol{Q}=k \boldsymbol{i} A=2 \pi k r h \frac{d h}{d r}
$$

After rearranging and integrating on both sides:

$$
\frac{Q}{2 \pi k} \int_{r_{w}}^{r} \frac{d r}{r}=\int_{h_{w}}^{h} d d h
$$

$$
h^{2}-h_{w}^{2}=\frac{Q}{\pi k} \ln \left(\frac{r}{r_{w}}\right)
$$

where $r_{w}$ is the radius of the well, $r$ is the distance to the well, $h_{w}$ is the water head in the well and $h$ is the water head at the observation point ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ), given as:

$$
h=\sqrt{\frac{Q}{\pi k} \ln \left(\frac{r}{r_{w}}\right)+h_{w}^{2}}
$$

For one well, the pressure head drop at point $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ is $\Delta h=h_{0}-h$. For two well A and B (20 meters apart): $\Delta h=\Delta h_{A}+\Delta h_{B}$. Then:

$$
\Delta h=2 h_{0}-\sqrt{\frac{Q_{A}}{\pi k} \ln \left(\frac{r_{A}}{r_{w}}\right)+h_{A}^{2}}-\sqrt{\frac{Q_{B}}{\pi k} \ln \left(\frac{r_{B}}{r_{w}}\right)+h_{B}^{2}}
$$

with $Q_{A}=Q_{B}, h_{A}=h_{B}$, but $r_{A} \neq r_{B}$. If A is the well on the same side as the observation well O , then $r_{A}^{2}=\left(x_{A}-x_{O}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{A}-y_{O}\right)^{2}=25+100=125 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. If B is the well on the other side as the observation well, then $r_{B}^{2}=\left(x_{B}-x_{O}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{B}-y_{O}\right)^{2}=$ $15^{2}+100=325 m^{2}$. Assuming a certain value for $h_{A}=h_{B}$ and for $r_{w}$, one can then calculate the pressure head drop at the observation well, and then the pressure head at the observation well is $h_{0}+\Delta h$. We plot the equipotential lines from the knowledge of $h$, where $h$ is calculated with an assumed value of $h_{A}=h_{B}$ and an assumed value of $r_{w}$. The flow lines are orthogonal to the equipotential lines, see Figure 5.11.


Jiaojun Liu's drawing (2020)


Haozhou He's drawing (2020)

Figure 5.11 Flow net for the problem with an injection well and a slurry wall (Problem 5.18).

## CHAPTER 6

## FINITE ELEMENT METHOD FOR PORO-ELASTICITY

## PROBLEMS

6.1 Consider plane wall of thickness L , initially at a uniform temperature $T_{0}$, which has both surfaces suddenly exposed to a fluid at temperature $T_{\infty}$. The governing differential equation is:

$$
k \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x^{2}}=\rho c_{0} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}
$$

The initial condition is $T(x, 0)=T_{0}$ and we consider two sets of boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Set 1: } & T(0, t)=T_{\infty}, \\
\text { Set } 2: & T(L, t)=T_{\infty} \\
& \\
& T(0, t)=T_{\infty}, \quad\left[k \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}+\beta\left(T-T_{\infty}\right]_{x=L}=0\right.
\end{array}
$$

Approximate the solution with two linear Finite Elements. Solve for the unknown temperatures and heat fluxes.

Solution: See the solution in the next 4 pages of notes.

Step 1: normalization:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}-\frac{k}{\rho c_{0}} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}-\alpha \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial(x / L)^{2}} \times \frac{1}{L^{2}}=0 \\
& \frac{L^{2}}{\alpha} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial(x / L)^{2}}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial T}{\partial\left(\frac{\alpha}{L^{2}} t\right)}-\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial\left(\frac{x}{L}\right)^{2}}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial T}{\partial t^{\prime}}-\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}}=0, \quad K^{\prime}=\frac{2}{L^{2}} t \\
& 1 \partial \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\theta=\frac{T-T_{\infty}}{T_{0}-T_{\infty}} \Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t^{\prime}}-\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{\prime 2}}=0 \\
\sigma(x, 0)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Step 2: FE michel
Pandalic equation $\Rightarrow \theta(x, t)=U(x) e^{-\lambda t}$

$$
-\lambda U(x)-\frac{d^{2} U}{d x^{2}}=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left[K^{e}\right]-\lambda\left[M^{e}\right]\right)\left\{U^{e}\right\}=\left\{Q^{c}\right\} \\
& Q_{i}^{e}= \pm\left.\frac{d U}{d x}\right|_{x=x_{i}} ^{x_{b}} \\
& K_{i j}^{e}=\int_{x_{a}} \frac{d \phi_{i}}{d x} \frac{\theta_{i}}{d x} d x, \quad M_{i j}^{e}=\left.\right|_{x_{a}} ^{x b} \phi(x) \phi_{1}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

For 1 livest element:

$$
K_{i j}^{e}=\frac{1}{h_{e}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left[M^{e}\right]=\frac{R_{e}}{6}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Step 3: Assembling: Far a uniform mesh of two theatre elements:


$$
\left(2\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]-\frac{\lambda}{12}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 4 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right]\right)\left|u_{1}^{v_{2}} 0_{3}\right|=
$$

Step 4: Boundary Conditions
(1)

$$
\text { (1) } \begin{aligned}
& T(0, t)=T(L, t)=T_{\infty} \\
& \theta(0, t)=\theta(1, t)=0 \\
& U(0)=U(1)=0 \\
\Rightarrow & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
U_{1}=U_{3}=0 \\
Q_{2}=0
\end{array} \quad\right. \text { (no concentrate) heat flux) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (2) } T(0, t)=T_{\infty}, \quad k \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}=-\beta\left(T-T_{\infty}\right){ }^{\prime}+x=L \\
& \\
& O\left(0, t^{\prime}\right)=0,\left.\quad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x^{\prime}}\right|_{x^{\prime}=1}=-\frac{\beta L}{k} \theta\left(1, t^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad U(0)=0,\left.\frac{d U}{d x^{\prime}}\right|_{x^{\prime}=1}=-\frac{\beta L}{h} U(1) \\
& \Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U_{1}=0, Q_{3}=-\frac{\beta L}{k} U_{3} \\
Q_{2}=0 \quad \text { (ut concentrated heat } P(u x)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$
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Step S: Condensation/Rexolution
(1) $\quad\left(4-\frac{1}{3}\right) U_{2}=0$

Only ore solution fere the equavalue: supposing $U_{2} \neq 0$ (ff $U_{2}=0$, wee the $U_{1}=U_{2}=U_{3}=0$ thus $U(x)=0$, nonsense): $\lambda=12$.
Associated mode slope:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {.f } \left.F_{x} \in\right] 0 ; \frac{L}{2} L: U\left(x^{\prime}\right)=U_{2} \theta_{2}^{(1)}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=U_{2} \frac{x^{\prime}}{1 / 2}=2 U_{2} x^{\prime} \\
& \text { if } \left.x^{\prime}\right] \frac{L}{2} ; L ; U\left(x^{\prime}\right)=U_{2} \phi_{1}^{(0)}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=U_{2}\left(1-\frac{x^{\prime}-1 / 2}{1 / 2}\right)^{\prime}=U_{2}\left(2-2 x^{\prime}\right) \\
& \theta\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)=U\left(x^{\prime}\right) e^{-12 t} \\
& \theta\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)=1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases}U_{2} \phi_{2}^{(1)}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=1 & \text { on }] 0_{2} L \\
U_{2} \phi_{1}^{(2)}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=1 & \text { on }] \frac{L}{2}, L C\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

To sotisty the initial condilon at the nests archie no bic. $\left.\mathrm{I}^{( }\right)$is applied:

$$
U_{2} \nabla_{2}^{(1)}(1 / 2)=U_{2} \emptyset_{1}^{(2)}(1 / 2)=1 \Rightarrow U_{2}=1
$$

$$
\Rightarrow U_{2}-1 \quad \text { and } \quad \theta\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)=\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \left.2 x^{\prime} e^{-12 t} \text { f } x \in\right] 0 ; \frac{L}{2}[ \\
& \left.2\left(1-x^{\prime}\right) c^{-12 t} \text { if } x \in\right] \frac{L}{2}, L
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

NB. exact solution of the type:

$$
\theta\left(x, t^{\prime}\right)=c_{1} \sin \alpha_{1} x^{\prime} e^{-\lambda_{1} t}
$$

with $\lambda_{1}=12$, and $C_{1} \sin \alpha_{1} x^{\prime}=\|_{2} \phi_{2}^{(\prime)}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ a
$\Rightarrow$ Numbeic of eigenvalues determined
by the $F E M=$ number of degrees of freedom (after condensation)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (2) } U_{1}=0, Q_{2}=0, Q_{3}=-\frac{\beta L}{k} U_{3}: \\
& \left.\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
4 & -2 \\
-2 & 2
\end{array}\right]-\frac{\lambda}{12}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
4 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right]\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U_{2} \\
U_{3}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-\frac{B L}{k} U_{3}
\end{array}\right.\right\} \\
& \left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
4 & -2 \\
-2 & 2+\frac{\beta L}{k}
\end{array}\right]-\frac{\lambda}{12}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
4 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right]\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U_{2} \\
U_{3}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\left.\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0
\end{array} \right\rvert\,(*\right. \tag{*}
\end{align*}
$$

$\Rightarrow 2$ degures of frectum $\left(y_{2}, v_{3}\right)$, 2 eigometices:

$$
\lambda_{1} \simeq 4.49, \lambda_{2} \simeq 36.7
$$


For $\lambda_{1}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}u_{2} \\ v_{3}\end{array}\right\}_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}1,05\end{array}\right\}$ (if $v_{2}$ nomantizel)

$$
\text { Bn } \left.1_{2}:\left|\begin{array}{l}
U_{2} \\
\left.U_{3}\right|_{2}
\end{array}=\right| \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1.63
\end{array}\right\} \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.U_{2} \text { nemend (zed) }\right) ~
\end{array}\right.
$$

NB1. Each mooke staye satisties $b c$
NB2. Initiol cardition satistiod if $O h(x, 0)=1$ at nodes $\left(T_{0}=0_{0}\right)$
6.2 Consider a uniform beam of rectangular cross section $(\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{H})$, fixed at $x=0$ and free at $x=L$. We use the Euler-Bernouilli beam theory. Neglecting the rotary inertia term, the governing equation for beam deflection is:

$$
\rho A \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left[E I \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}\right]=q(x, t)
$$

The boundary conditions are:

$$
W(0)=0, \quad \frac{d W}{d x}=0, \quad\left[E I \frac{d^{2} W}{d x^{2}}\right]_{x=L}=0, \quad\left[E I \frac{d^{3} W}{d x^{3}}\right]_{x=L}=0
$$

Determine the first two flexural frequencies of the beam by using the minimum number of Euler-Bernouilli beam elements.

Solution: See the solution in the next 2 pages of notes.

Example (6.1.2.p 305)
Camilevere Beam modred one Evlea-Bexnosill: Beam Element :


- $x=0$ : Fixed.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w(0, t)=0 \Rightarrow w(0)=0 \\
\theta(0, t)=\left.0 \Rightarrow \frac{d w}{d x}\right|_{x=0}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

- $x=L$ : free end:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V(L, t)=0 \\
M(L, t)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Framing the effects of romany inertia $P I$, and with constant $E, I$ :

$$
\left\{\left.\begin{array}{ll}
E & d^{3} w / d x \\
E & d^{2} w / d x
\end{array}\right|_{x=2}=0=0\right.
$$

With 1 colic $E B$ element, applying the be. loads to:

$$
\left.\left(\left[K^{e}\right]-\omega^{2}\left[H^{e}\right]\right) \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
\Delta_{3}=h_{2} \\
\Delta_{4}=\theta_{2}
\end{array}\right.\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1}=-V_{1} \\
Q_{2}=-M_{1} \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$



Non trivial solution For et $\left[c^{0}\right]=\operatorname{det}\left(\left[k^{\prime}\right]-\omega^{2}\left[\mu^{\prime} \rho\right)=0\right.$ $\operatorname{det}\left[C^{C}\right]=0 \Leftrightarrow 15120-1224 \omega^{2}+\omega^{4}=0$ (seep. (307)


Eiganvectons (mork shapes):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\left(\left[K^{\prime}\right]-w_{1}^{2}\left[\mu^{\prime}\right]\right)\left\{\begin{array} { c } 
{ \Delta _ { 3 } ^ { ( 1 ) } } \\
{ \Delta _ { 4 } ^ { ( 1 ) } }
\end{array} \left|=\left|\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right|\right.\right. \\
& \\
& \Rightarrow-0.7259 L \Delta_{3}^{(1)}=\Delta_{4}^{(1)} \quad(\text { see } p \cdot 3 c 7) \\
& \left.2]\left(\left[K_{e}^{\prime}\right]-w_{2}^{2}\left[\mu_{e}^{( }\right]\right) \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\Delta_{3}^{(2)} \\
\Delta_{4}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right.\right\}=\left|\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right| \\
& \\
& \Rightarrow-0.13122 \Delta_{3}^{(2)}=\Delta_{4}^{(2)} \quad(\text { see } p \cdot 307) \\
& \Rightarrow \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

$\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}=$ contanks detennined by the intial condilions
$\Rightarrow$ Mode shopes: $\quad \omega^{(1)}(x)=\Delta_{3}^{(1)} \phi_{3}(x)+\Delta_{4}^{(1)} \phi_{4}(x)$

$$
\left\{\dot{w}^{(2)}(x)=\Delta_{3}^{(2)} \theta_{3}(x)+\Delta_{4}^{(2)} \nabla_{4}(x)\right.
$$


6.3 Consider a uniform bar of cross-sectional area A, modulus of elasticity E, mass density m , and length L . The axial displacement under the action of time-dependent axial forces is governed by the wave equation:

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=a^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}, \quad a=\left(\frac{E}{m}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Determine the transient response [i.e., find $u(x, t)$ ] of the bar when the end $x=0$ is fixed and the end $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{L}$ is subjected to a force $P_{0}$. Assume zero initial conditions. Use one linear element to approximate the spatial variation of the solution, and solve the resulting ordinary differential equation in time exactly to obtain:

$$
u_{2}(x, t)=\frac{P_{0} L}{A E} \frac{x}{L}(1-\cos \alpha t), \quad \alpha=\sqrt{3} \frac{a}{L}
$$

Solution: After writing the variational formulation and discretizing in space with Lagrange linear polynomial, we obtain the following matrix equation:

$$
\frac{E A}{h}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
+1 & -1 \\
-1 & +1
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U_{1} \\
U_{2}
\end{array}\right\}+\frac{m A h}{6}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{U}_{1} \\
\ddot{U}_{2}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1} \\
Q_{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The boundary conditions are $U_{1}=0$ (fixed end at $x=0$ ) and $Q_{2}=P_{0}$ (force imposed at $x=L$ ). The condensed equation is:

$$
\ddot{U}_{2}(t)+\alpha^{2} U_{2}(t)=\frac{3 P_{0}}{m A h}
$$

With the following initial conditions: $U_{2}(0)=0, \dot{U}_{2}(0)=0$, in which $\alpha=\sqrt{3 E /\left(m h^{2}\right)}$. The solution is of the form:

$$
U_{2}(t)=A \cos \alpha t+B \sin \alpha t+C
$$

Using the initial conditions and the governing equation, we obtain $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{C}=0, \mathrm{~B}=0$, and C $=P_{0} \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{EA}$. The final solution is:

$$
u(x, t)=\sum_{i=1}^{2} U_{i}(t) \psi_{i}(x)=(A \cos \alpha t+C) \psi_{2}(x)=\frac{P_{0} h}{E A}(1-\cos \alpha t) \frac{x}{h}
$$

6.4 Consider a simply supported beam (of Young's modulus $E$, mass density $\rho$, area of cross section $A$, second moment of area about the axis of bending $I$, and length $L$ ) with an elastic support at the center of the beam (see Figure 6.1). Determine the fundamental natural frequency using the minimum number of Euler-Bernoulli beam elements. It is reminded that in Euler-Bernouilli beam theory, if the rotary inertia term is neglected, the governing equation for beam deflection is:

$$
\rho A \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left[E I \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}\right]=q(x, t)
$$



Figure 6.1 Beam vibration problem

Solution: Under the assumption of space and time separation, the solution of the hyperbolic equation of beam vibration can be written in the form: $w(x, t)=W(x) e^{i \omega t}$. After simplifying the equation by dividing by the time exponent, writing the variational formulation and discretizing in space, we obtain the following elementary equations:

$$
\left(\left[K^{e}\right]-\omega^{2}\left[M^{e}\right]\right)\left\{W^{e}\right\}=\left\{F^{e}\right\}+\left\{Q^{e}\right\}
$$

In which:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{i j}^{e} & =\int_{x_{e}}^{x_{e+1}} E I \frac{d^{2} \Psi_{i}^{e}}{d x^{2}} \frac{d^{2} \Psi_{j}^{e}}{d x^{2}} d x \\
M_{i j}^{e} & =\int_{x_{e}}^{x_{e+1}} \rho A \Psi_{i}^{e}(x) \Psi_{j}^{e}(x) d x \\
F_{i}^{e} & =\int_{x_{e}}^{x_{e+1}} \Psi_{i}^{e}(x) Q(x) d x \\
Q_{i}^{e} & =E I\left[\frac{d \Psi_{i}^{e}}{d x} \frac{d^{3} w}{d x^{3}}\right]_{x_{e}}^{x_{e+1}}+E I\left[\Psi_{i}^{e}(x) \frac{d^{2} w}{d x^{2}}\right]_{x_{e}}^{x_{e+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In which $\Psi_{i}$ denotes a Hermite interpolation function (for an Euler-Bernouilli beam element). In order to determine the natural frequencies of the beam, we actually seek to solve the equation for $\omega$, in the absence of external action applied (i.e., free vibrations):

$$
\left([K]-\omega^{2}[M]\right)\{W\}=\{0\}
$$

The problem is symmetric, so we model only half the beam with the FEM. For the left half of the beam (between $x=0$ and $x=L / 2$ ), only one Euler-Bernouilli beam element is needed. There are four degrees of freedom: the deflection at nodes 1 and 2 ( $W_{1}^{e}$ and $W_{3}^{e}$ respectively) and the angle of the deflection slope at nodes 1 and 2 ( $W_{2}^{e}$ and $W_{4}^{e}$ respectively). The corresponding secondary variables are the shear force at nodes 1 and 2 ( $Q_{1}^{e}$ and $Q_{3}^{e}$ respectively) and the bending moment at nodes 1 and $2\left(Q_{2}^{e}\right.$ and $Q_{4}^{e}$ respectively). At node 1 , the deflection is zero $\left(W_{1}^{e}=W_{1}=0\right)$ and the bending moment is zero $\left(Q_{2}^{e}=Q_{2}=0\right)$. At node 2, the deflection depends on the elastic properties of the support $\left(W_{3}^{e}=W_{3}=-Q_{3} L_{c} /\left(E_{c} A_{c}\right)\right.$; with $\left.Q_{3}=Q_{3}^{(e=1)}+Q_{1}^{(e=2)}=2 Q_{3}^{(e=1)}\right)$, and the slope
angle is zero $\left(W_{4}^{e}=W_{4}=0\right)$. The $4 \times 4$ system of elementary equations can be condensed into a $2 \times 2$ system of equations. After computing the integrals with Hermite interpolation polynomials, we get:

$$
\left(\frac{2 E I}{h^{3}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 h^{2} & 3 h \\
3 h & 6
\end{array}\right]-\omega^{2} \frac{\rho A h}{420}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
4 h^{2} & -13 h \\
-13 h & 156
\end{array}\right]\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
W_{2} \\
W_{3}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
-c W_{3}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In which: $h=L / 2, c=\left(E_{c} A_{c}\right) /\left(2 L_{c}\right)$. After rearranging:

$$
\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 h^{2} & 3 h \\
3 h & 6+c
\end{array}\right]-\lambda\left[\begin{array}{ll}
4 h^{2} & -13 h \\
-13 h & 156
\end{array}\right]\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
W_{2} \\
W_{3}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In which $\lambda=\omega^{2} \frac{\rho A h^{4}}{840 E I}$. We find the natural frequencies $(\omega)$ by solving the following equation for $\lambda$ :

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 h^{2} & 3 h \\
3 h & 6+c
\end{array}\right]-\lambda\left[\begin{array}{ll}
4 h^{2} & -13 h \\
-13 h & 156
\end{array}\right]\right)=0
$$

The characteristic polynomial is:

$$
455 \lambda^{2}-2(129+c) \lambda+(3+2 c)=0
$$

The two roots are positive and define eigenfrequencies:

$$
\lambda=\frac{129+c}{910} \pm \frac{1}{910} \sqrt{(129+c)^{2}-455 \times(3+2 c)}
$$

The fundamental frequency is the smallest root:

$$
\omega_{1}=\frac{129+c}{910}-\frac{1}{910} \sqrt{(129+c)^{2}-455 \times(3+2 c)}
$$

6.5 Consider the transient heat conduction problem governed by the following equation:

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=0, \quad 0<x<1
$$

with boundary conditions:

$$
u(0, t)=0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(1, t)=0
$$

and initial condition:

$$
u(x, 0)=1
$$

where u is the non-dimensionalized temperature. Discuss the stability of the FEM model for one linear element and for two linear elements.

Solution: See the solution in the next 2 pages of notes.
f) Example: problem 6.2.1.p 328

Heat Transfer Problem: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial^{2} \mu}{\partial x^{2}}=0,0<x<1 \\ \mu(0, t)=0 \\ \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x}(1, t)=0 \\ \mu(x, 0)=1\end{array}\right.$
(1) Space discretization: 1 linear element:

$$
\mu\left(x_{1} t\right) \simeq \mu_{1}(t) \Psi_{1}(x)+\mu_{2}(t) \psi_{2}(x)
$$

Weak Form with Ritz Method:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{2} \underbrace{\left[\int_{0}^{1} \psi_{i}(x) \psi_{j}(x) d x\right]}_{M_{i j}} \mu_{j j}(t)+\underbrace{\sum_{K_{i j}}^{2}\left[\frac{\int_{i}}{d x} \frac{d \psi_{j}}{d x} d x\right]}_{i=1} \underbrace{1}_{j}(t) \\
& =\underbrace{\left[\frac{\left.\psi_{i}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right]_{0}^{1}}{[1}\right.}_{Q_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

After some computation:

$$
\underbrace{\frac{1}{6}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1  \tag{*}\\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right]}_{[M]}\{\left\{\dot{\mu}_{1}, \dot{\mu}_{2}\right\}+\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]}_{[K]}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mu_{1} \\
\mu_{2}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1} \\
Q_{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

(2) Time discmetzation: $\alpha$-family of appocoination

$$
(1-2) \dot{\mu}_{s}+\alpha \dot{\mu}_{s+1}=\frac{\mu_{s+1}-\mu_{s}}{\Delta t}
$$

Writing (*) at $t=t_{s}$ and at $t=t_{s+1}$ gives:
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$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left.\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{3}+\alpha \Delta t & \frac{1}{6}-\alpha \Delta t \\
\frac{1}{6}-\alpha \Delta t & \frac{1}{3}+\alpha \Delta t
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{c}
\mu_{1}\left(r_{s+1}\right) \\
\mu_{2}\left(r_{s+1}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \\
=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{3}-(1-\alpha) \Delta t & \frac{1}{6}+(1-\alpha) \Delta t \\
\frac{1}{6}+(1-\alpha) \Delta t & \frac{1}{3}-(1-\alpha) \Delta t
\end{array}\right]\left\{\mu_{1}(t)\right. \\
\mu_{2}\left(b_{3}\right)
\end{array}\right\}+\Delta t\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha Q_{1 s+1}+(1-\alpha)\left(Q_{1 s}\right. \\
\alpha Q_{2}+(1-\alpha) Q_{2 s}
\end{array}\right\}\right] .
$$

(3) Time Marading Scheme

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (3) Time Marduing Scheme } \\
& \text { Boundony Conditions }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mu_{1}\left(t_{s}\right)=\mu_{1}\left(t_{s+1}\right)=0 \\
Q_{2}\left(t_{s}\right)=Q_{2}\left(t_{s+1}\right)=0
\end{array} \quad\left(\frac{1}{3}+\alpha \Delta t\right) \mu_{2}\left(t_{s+1}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{3}-(1-\alpha) \Delta t\right) \mu_{2}\left(t_{s}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ possible to solve by reconrence if we know $\mu(t)$.
Initial condtion: $\mu(x, 0) \simeq \underbrace{u_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)}_{0} \psi_{1}(x)+\mu_{2}\left(t_{0}\right) \psi_{2}(x)=1$
At $\left.\left.x=x_{2} \because \mu_{\left(x_{2}\right.}, 0\right)=\mu_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=1 \Rightarrow \mu_{2} \mid t\right)=1$
(4) Stabillty: if $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ ) the apprixination shame is canditionally stable $\Rightarrow$ necessany to Find Dtcrit.

$$
([K]-\lambda[M])\{\mu\}=\{Q\}
$$

mixed b.c. only
$U_{1}=0, Q_{2}=0$ Herefore:
$k_{22} \mu_{2}-\lambda M_{22} \mu_{2}=0 \Rightarrow \mu_{2}-\frac{\lambda}{3} \mu_{2}=0 \Rightarrow \lambda=3$ Fon a porn-
If $\alpha=0$ (Forwand differonce scheme):

$$
\Delta t<\Delta t_{\text {cnit }}=\frac{2}{(1-2 \alpha) \lambda_{\text {max }}}=\frac{2}{3} \Rightarrow \Delta \Delta_{\text {cuit }} \simeq 0.667 \mathrm{~s}
$$

6.6 We wish to determine the transverse motion of a beam clamped at both ends and subjected to an initial deflection, by using Euler-Bernouilli theory. The governing equation is:

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{4}}=0, \quad 0<x<1
$$

with the following boundary conditions:

$$
w(0, t)=0, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(0, t)=0, \quad w(1, t)=0, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(1, t)=0
$$

and the following initial conditions:

$$
w(x, 0)=\sin \pi x-\pi x(1-x), \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(x, 0)=0
$$

Establish a stability criterion with the lowest number of Euler-Bernouilli beam elements possible.

Solution: See the following 5 figures.

We wish to determine the transverse motion of a beam clamped at both ends and subjected to initial deflection using EBT and TBT. The governing equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{4}}=0, \text { for } 0<x<1=0, \\
& \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(0, t)=0, w(1, t)=0, \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(1, t)=0 \\
& w(x, 0)=\sin \pi x-\pi x(1-x), \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(x, 0)=0 \tag{6.2.53c}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the initial deflection of the beam is consistent with the boundary conditions. The initial slope is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(x, 0)=-\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)_{t=0}=-\pi \cos \pi x+\pi(1-2 x) \tag{6.2.53d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of symmetry about $x=0.5$ (center of the beam), we consider only a half span of the beam for finite element modeling. Here we use the first half of the beam, $0 \leq x \leq 0.5$, as the
compuational The semidiscretized finite element model of a typical element is $\frac{h_{e}}{420}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}156 & -22 h_{e} & 54 & 13 h_{e} \\ -22 h_{e} & 4 h_{e}^{2} & -13 h_{e} & -3 h_{e}^{2} \\ 54 & -13 h_{e} & 156 & 22 h_{e} \\ 13 h_{e} & -3 h_{e}^{2} & 22 h_{e} & 4 h_{e}^{2}\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}\ddot{\Delta}_{i}^{e} \\ \ddot{\Delta}_{2}^{e} \\ \ddot{\Delta}_{3}^{e} \\ \ddot{\Delta}_{4}^{e}\end{array}\right\}+\frac{2}{h_{e}^{3}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}6 & -3 h_{e} & -6 & -3 h_{e} \\ -3 h_{e} & 2 h_{e}^{2} & 3 h_{e} & h_{e}^{2} \\ -6 & 3 h_{e} & 6 & 3 h_{e} \\ -3 h_{e} & h_{e}^{2} & 3 h_{e} & 2 h_{e}^{2}\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}\Delta_{1}^{e} \\ \Delta_{2}^{e} \\ \Delta_{3}^{e} \\ \Delta_{4}^{e}\end{array}\right\}$

$$
=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1}^{e} \\
Q_{2}^{e} \\
Q_{3}^{e} \\
Q_{4}^{e}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We begin with a one-element mesh with the Euler-Bernoulli beam element. The semidiscretized model is
$\frac{h}{420}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}156 & -22 h & 54 & 13 h \\ -22 h & 4 h^{2} & -13 h & -3 h^{2} \\ 54 & -13 h & 156 & 22 h \\ 13 h & -3 h^{2} & 22 h & 4 h^{2}\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}\ddot{U}_{1} \\ \ddot{U}_{2} \\ \ddot{U}_{3} \\ \ddot{U}_{4}\end{array}\right\}+\frac{2}{h^{3}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}6 & -3 h & -6 & -3 h \\ -3 h & 2 h^{2} & 3 h & h^{2} \\ -6 & 3 h & 6 & 3 h \\ -3 h & h^{2} & 3 h & 2 h^{2}\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}U_{1} \\ U_{2} \\ U_{3} \\ U_{4}\end{array}\right\}$

$$
=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1}^{1} \\
Q_{2}^{1} \\
Q_{3}^{1} \\
Q_{4}^{1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The boundary conditions for the one-element model translate into

$$
U_{1}=0, \quad U_{2}=0, \quad U_{4}=0, \quad Q_{3}^{1}=0 \quad \text { for all } t>0
$$

The initial conditions can be computed from $(6.2 .53 c)$ and $(6.2 .53 d)$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{llll}
U_{1}=0, & U_{2}=0, & U_{3}=0.2146, & U_{4}=0 \\
\dot{U}_{1}=0, & \dot{U}_{2}=0, & \dot{U}_{3}=0 & \dot{U}_{4}=0
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { for } t=0
$$

The condensed equation of the time marching scheme for this case takes the form

$$
\left(K_{33}+a_{3} M_{33}\right)\left(U_{3}\right)_{s+1}=\left(\hat{F}_{3}\right)_{s, s+1} \equiv M_{33}\left(a_{3} U_{3}+a_{4} \dot{U}_{3}+a_{5} \ddot{U}_{3}\right)_{s}, s=0,1, \ldots
$$

where $a_{3}, a_{4}$, and $a_{5}$ are defined in (6.2.40). The second derivative $\ddot{U}_{3}$ for time $t=0$ (i.e., when $s=0$ ) is computed from the equation of motion:

$$
\left(\ddot{U}_{3}\right)_{0}=-\frac{K_{33}\left(U_{3}\right)_{0}}{M_{33}}=-\left(\frac{12}{h^{3}} \times 0.2146\right) \frac{420}{156 h}=-110.932
$$

For $\gamma<\frac{1}{2}$, we must compute the critical time step $\Delta t_{\text {cri }}$, which depends on the square of maximum natural frequency of the beam [see Eq. (6.2.31)]. For the present model, $\omega_{\max }$ is computed from the eigenvalue problem

$$
\left(K_{33}-\omega^{2} M_{33}\right) U_{3}=0 \quad \text { or } \omega^{2}=K_{33} / M_{33}=516.923
$$

Hence, the critical time step for $\alpha=0.5$ and $\gamma=\frac{1}{3}$ (i.e., the linear acceleration scheme) is

$$
\Delta t_{\mathrm{cri}}=\sqrt{12} / \omega_{\max }=0.15236
$$

Although there is no restriction on time integration schemes with $\alpha=0.5$ and $\gamma>0.5$, the critical time step provides an estimate of the time step to be used to obtain transient solution,
Figure 6.25 shows plots of $w(0.5, t)$ versus time for the scheme with $\alpha=0.5$ and Three different time steps, $\Delta t=0.175,0.150$, and 0.05 , are used to illustrate the accuracy. $\mathrm{F}_{0 \text { or }}^{3}$. $\Delta t=0.175>\Delta t_{\text {cri }}$, the solution is unstable, whereas for $\Delta t<\Delta t_{\text {cri, }}$, it is stable but inaccurate, The period of the solution is given by

$$
T=2 \pi / \omega=0.27635
$$

For two- and four-element meshes of Euler-Bernoulli elements, the critical time steps are (details are not presented here)

$$
\left(\Delta t_{\mathrm{cri}}\right)_{2}=0.00897, \quad\left(\Delta t_{\mathrm{cri}}\right)_{4}=0.00135
$$

where the subscripts refer to the number of elements in the mesh. The transverse deflection obtained with the one and two Euler-Bernoulli elements $(\Delta t=0.005)$ in half beam for a complete period $(0,0.28)$ are shown in Fig. 6.2.6.

The problem can also be analyzed using the Timoshenko beam element (RIE). In writing the governing equations [see $(6.1 .43 a)$ and $(6.1 .43 b)$ ] of the TBT as they apply to the present problem, we first identify the coefficients $G A K_{s}, E I, \rho A$, and $\rho I$ consistent with those in the differential equation $(6.2 .53 a)$. We have $E I=1.0$ and $\rho A=1.0$. Then $G A K_{s}$ can be computed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G A K_{s}=\frac{E}{2(1+v)} B H K_{s}=\frac{E I}{2(1+v)} \frac{12}{H^{2}} \frac{5}{6}=\frac{4}{H^{2}} E I \tag{6.2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 6.2.5 Center deflection $w(0.5, t)$ versus time $t$ for a clamped beam.


Figure 6.2.6 Center transverse deflection versus time for a clamped beam subjected to an initial transverse deflection $(\Delta t=0.005, \alpha=0.5$, and $\gamma=0.5)$.
where $B$ is the width and $H$ the height of the beam, and $I=\frac{1}{12} B H^{3}, v=0.25$, and $K_{s}=\frac{5}{6}$ are used in arriving at the last expression. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho I=\rho \frac{1}{12} B H^{3}=\frac{1}{12} \rho A H^{2} \tag{6.2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the governing equations of the TBT for the problem at hand are

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}-\frac{4}{H^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}+\Psi\right)=0 \\
\frac{H^{2}}{12} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial t^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{4}{H^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}+\Psi\right)=0 \tag{6.2.56b}
\end{array}
$$

The values of $w(0.5, t)$ as obtained using the Euler-Bernoulli and Timoshenko elements (both elements include the rotary inertia) for various numbers of elements are presented in Table 6.2.2. The time step is taken to be $\Delta t=0.005$, which is smaller than the critical time step of the two-element mesh of the Euler-Bernoulli beam element when $\gamma=\frac{1}{3}$. Plots of $w(0.5, t)$ obtained with two and four linear Timoshenko beam elements, two quadratic Timoshenko beam elements for $L / H=100$ (since $L=1.0$, we take $H=0.01$; for $L / H=100$ the shear deformation effect is negligible) along with the two-element solution of the Euler-Bernoulli beam element are shown in Fig. 6.2.7. The two linear element mesh of TBT beam elements predicts transient response that differs significantly from the EBT solution, and the TBT solution converges to the EBT solution as the number of elements or the degree of approximation is increased. Should we use conditionally stable schemes, it can be shown that the Timoshenko beam element requires larger $\Delta t_{\text {cri }}$ than the Euler-Bernoulli beam element. This is because, as $L / H$ is decreased, the $\omega_{\max }$ predicted by the TBT is smaller than that predicted by the EBT.

Table 6.2.2 Effect of mesh on the transient response of a beam clamped at both ends ( $\Delta t=$
0.005 ).

|  | EBT elements |  |  |  |  | TBT elements*$\alpha=0.5, \gamma=0.5$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\alpha=0.5, \gamma=1 / 3$ |  | $\alpha=0.5, \gamma=0.5$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time $t$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2L | 4L | 2 Q |
| 0.00 | 0.2146 | 0.2146 | 0.2146 | 0.2146 | 0.2146 | 0.2146 | 0.2146 |  |
| $0.01$ | $0.2091$ | 0.2098 | 0.2091 | 0.2098 | $0.2098$ | $0.2124$ | $0.2099$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2146 \\ & 0.2116 \end{aligned}$ |
| 0.02 | 0.1928 | 0.1951 | $0.1928$ | 0.1951 | $0.1951$ | $0.1938$ | $0.1936$ | $0.1951$ |
| 0.03 | 0.1666 | 0.1696 | 0.1667 | 0.1696 | 0.1698 | 0.1550 | 0.1686 | 0.1690 |
| 0.04 | 0.1319 | 0.1346 | 0.1320 | 0.1348 | 0.1350 | 0.1145 | 0.1374 | 0.1427 |
| 0.05 | 0.0904 | 0.0930 | 0.0905 | 0.0931 | 0.0935 | 0.0695 | 0.0980 | $0.1067$ |
| 0.06 | 0.0442 | 0.0481 | 0.0443 | 0.0482 | 0.0483 | 0.0093 | 0.0073 | 0.0657 |
| 0.07 | -0.0043 | 0.0014 | $-0.0041$ | 0.0014 | 0.0018 | -0.0467 | -0.0403 | 0.0234 |
| 0.08 | -0.0525 | $-0.0462$ | $-0.0523$ | -0.0459 | $-0.0455$ | $-0.0917$ | $-0.0844$ | -0.0267 |
| 0.09 | -0.0980 | -0.0926 | $-0.0978$ | -0.0923 | -0.0916 | -0.1422 | -0.1254 | -0.0706 |
| 0.10 | -0.1385 | -0.1345 | -0.1383 | $-0.1342$ | -0.1336 | -0.1833 | -0.1588 | -0.1100 |
| 0.11 | -0.1719 | -0.1685 | $-0.1717$ | -0.1685 | -0.1682 | -0.2010 | -0.1875 | -0.1461 |
| 0.12 | -0.1964 | -0.1933 | $-0.1963$ | -0.1933 | -0.1932 | -0.2154 | -0.2069 | -0.1717 |
| 0.13 | -0.2108 | $-0.2088$ | $-0.2108$ | -0.2088 | -0.2087 | -0.2205 | -0.2063 | -0.1969 |
| 0.14 | -0.2144 | $-0.2153$ | -0.2144 | $-0.2150$ | -0.2148 | -0.1986 | -0.2138 | -0.2110 |
| 0.15 | -0.2070 | $-0.2113$ | $-0.2071$ | -0.2112 | -0.2111 | -0.1696 | $-0.2134$ | -0.2146 |

* $2 \mathrm{~L}=$ two linear elements; $4 \mathrm{~L}=$ four linear elements; $2 \mathrm{Q}=$ two quadratic elements.


Figure 6.2.7 Transient response of a beam clamped at both ends, according to the TBT and EBT $(E I=1, \rho A=1, H=0.01, \Delta t=0.005, \alpha=0.5$, and $\gamma=0.5)$.
6.7 Establish a stability criterion for the 1D consolidation problem. Assume that there is drainage at the top and and that the bottom boundary is impermeable.

## Solution:

The 1D consolidation equation is:

$$
\frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial t}=c_{v} \frac{\partial^{2} p_{w}}{\partial z^{2}}, \quad c_{v}=\frac{k_{w}}{\mu_{w}\left(S+\alpha^{2} m_{v}\right)}
$$

For an element $] z_{a}, z_{b}[$, the weak formulation of the problem is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall w(z) \sim \delta_{z} p_{w}, \\
& \int_{z_{a}}^{z_{b}} w(z) \dot{p}_{w} d z+\int_{z_{a}}^{z_{b}} c_{v} \frac{d w(z)}{d z} \frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial z} d z=[w(z) \frac{1}{\rho_{w}\left(S+\alpha^{2} m_{v}\right)} \underbrace{k_{w} \frac{\rho_{w}}{\mu_{w}} \frac{\partial p_{w}}{\partial z}}_{-\widehat{q}(z)}]_{z_{a}}^{z_{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Ritz method, with linear element:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall i=1,2 \\
& \left.\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(\int_{z_{a}}^{z_{b}} \Psi_{i}(z) \Psi_{j}(z) d z\right) \dot{p}_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(\int_{z_{a}}^{z_{b}} c_{v} \frac{d \Psi_{i}}{d z} \frac{d \Psi_{j}}{d z} d z\right) p_{j}=-\left[\Psi_{i}(z) \frac{\widehat{q}(z)}{\rho_{w}\left(S+\alpha^{2} m_{v}\right)}\right)\right]_{z_{a}}^{z_{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In a matrix form:

$$
\left[H^{e}\right]\{p\}+\left[S^{e}\right]\{\dot{p}\}=\left\{Q^{e}\right\}
$$

with:

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{i j}^{e}=\int_{z_{a}}^{z_{b}} c_{v} \frac{d \Psi_{i}}{d z} \frac{d \Psi_{j}}{d z} d z \\
S_{i j}^{e}=\int_{z_{a}}^{z_{b}} \Psi_{i}(z) \Psi_{j}(z) d z \\
\left.Q_{i}^{e}=-\left[\Psi_{i}(z) \frac{\widehat{q}(z)}{\rho_{w}\left(S+\alpha^{2} m_{v}\right)}\right)\right]_{z_{a}}^{z_{b}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The primary variable is equal to zero at the first node, at $z=h$. Therefore, the first row and the first column of the assembled stiffness matrix will vanish after condensation. According to the boundary conditions, the seconday variable is zero at the last node, at $z=0$. According to the continuity conditions, the seconday variable is zero at the intermediate nodes. So that after space discretization and condensation, the Finite Element matrix equation is:

$$
[H]\{p\}+[S]\{\dot{p}\}=\{0\}
$$

The governing equation is parabolic, therefore we use an alpha-family of approximation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p_{w}\right)_{n+\theta} & =(1-\theta) \times\left(p_{w}\right)_{n}+\theta \times\left(p_{w}\right)_{n+1} \\
\left(\dot{p}_{w}\right)_{n+\theta} & =\frac{\left(p_{w}\right)_{n+1}-\left(p_{w}\right)_{n}}{\Delta t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, at time $t_{n+\theta}$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta t[H]\{p\}_{n+\theta}+\Delta t[S]\{\dot{p}\}_{n+\theta}=\{0\} \\
(\theta \Delta t[H]+[S])\{p\}_{n+1}=((\theta-1) \Delta t[H]+[S])\{p\}_{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

$[S]$ is invertible, therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left([I d]+\theta \Delta t[S]^{-1}[H]\right)\{p\}_{n+1}=\left([I d]+(\theta-1) \Delta t[S]^{-1}[H]\right)\{p\}_{n} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce $\lambda_{j}$ and $\left\{X_{j}\right\}$, the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the operator $[S]^{-1}[H]$, respectively. We have:

$$
\forall j, \quad[S]^{-1}[H]\left\{X_{j}\right\}=\lambda_{j}\left\{X_{j}\right\}
$$

Multiplying both sides of Equation 6.1 by $\left\{X_{j}\right\}$, we thus get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left\{X_{j}\right\}+\theta \Delta t \lambda_{j}\left\{X_{j}\right\}\right)\{p\}_{n+1}=\left(\left\{X_{j}\right\}+(\theta-1) \Delta t \lambda_{j}\left\{X_{j}\right\}\right)\{p\}_{n} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now multiplying both sides of Equation 6.2 by $\left\{X_{j}\right\}^{T} /\left\|\left\{X_{j}\right\}\right\|^{2}$, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\theta \Delta t \lambda_{j}\right)\{p\}_{n+1}=\left(1+(\theta-1) \Delta t \lambda_{j}\right)\{p\}_{n} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equation 6.3, we see that the FEM model is stable if and only if:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1+(\theta-1) \Delta t \mu_{j}}{1+\theta \Delta t \mu_{j}}\right|<1 \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For linear elements:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{1}^{e}(z)=1-\frac{z}{h_{e}}, \quad \Psi_{2}^{e}(z)=\frac{z}{h_{e}} \\
{\left[H^{e}\right]=\frac{c_{v}}{h_{e}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]} \\
{\left[S^{e}\right]=\frac{h_{e}}{6}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

We find:

$$
\left[S^{e}\right]^{-1}\left[H^{e}\right]=\frac{6 c_{v}}{\left(h_{e}\right)^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

We solve the following characteristic equation to cal the eigenvalues of $\left[S^{e}\right]^{-1}\left[H^{e}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{det}\left([I d]-\mu_{j}\left[S^{e}\right]^{-1}\left[H^{e}\right]\right)=0 \\
\left(\frac{6 c_{v}}{\left(h_{e}\right)^{2}}-\mu_{j}\right)^{2}-\left(-\frac{-6 c_{v}}{\left(h_{e}\right)^{2}}\right)^{2}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

The eigenvalues are:

$$
\mu_{1}=\frac{12 c_{v}}{\left(h_{e}\right)^{2}}, \quad \mu_{2}=0
$$

$\mu_{2}=0$ leads to the trivial solution for $\left\{X_{j}\right\}$, so for the non trivial solution, the stability criterion is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\left(h_{e}\right)^{2}+12 c_{v}(\theta-1) \Delta t}{\left(h_{e}\right)^{2}+12 c_{v} \theta \Delta t \mu_{j}}\right|<1 \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left(h_{e}\right)^{2}+12 c_{v}(\theta-1) \Delta t>0$, then the FEM model is unconditionnally stable. Otherwise, the stability criterion is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(h_{e}\right)^{2}}{6 c_{v} \Delta t}>1-2 \theta \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 6.6 is lways satisfied if $\theta \geq 0.5$. The FEM model is conditionally stable otherwise. One can see that the stability of the FEM depends on the time of the time step relative to the element size.
6.8 Dual boundary conditions occur in flow problems in which a pressurized fluid reservoir is in contact with the domain under study:

- If the pore pressure at the boundary is more tensile (i.e. lower) than a prescribed value $p_{*}$, then one needs to apply a fluid flow $\bar{q}_{n}$ at the boundary.
- If the pore pressure at the boundary is more compressive (i.e. greater) than the prescribed value $p_{*}$, then one needs to impose the pore pressure $p_{*}$ at all nodes of the boundary.

Figure 6.2 on the left hand-side shows the example of ponding, for which such boundary conditions are necessary. Explain how you would use the dual boundary condition for a problem of rainfall (Figure 6.2 on the right hand-side) and for a problem of tunnel excavation in a water saturated rock mass (Figure 6.3).


Figure 6.2 Problems in which a dual boundary condition is needed: ponding (left) and rainfall (right).


Figure 6.3 Problem in which a dual boundary condition is needed: tunnel excavation.

## Solution:

For the rainfall problem:

- If the soil is of sufficient permeability and/or the rainfall intensity is small, the soil can adsorb the rainfall water so a flow boundary condition should be applied. Initially, a water pressure is imposed at the boundary with $p_{i}<p_{*}$, so it is necessary to impose a rainfall flow $\bar{q}_{n}$.
- If the soil is less permeable and/or the the rainfall intensity is high, the soil will not be able to absorb the rainfall water so ponding happens at the surface and a water pressure boundary condition needs to be applied. Pore pressure builds up in the soil; when water pressure at the boundary exceeds the given limit $\left(p_{i}>p_{*}\right)$, then it is necessary to impose a pore pressure $p_{*}$ at the boundary.

For the tunnel problem:

- Just after excavation, $p=0$ (permeable tunnel wall). Fluid pressure in the rock mass is tensile $(p<0)$ therefore a water flow condition is the most appropriate in the short term: $p<p_{*}=0$, impose $\bar{q}_{n}=0$ (no flow from tunnel to rock mass).
- In the long term, pore pressure in the rock mass becomes more compressive. When $p \geq p_{*}=0$ at the boundary, it is more appropriate to impose the pore water boundary condition $p_{*}=0$ at the tunnel wall (water drainage).
- At intermediate times between the short and long terms, some nodes are subjected to a flow b.c. while others are subjected to a pressure b.c.
6.9 Consider a triaxial compression test performed on a water-saturated soil specimen, as shown in Figure 6.4. The experiment is undrained, and axis-symmetry is assumed.The soil is assumed to be linear elastic. Biot's hydro-mechanical constitutive relationships hold.
- Write the strong formulation of the problem (introduce as many constitutive parameters as necessary).
- Write the weak formulation of the problem (in cylindrical coordinates).
- The specimen is modeled with three rectangular elements, as shown in Figure 6.4. Calculate the elementary stiffness of each element, assuming that the displacement field is interpolated with quadratic polynomials, and the pore pressure field is interpolated with linear polynomials.
- Assemble the elementary equations established in question 2 above.
- Discretize the assembled equations in time. Provide the conditions in which the time marching scheme is stable.
- Introduce the boundary conditions in the Finite Element equations, condense the system of equations if possible, and solve it for the primary variables.
- Post-process the secondary variables.


Figure 6.4 Finite Element model of undrained triaxial compression test performed on watersaturated soil

## Solution:

6.10 Consider an oedometer test performed on a water-saturated soil specimen, as shown in Figure 6.5. The specimen is drained at the bottom and it is studied in plane strain. The soil is assumed to be linear elastic. Biot's hydro-mechanical constitutive relationships hold.

- Write the strong formulation of the problem (introduce as many constitutive parameters as necessary).
- Write the weak formulation of the problem.
- The specimen is modeled with two triangular elements, as shown in Figure 6.5. Calculate the elementary stiffness of each element, assuming that the displacement field is interpolated with quadratic polynomials, and the pore pressure field is interpolated with linear polynomials.
- Assemble the elementary equations established in question 2 above.
- Discretize the assembled equations in time. Provide the conditions in which the time marching scheme is stable.
- Introduce the boundary conditions in the Finite Element equations, condense the system of equations if possible, and solve it for the primary variables.
- Post-process the secondary variables.


## Solution:



Figure 6.5 Finite Element model of drained oedometric test performed on water-saturated soil
6.11 Consider an oedometer test performed on a partially saturated soil specimen, as shown in Figure 6.6. The specimen is drained at the bottom and it is studied in plane strain. The soil is assumed to be linear elastic.

- Write the strong formulation of the problem (introduce as many constitutive parameters as necessary).
- Write the weak formulation of the problem.
- The specimen is modeled with two triangular elements, as shown in Figure 6.6. Calculate the elementary stiffness of each element, assuming that the displacement field is interpolated with quadratic polynomials, and the pore pressure fields is interpolated with linear polynomials.
- Assemble the elementary equations established in question 2 above.
- Discretize the assembled equations in time. Provide the conditions in which the time marching scheme is stable.
- Introduce the boundary conditions in the Finite Element equations, condense the system of equations if possible, and solve it for the primary variables.
- Post-process the secondary variables.


## Solution:

6.12 We use the FEM to compare two one-dimensional consolidation experiments (see the column of soil in Figure 6.7):

1. $S_{w 0}=0.92$ (homogeneous initial partial saturation) with a capillary pressure - water saturation curve independent of temperature;
2. $S_{w 0}=0.92$ (homogeneous initial partial saturation), with a capillary pressure - water saturation curve that depends on temperature.


Figure 6.6 Finite Element model of drained oedometric test performed on partially saturated soil

A Brooks \& Corey relationship is assumed between the capillary pressure, the saturation degree and the relative permeability. The solid grains and the water are assumed to be incompressible. The boundary conditions are the following: no lateral displacement or heat flux on lateral boundaries; at the top: uniform stress, $\mathrm{T}=343.15 \mathrm{~K}, p_{g}=p_{a t m}$ and $p_{c}$ chosen to ensure $S_{w}=0.92$; no vertical displacement and no heat flux on the bottom boundary. The same results were obtained with 9 and 188 -noded isoparametric elements with a $3 \times 3$ Gaussian integration scheme. The time step was 0.01 days for the first 100 steps, and then the time step was multiplied by 10 every 100 time steps, until $10^{7}$ days elapsed. Comment on the results obtained in Figures 6.8-6.11 (in particular, explain the difference between the profiles obtained in the saturated and unsaturated cases).


Figure 6.7 Unsaturated soil consolidation under non isothermal conditions

## Solution:

Figure 6.8: Resulting temperature profiles are similar in both cases and are similar to the temperature profile obtained in saturated conditions. This is due to the identical averaged thermal conductivity and relatively high thermal capacity assumed in the saturated case.
Figure 6.9: In saturated cases, samples exhibit an initial period of no-deformation, followed by settlements, followed by heave. The latter phase is due to the thermal dilation of the solid and liquid components of the soil sample. Unsaturated samples exhibit immediate settlements due to gas expulsion, a first period of no settlement, followed by heave. The absence of settlements between the no-deformation and heave phases suggests that the remaining gas, subjected to a high temperature and confined in a finite volume, reached the


Figure 6.8 Unsaturated consolidation: temperature profiles


Comparison between the saturated case (solid lines) and the second partially saturated case (chain dots): heavy lines are the present solution; light lines are the solution of Schrefler et al. [22]. The numbers on the curves are the nodal points selected within the mesh

Figure 6.9 Unsaturated consolidation: displacement profiles, comparison between saturated and unsaturated cases


Figure 6.10 Unsaturated consolidation: water retention curves


Figure 6.11 Unsaturated consolidation: displacement profiles, comparison between the two unsaturated models (WRC that depends/does not depend on temperature)
pressure of condensation $(p V=n R T)$. We note that if phase changes are not accounted for (dash lines), immediate settlements are followed by a brief consolidation process before stabilizing in the phase of no-deformation followed by heave. That means that if condensation is not accounted for in the model, the increase of pore pressure induces a liquid outflow.
Figure 6.10: These two last observations are confirmed by the curves that show the time evolution of the degree of saturation and of the capillary pressure. In both models, the degree of saturation increases during the no-deformation phase, i.e. at constant volume. Thus the liquid contents increases, indicating condensation. Heave correlates with a decrease of the degree of saturation: fluids occupy more space and the gas fraction increases, indicating evaporation. In the first model, the capillary pressure solely depends on the degree of saturation, thus the capillary pressure decreases during the no-deformation phase and increases during the heave phase. In the second model, the capillary pressure depends also on temperature. As temperature increases, the vapor content of the air-vapor mixture increases and the contact angle between the wetting phase (liquid) and the non-wetting phase (gas mixture) decreases. According to Laplace Law, that corresponds to a decrease of capillary pressure. As a result, in the second model, the capillary pressure decreases during the no-deformation phase (condensation) and remains constant during the heave phase (evaporation).
Figure 6.11: There are more pronounced phase changes in the capillary pressure- saturation model that depends on temperature. The evolution of capillary pressure follows that of temperature. In the first model, the capillary pressure is higher, thus the compressibility of the sample is lower, and therefore the predicted final settlements are lower than with the second model.

### 6.13 Homework 4 - Problem 4

Consider the problem of determining the temperature distribution of a solid cylinder, initially at a uniform temperature $T_{0}$ and cooled in a medium of zero temperature (i.e., $T_{\infty}=$ 0 ). The governing equation of the problem is:

$$
\rho c \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r k \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}\right)=0, \quad 0<r<R
$$

The boundary conditions are:

$$
\frac{\partial T}{\partial r}(0, t)=0, \quad\left(r k \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}+\beta T\right)_{r=R}=0
$$

The initial conditions are $T(r, t)=T_{0}$. Determine the pressure distribution $T(r, t)$ using one linear finite element. Take $R=2.5 \mathrm{~cm}, T_{0}=130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, k=215 \mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \beta=$ $525 \mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{o} \mathrm{C}\right), \rho=2700 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, and $c=0.9 \mathrm{~kJ} /\left(\mathrm{kg}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. What is the heat loss at the surface?

Solution: The FE model is given by:

$$
\left[M^{e}\right]\left\{\dot{u}^{e}\right\}+\left[K^{e}\right]\left\{u^{e}\right\}=\left\{Q^{e}\right\}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{i j}^{e} & =2 \pi \int_{r_{A}}^{r_{B}} r k \frac{d \psi_{i}}{d r} \frac{d \psi_{j}}{d r} d r \\
M_{i j}^{e} & =2 \pi \int r_{A}^{r_{B}} \rho c r \psi_{i}(r) \psi_{j}(r) d r
\end{aligned}
$$

The matrices $\left[K^{e}\right]$ and $\left[M^{e}\right]$ for a linear element can be expressed by developping the expressions of the linear interpolation polynomials $\psi_{i}$. For [ $\left.M^{e}\right]$ in particular:

$$
\left[M^{e}\right]=\frac{2 \pi \rho c h}{12}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
h+4 r_{A} & h+2 r_{A} \\
h+2 r_{A} & 3 h+4 r_{A}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The boundary conditions are: $Q_{1}^{1}=0$ and $Q_{2}^{1}=-2 \pi \beta U_{2}$. The one element mesh ( $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{R}$ ) gives the equations ( $r_{A}=0$ since we have only one element):

$$
\pi k\left[\begin{array}{ll}
+1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1+2 \beta
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U_{1} \\
U_{2}
\end{array}\right\}+\frac{2 \pi \rho c h}{12}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
h & h \\
h & 3 h
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\dot{U}_{1} \\
\dot{U}_{2}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

From there, use the $\alpha$-family of approximation to establish the time marching scheme. The degrees of freedom can be found by recurrence, knowing the initial temperature state of the rod. The heat loss at the surface is $Q_{2}=-\beta T_{2}$, in which $T_{2}$ was calculated previously as part of the degrees of freedom.

### 6.14 Homework 4 - Problem 5

We consider a cylindrical soil sample, of radius $a$, enclosed between two stiff horizontal plates. The soil sample is supposed to be surrounded by a drainage layer around it, and an impermeable membrane surrounding the drainage layer, so that the radial pressure can be transmitted to the sample, and drainage occurs to the outer boundary. The sample is subjected to a uniform radial pressure of magnitude $q$ at the drained outer boundary. The problem is axis-symmetric. The momentum balance equation in the radial direction is:

$$
\frac{\partial \sigma_{r r}}{\partial r}+\frac{\sigma_{r r}-\sigma_{\theta \theta}}{r}=0
$$

The fluid mass conservation equation is:

$$
\alpha \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial t}+S \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}=\frac{k}{\gamma_{f}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} p}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}\right)
$$

in which $\epsilon$ is the volumetric strain, $p$ is the fluid pore pressure, $\alpha$ is Biot's coefficient, $k$ is the coefficient of permeability, $\gamma_{f}$ is the volumetric weight of the pore fluid and S is the storativity, defined as:

$$
S=\frac{n}{K_{f}}+\frac{\alpha-n}{K_{s}}
$$

in which $n$ is the porosity, $K_{f}$ is the bulk modulus of the fluid, and $K_{s}$ is the bulk modulus of the solid phase. We assume the following stress-strain constitutive relationship:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{r r}-\alpha p & =-\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right) \epsilon-2 G \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \\
\sigma_{\theta \theta}-\alpha p & =-\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right) \epsilon-2 G \frac{u}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

in which $u$ is the radial displacement field in the solid phase, $K$ is the bulk modulus of the porous medium, and $G$ is the shear modulus of the porous medium. Moreover we assume that fluid flow is governed by Darcy's law:

$$
\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{f}}=-\frac{k}{\mu_{f}} \nabla p
$$

in which $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{f}}$ is the flux per unit area ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ), and $\mu_{f}$ is the fluid dynamic viscosity (Pa.s).

1. Show that the equation of equilibrium can be expressed in terms of the volume strain as:

$$
\left(K+\frac{4}{3} G\right) \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial r}=\alpha \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}
$$

2. Write the weak formulation of the problem.
3. Discretize the weak form in space, and provide the expression of the matrix and vector coefficients of the following Finite Element equation:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
K_{e} & -Q \\
0 & H
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u \\
p
\end{array}\right\}+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
Q^{T} & S
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\dot{u} \\
\dot{p}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{u} \\
f_{p}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

4. Discretize the equation above in time and explain how to ensure the stability of the numerical scheme (do not solve the associated eigenvalue problems).

## Solution:

1. We combine the momentum balance equation:

$$
\frac{\partial \sigma_{r r}}{\partial r}+\frac{\sigma_{r r}-\sigma_{\theta \theta}}{r}=0
$$

and the stress/strain constitutive relationship:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{r r}-\alpha p & =-\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right) \epsilon-2 G \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \\
\sigma_{\theta \theta}-\alpha p & =-\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right) \epsilon-2 G \frac{u}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}-\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right) \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial r}-2 G \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial r^{2}}-2 G \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+2 G \frac{u}{r^{2}}=0 \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover the volumetric strain in cylindrical coordinates is:

$$
\epsilon=\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\frac{u}{r}
$$

which yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial r}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{u}{r^{2}} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combination of equations 6.7 and 6.8 provides:

$$
\alpha \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}=\left(K-\frac{2}{3} G\right) \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial r}+2 G \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial r}
$$

And we conclude that the equation of equilibrium can be rewritten as:

$$
\alpha \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}=\left(K+\frac{4}{3} G\right) \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial r}
$$

2. We consider a weight function $\mathbf{w} \sim \Delta \mathbf{u}$ (vector) and a weight function $w * \sim \Delta p$ (scalar). The mechanical equation of equilibrium, combined with the stress-strain relationship, provides the following equation:

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{e}}: \epsilon\right)+\alpha \operatorname{div}(p \delta)=0
$$

in which $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{e}}$ is the fourth-order elasticity tensor, $\epsilon$ is the second-order deformation tensor and $\delta$ second-order identity tensor. The first equation of the weak formulation is obtained by multiplying equation 2 by $\mathbf{w}$, integrating over the domain of study $\Omega_{t}$ and performing an integration by parts to balance the orders of the differential operators between $\mathbf{w}$ and $\mathbf{u}$. We obtain:

$$
\forall \mathbf{w} \sim \Delta \mathbf{u}, \quad \int_{\Omega_{t}} \nabla \mathbf{w}:\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{e}}: \nabla \mathbf{u}\right) d V+\int_{\Omega_{t}} \alpha \nabla \mathbf{w}: \delta p d V=\int_{\Gamma_{f}} \mathbf{w} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{t}} d S
$$

in which it is assumed that an essential boundary condition is imposed over the entire boundary of the domain except on the portion $\Gamma_{f}$. To obtain the second equation of the weak formulation, we multiply the fluid mass balance equation by $w *$, integrate over the domain of study $\Omega_{t}$ and perform integration by parts as needed. We obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall w * \sim \Delta p, \quad \int_{\Omega_{t}} \frac{k}{\gamma_{f}} \nabla(w *) \cdot \nabla p d V-\int_{\Omega_{t}} \alpha(w *) \delta: \nabla(\dot{\mathbf{u}}) d V \\
& -\int_{\Omega_{t}}\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{K_{s}}+\frac{n}{K_{f}}\right)(w *) \dot{p} d V=-\int_{\Gamma_{q}}(w *) \frac{\mu_{f}}{\gamma_{f}} q_{f} d S
\end{aligned}
$$

in which it is assumed that an essential boundary condition is imposed over the entire boundary of the domain except on the portion $\Gamma_{q}$.
3. After space discretization, the elementary FE equation is noted:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
K_{e} & -Q \\
0 & H
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u \\
p
\end{array}\right\}+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
Q^{T} & S
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\dot{u} \\
\dot{p}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{u} \\
f_{p}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

According to the weak formulation obtained in question 2, with the standard notations of the course, the coefficients of the matrices and vectors are the following:

$$
\left[K_{e}\right]=\int_{\Omega_{e}}[B]^{T}\left[D_{e}\right][B] d V, \quad[B]=[L]\left[N_{u}\right]
$$

in which $\left[D_{e}\right]$ is the elasticity matrix, $[L]$ is the differential operator (relating displacements to strains) and $\left[N_{u}\right]$ is the matrix of displacement interpolation functions.

$$
[Q]=-\int_{\Omega_{e}}[B]^{T} \alpha\{m\}^{T}\left\{N_{p}\right\} d V, \quad\{m\}^{T}=\{111000\}
$$

in which $\left\{N_{p}\right\}$ is the vector of pore pressure interpolation functions.

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[H]=\int_{\Omega_{e}}\left[\nabla\left\{N_{p}\right\}\right]^{T} \frac{k}{\gamma_{f}}[I d]\left[\nabla\left\{N_{p}\right\}\right] d V} \\
{[S]=-\int_{\Omega_{e}}\left\{N_{p}\right\}^{T}\left(\frac{\alpha-n}{K_{s}}+\frac{n}{K_{f}}\right)\left\{N_{p}\right\} d V} \\
\left\{f_{u}\right\}=\int_{\Gamma_{e}}\left[N_{u}\right]^{T}\{\widehat{t}\} d S \\
\left\{f_{p}\right\}=-\frac{\mu_{f}}{\gamma_{f}} \int_{\Gamma_{e}}\left\{N_{p}\right\}^{T}\left\{q_{f}\right\} d S
\end{gathered}
$$

4. For the parabolic equation of interest, we use a Finite Difference scheme for time discretization. The time marching scheme is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\theta \Delta t\left[\begin{array}{ll}
K_{e} & -Q \\
0 & H
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
Q^{T} & S
\end{array}\right]\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u \\
p
\end{array}\right\}_{n+1}= \\
& \left(-(1-\theta) \Delta t\left[\begin{array}{ll}
K_{e} & -Q \\
0 & H
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
Q^{T} & S
\end{array}\right]\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u \\
p
\end{array}\right\}_{n}+\Delta t\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{u} \\
f_{p}
\end{array}\right\}_{n+\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

which we note:
$(\theta \Delta t[C]+[B])\left\{\begin{array}{l}u \\ p\end{array}\right\}_{n+1}=(-(1-\theta) \Delta t[C]+[B])\left\{\begin{array}{l}u \\ p\end{array}\right\}_{n}+\Delta t\left\{\begin{array}{l}f_{u} \\ f_{p}\end{array}\right\}_{n+\theta}$
Noting $\mu_{j}$ the complex eigenvalues of the matrix $[B]^{-1}[C]$, the stability condition is expressed as:

$$
\forall j, \quad\left|\frac{1-(1-\theta) \Delta t \mu_{j}}{1+\theta \Delta t \mu_{j}}\right|<1
$$

Noting $\mu_{j}^{R}=\operatorname{Re}\left(\mu_{j}\right)$ and $\mu_{j}^{I}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\mu_{j}\right)$, the inequality 4 is rewritten as:

$$
\forall j, \quad-2 \mu_{j}^{R}<(2 \theta-1)\left[\left(\mu_{j}^{R}\right)^{2}+\left(\mu_{j}^{I}\right)^{2}\right] \Delta t
$$

The stability criteria are the following:

- If $\theta>1 / 2$, the numerical scheme is unconditionnally stable if $\mu_{j}^{R}>0$, and conditionnally stable if $\mu_{j}^{R} \leq 0$. In the latter case, the time step needs to satisfy:

$$
\Delta t>-\frac{2}{2 \theta-1} \frac{\mu_{j}^{R}}{\left(\mu_{j}^{R}\right)^{2}+\left(\mu_{j}^{I}\right)^{2}}
$$

- If $\theta \leq 1 / 2$, the time step needs to satisfy:

$$
\Delta t<\frac{2}{1-2 \theta} \frac{\mu_{j}^{R}}{\left(\mu_{j}^{R}\right)^{2}+\left(\mu_{j}^{I}\right)^{2}}
$$

## CHAPTER 7

## FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF PLASTICITY

## PROBLEMS

7.1 Derive the stress-strain relationship for the Drucker-Prager elastic-perfectly plastic model, described by the following equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{\epsilon}_{i j}=\dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{e}+\dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p} \\
\dot{\sigma}_{i j}=D_{i j k l}^{e} \dot{\epsilon}_{k l}^{e} \\
f\left(\sigma_{i j}\right)=\sqrt{J_{2}}-\alpha I_{1}-k \\
g\left(\sigma_{i j}\right)=\sqrt{J_{2}}-\beta I_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

in which $k, \alpha$ and $\beta$ are material constants, with $\alpha \neq \beta$.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{\sigma}_{i j}=D_{i j k l}^{e} \dot{\epsilon}_{k l}^{e} \\
\dot{\sigma}_{i j}=D_{i j k l}^{e}\left(\dot{\epsilon}_{k l}-\dot{\epsilon}_{k l}^{p}\right) \\
\dot{\sigma}_{i j}=D_{i j k l}^{e}\left(\dot{\epsilon}_{k l}-\lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial \sigma_{k l}}\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

To find the plastic multiplier, use the consistency condition:

$$
\begin{gathered}
d f=0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{i j}} \dot{\sigma}_{i j}=0 \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{i j}}\left(D_{i j k l}^{e} \dot{\epsilon}_{k l}-D_{i j k l}^{e} \dot{\epsilon}_{k l}^{p}\right)=0 \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{i j}}\left(D_{i j k l}^{e} \dot{\epsilon}_{k l}-\lambda D_{i j k l}^{e} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \sigma_{k l}}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

We get:

$$
\lambda=\frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{i j}} D_{i j k l}^{e} \dot{\epsilon}_{k l}}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{i j}} D_{i j k l}^{e} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \sigma_{k l}}}
$$

Introducing the plastic mulitplier in equation 7.1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\sigma}_{i j}=\left[D_{i j k l}^{e}-D_{i j k l}^{e} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \sigma_{k l}} \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{i j}} D_{i j k l}^{e}}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{i j}} D_{i j k l}^{e} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \sigma_{k l}}}\right] \dot{\epsilon}_{k l} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To express the incremental stress/strain relationship explicitly, we need to calculate $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{k l}}$ and $\frac{\partial g}{\partial \sigma_{k l}}$. From the expressions given in the problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{k l}} & =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{J_{2}}} \frac{\partial J_{2}}{\partial \sigma_{k l}}-\alpha \frac{\partial I_{1}}{\partial \sigma_{k l}} \\
\frac{\partial g}{\partial \sigma_{k l}} & =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{J_{2}}} \frac{\partial J_{2}}{\partial \sigma_{k l}}-\beta \frac{\partial I_{1}}{\partial \sigma_{k l}}
\end{aligned}
$$

To express equation 7.2 explicitly, we need to calculate $\frac{\partial I_{1}}{\partial \sigma_{i j}}$ and $\frac{\partial J_{2}}{\partial \sigma_{i j}}$. The details are provided below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial I_{1}}{\partial \sigma_{i j}} & =\frac{\partial \sigma_{k l}}{\partial \sigma_{i j}} \delta_{k l} \\
& =\delta_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

In tensor notation:

$$
\frac{\partial I_{1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}=\delta
$$

To calculate $\frac{\partial J_{2}}{\partial \sigma_{i j}}$, we start by evaluating $\frac{\partial I_{2}}{\partial \sigma_{i j}}$. We switch to tensor notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial I_{2}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}\left[\left(I_{1}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{\partial I_{1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}: \boldsymbol{\delta} \\
& =I_{1} \boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{T} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}: \boldsymbol{\delta} \\
& =I_{1} \boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Delta}: \boldsymbol{\delta} \\
& =I_{1} \boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta} \\
& =I_{1} \boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{T} \\
& =I_{1} \boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

in which $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ is the fourth-order identity tensor. Then, we can calculate $\frac{\partial J_{2}}{\partial \sigma_{i j}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial J_{2}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} & =\frac{\partial J_{2}}{\partial s}: \frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\
& =\left(-\frac{\partial J_{1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}+\boldsymbol{s}\right): \frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\
& =s:\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}-\frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial I_{1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\delta}\right) \\
& =s:\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}-\frac{1}{3} \boldsymbol{\delta} \otimes \boldsymbol{\delta}\right) \\
& =s: \Delta \\
& =s
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s$ is the deviatoric stress, for which the first invariant $J_{1}$ is zero.
7.2 Derive the stress-strain relationship for the mixed hardening elastic-plastic model, described by the following equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{\epsilon}_{i j}=\dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{e}+\dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p} \\
\dot{\sigma}_{i j}=D_{i j k l}^{e} \dot{\epsilon}_{k l}^{e} \\
f\left(\sigma_{i j}, \alpha_{i j}, \beta\right)=f_{1}\left(\sigma_{i j}-\alpha_{i j}\right)-R(\beta)=0 \\
\beta=\int\left[\sigma_{i j} \dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p}\right] \\
\dot{\alpha}_{i j}=d \mu\left(\sigma_{i j}-\alpha_{i j}\right) \\
g\left(\sigma_{i j}, \alpha_{i j}, \beta\right)=f\left(\sigma_{i j}, \alpha_{i j}, \beta\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Solution: The procedure is similar to the previous problem. To start, replace the elastic strain increment by its decomposition into total and plastic strain increments. Then, use the consistency condition to get the expression of the plastic multiplier. Details follow:

$$
\dot{\sigma}_{i j}=D_{i j k l}^{e}\left(\dot{\epsilon}_{k l}-\lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial \sigma_{k l}}\right)
$$

Consistency equation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
d f=0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}} \dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta} \dot{\beta}=0 \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{e}}: \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{e}}: \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}+d \mu \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})+\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}: \dot{\epsilon}^{p}=0 \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{e}}: \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}-\lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{e}}: \frac{\partial g}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}+d \mu \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})+\lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}: \frac{\partial g}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

From there, we get the expression of $\lambda$ :

$$
\lambda=\frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{e}}: \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{e}}: \frac{\partial g}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}: \frac{\partial g}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}}+\frac{d \mu \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{e}}: \frac{\partial g}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}: \frac{\partial g}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}}
$$

The incremental stress-strain relationship becomes:
$\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}=\boldsymbol{D}^{e}: \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}-\boldsymbol{D}^{e}: \frac{\partial g}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}: \boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{e}}}{\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}: \boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{e}}: \frac{\partial g}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}: \frac{\partial g}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right)}: \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}-\boldsymbol{D}^{e}: \frac{\partial g}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \frac{d \mu \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}}:(\boldsymbol{\sigma}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}: \boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{e}}: \frac{\partial g}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}: \frac{\partial g}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right)}$

## CHAPTER 8

## PERFECT PLASTICITY IN GEOMECHANICS

## PROBLEMS

8.1 A material element is subjected to proportional loading. The principal stresses are given by $(2 \sigma, \sigma, 0)$ where $\sigma$ is an increasing stress value.

1. Find the magnitude of $\sigma$ where the material begins to yield, according to Tresca's criterion.
2. Adopting the associated flow rule, find also the plastic strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p}$ at onset of yielding expressed in terms of the plastic multiplier $\dot{\lambda}$.
3. If the effective plastic strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}$ is defined as:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p} \dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p}}
$$

how is $\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}$ related to $\dot{\lambda}$ ?
4. Now suppose that the principal stresses are given by $(\sigma, \sigma, 0)$. What problem is encountered is you should determine $\dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p}$ ?

## Solution:

1. According to Tresca's criterion, when the material begins to yield when:

$$
\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}-2 S_{u}=0
$$

In the test described in the problem:

$$
2 \sigma-0-2 S_{u}=0
$$

In other words:

$$
\sigma=S_{u}
$$

2. Adopting an associate flow rule:

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}=\dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}=\dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}-2 S_{u}\right)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}
$$

In the principal stress base:

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\dot{\lambda} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\dot{\lambda}
\end{array}\right]_{\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{2}}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)}
$$

3. We have:

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}: \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}=2 \dot{\lambda}^{2}
$$

So the effective plastic strain is calculated as:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \dot{\lambda}
$$

4. The problem if $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=\sigma$, the problem is that the state of stress is at a corner point of the yield surface in the deviatoric plane. Therefore, there is no unique orientation for the plastic strain flow at this point. The plastic strain increment cannot be determined by an associate flow rule.
8.2 The stress at a point is given by:

$$
\left[\sigma_{i j}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
30 & 45 & 60 \\
45 & 20 & 50 \\
60 & 50 & 10
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{MPa}
$$

Determine the stress invariants $I_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}$ and the Lode angle $\theta$.

## Solution:

We use MATLAB to calculate the three invariants. The code is the following:
$A=[30,45,60 ; 45,20,50 ; 60,50,10]$
$B=[10,45,60 ; 45,0,50 ; 60,50,-10]$
$\mathrm{J} 2=0.5^{*}$ trace $\left(\mathrm{B}^{*} \mathrm{~B}\right)$
$\mathrm{J} 3=\operatorname{trace}\left(\mathrm{B}^{*} \mathrm{~B}^{*} \mathrm{~B}\right) / 3$
alpha=(3(3/2))*J3/(2* $\mathbf{J 2}^{(3 / 2))}$
theta=asin(alpha)/3
thetadeg=theta*180/pi()
The results are the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{1}=\operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})=60 \mathrm{MPa} \\
J_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(s^{2}\right)=8,225 \mathrm{MPa} \\
J_{3}=\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(s^{3}\right)=265,250 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{gathered}
$$

The Lode angle is calculated by using the following relationship:

$$
\sin \left(3 \theta_{l}\right)=\frac{3 \sqrt{3} J_{3}}{2 J_{2}^{3 / 2}}
$$

We get:

$$
\theta_{l} \simeq 22.5^{\circ}
$$

8.3 A material is to be loaded to a stress state

$$
\left[\sigma_{i j}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
50 & -30 & 0 \\
-30 & 90 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{MPa}
$$

What should be the minimum uniaxial yield stress of the material so that it does not fail, according to (a) Tresca criterion; (b) von Mises criterion? What do the theories predict when the yield stress of the material is 80 MPa ?

## Solution:

We first calculate the principal stresses in the specimen:

$$
\sigma_{I, I I}=\frac{\sigma_{11}+\sigma_{22}}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma_{11}-\sigma_{22}}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{12}\right)^{2}}, \quad \sigma_{I I I}=0
$$

We find:

$$
\sigma_{I}=106 \mathrm{MPa}, \quad \sigma_{I I}=34 \mathrm{MPa}, \quad \sigma_{I I I}=0
$$

Tresca's criterion is:

$$
\sigma_{I}-\sigma_{I I I}=2 S_{u}
$$

In uniaxial tension:

$$
\sigma_{I}=2 S_{u}
$$

So the uniaxial yield strenght is $\sigma_{Y}=2 S_{u}$. For the present loading case, the material will not fail according to Tresca's criterion if:

$$
\sigma_{I}-\sigma_{I I I} \leq 2 S_{u}=\sigma_{Y}
$$

with $\sigma_{I I I}=0$ and $\sigma_{1}=106 \mathrm{MPa}$. So according to Tresca's criterion, there is no failure if:

$$
\sigma_{Y} \geq \sigma_{I}=106 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

Von Mises criterion is:

$$
\left(\sigma_{I}-\sigma_{I I}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{I I}-\sigma_{I I I}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{I I I}-\sigma_{I}\right)^{2}-6 k^{2}=0
$$

In uniaxial tension:

$$
2\left(\sigma_{I}\right)^{2}-6 k^{2}=0
$$

In other words, the uniaxial tension stress according to von Mises criterion is:

$$
\sigma_{Y}=\sqrt{3} k
$$

For the present loading case, von Mises criterion is:

$$
2\left(\sigma_{I}\right)^{2}+2\left(\sigma_{I I}\right)^{2}-2 \sigma_{I} \sigma_{I I}-2\left(\sigma_{Y}\right)^{2}=0
$$

So the material fails in tension if:

$$
\left(\sigma_{Y}\right)^{2}=\left(\sigma_{I}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{I I}\right)^{2}-\sigma_{I} \sigma_{I I}
$$

With the values of the principal stresses calculated above, we find that the material will not fail according to the von Mises criterion if:

$$
\sigma_{Y} \geq 94 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

So if $\sigma_{Y}=80 \mathrm{MPa}$, we conclude that both theories predict that the material will fail because both theories predict that the uniaxial yield stress of the material should be more than 80 MPa for the material not to fail.
8.4 A material element is subjected to proportional loading. The principal stresses are given by $(2 \sigma, \sigma, 0)$ where $\sigma$ is an increasing stress value.

1. Find the magnitude of $\sigma$ where the material begins to yield, according to von Mises's criterion.
2. Adopting the associated flow rule, find also the plastic strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p}$ at onset of yielding expressed in terms of the plastic multiplier $\dot{\lambda}$.
3. If the effective plastic strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}$ is defined as:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p} \dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p}}
$$

how is $\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}$ related to $\dot{\lambda}$ ?
4. Repeat the three questions above when the principal stresses are given by $(\sigma, \sigma, 0)$.

## Solution:

1. According to von Mises criterion, first yield happens when:

$$
\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{1}\right)^{2}-6 k^{2}=0
$$

With the loading conidtions described in the problem:

$$
(2 \sigma-\sigma)^{2}+(\sigma)^{2}+(2 \sigma)^{2}-6 k^{2}=0
$$

So that we get:

$$
\sigma=k
$$

2. With an associate flow rule:

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}=\dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}=\dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}\left(\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{1}\right)^{2}-6 k^{2}\right)
$$

In the principal stress base:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}^{p}=\dot{\lambda}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
4 \sigma_{1}-2 \sigma_{2}-2 \sigma_{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 4 \sigma_{2}-2 \sigma_{1}-2 \sigma_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4 \sigma_{3}-2 \sigma_{1}-2 \sigma_{2}
\end{array}\right]_{\left(e_{\mathbf{1}}, e_{\mathbf{2}}, e_{3}\right)}
$$

With the loading conditions described in the problem:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}^{p}=6 \dot{\lambda} \sigma\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right]_{\left(e_{\mathbf{1}}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)}
$$

At yield, $\sigma=k$ so that:

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}=6 \dot{\lambda} k\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right]_{\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{2}}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)}
$$

3. From the previous question, we have:

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}: \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}=72 \dot{\lambda}^{2} k^{2}
$$

So that the effective plastic strain is:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}=4 \sqrt{3} \dot{\lambda} k
$$

4. For the loading $(\sigma, \sigma, 0)$, the yield according to von Mises criterion happens when:

$$
(\sigma-\sigma)^{2}+(\sigma)^{2}+(\sigma)^{2}-6 k^{2}=0
$$

So that

$$
\sigma=\sqrt{3} k
$$

Then, the plastic strain becomes:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}^{p}=2 \dot{\lambda} \sigma\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2
\end{array}\right]_{\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)}
$$

At yield, $\sigma=\sqrt{3} k$ so that:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}^{p}=2 \sqrt{3} \dot{\lambda} k\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2
\end{array}\right]_{\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{2}}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)}
$$

The effective plastic strain rate is:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}=4 \sqrt{3} \dot{\lambda} k
$$

8.5 Prandtl-Reuss equations are obtained by combining Hooke's law with a flow rule that assumes that the plastic strain increments are proportional to the principal deviatoric stresses $s_{i}$ :

$$
\frac{\dot{\epsilon}_{1}^{p}}{s_{1}}=\frac{\dot{\epsilon}_{2}^{p}}{s_{2}}=\frac{\dot{\epsilon}_{3}^{p}}{s_{3}}=\dot{\lambda} \geq 0
$$

In Cartesian coordinates, it is common to express the flow rule above in the following alternate form:

$$
\frac{\dot{\epsilon}_{x x}^{p}-\dot{\epsilon}_{y y}^{p}}{s_{x x}-s_{y y}}=\frac{\dot{\epsilon}_{x x}^{p}-\dot{\epsilon}_{y y}^{p}}{\sigma_{x x}-\sigma_{y y}}=\frac{\dot{\epsilon}_{y y}^{p}-\dot{\epsilon}_{z z}^{p}}{s_{y y}-s_{z z}}=\ldots=\dot{\lambda} \geq 0
$$

In terms of actual stresses, one can show that:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\dot{\epsilon}_{x x}^{p}=\frac{2}{3} \dot{\lambda}\left[\sigma_{x x}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{y y}+\sigma_{z z}\right)\right], \quad \dot{\epsilon}_{y y}^{p}=\frac{2}{3} \dot{\lambda}\left[\sigma_{y y}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{z z}+\sigma_{x x}\right)\right] \\
\dot{\epsilon}_{z z}^{p}=\frac{2}{3} \dot{\lambda}\left[\sigma_{z z}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{x x}+\sigma_{y y}\right)\right], \quad \dot{\epsilon}_{x y}^{p}=\dot{\lambda} \sigma_{x y}, \quad \dot{\epsilon}_{y z}^{p}=\dot{\lambda} \sigma_{y z}, \quad \dot{\epsilon}_{z x}^{p}=\dot{\lambda} \sigma_{z x}
\end{array}
$$

The Prandtl-Reuss equations are the full elastic-plastic stress-strain relations that are obtained by combining the previous equations with Hooke's law:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\epsilon}_{x x}= \frac{1}{E}\left[\dot{\sigma}_{x x}-\nu\left(\dot{\sigma}_{y y}+\dot{\sigma}_{z z}\right)\right]+\frac{2}{3} \dot{\lambda}\left[\sigma_{x x}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{y y}+\sigma_{z z}\right)\right] \\
& \dot{\epsilon}_{y y}= \frac{1}{E}\left[\dot{\sigma}_{y y}-\nu\left(\dot{\sigma}_{z z}+\dot{\sigma}_{x x}\right)\right]+\frac{2}{3} \dot{\lambda}\left[\sigma_{y y}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{z z}+\sigma_{x x}\right)\right] \\
& \dot{\epsilon}_{z z}=\frac{1}{E}\left[\dot{\sigma}_{z z}-\nu\left(\dot{\sigma}_{x x}+\dot{\sigma}_{y y}\right)\right]+\frac{2}{3} \dot{\lambda}\left[\sigma_{z z}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{x x}+\sigma_{y y}\right)\right] \\
& \dot{\epsilon}_{x y}=\frac{1+\nu}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{x y}+\dot{\lambda} \sigma_{x y}, \quad \dot{\epsilon}_{y z}=\frac{1+\nu}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{y z}+\dot{\lambda} \sigma_{y z}, \quad \dot{\epsilon}_{z x}=\frac{1+\nu}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{z x}+\dot{\lambda} \sigma_{z x} \\
& \dot{\epsilon}_{i j}=\frac{1+\nu}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{i j}-\frac{\nu}{E} \delta_{i j} \dot{\sigma}_{k k}+\dot{\lambda} s_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the uniaxial straining of a perfectly plastic isotropic von Mises material specimen. There is only one non-zero strain, $\epsilon_{x x}$. One only need to consider two stresses, $\sigma_{x x}, \sigma_{y y}$, since $\sigma_{z z}=\sigma_{y y}$ by isotropy.

1. Write down the two relevant Prandtl-Reuss equations.
2. Evaluate the stresses and strains at first yield.
3. For plastic flow, show that $\dot{\sigma}_{x x}=\dot{\sigma}_{y y}$ and that:

$$
\frac{\dot{\sigma}_{x x}}{\dot{\epsilon}_{x x}}=\frac{E}{3(1-2 \nu)}
$$

## Solution:

1. The two relevant Prandtl-Reuss equations are the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\epsilon}_{x x} & =\frac{1}{E}\left(\dot{\sigma}_{x x}-2 \nu \dot{\sigma}_{y y}\right)+\frac{2}{3} \dot{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{x x}-\sigma_{y y}\right) \\
0 & =\frac{1}{E}\left[\dot{\sigma}_{y y}-\nu\left(\dot{\sigma}_{y y}+\dot{\sigma}_{x x}\right)\right]+\frac{2}{3} \dot{\lambda}\left[\sigma_{y y}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{y y}+\sigma_{x x}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

2. According to von Mises criterion, at first yield, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{1}\right)^{2}-6 k^{2}=0 \\
\left(\sigma_{x x}-\sigma_{y y}\right)^{2}-3 k^{2}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

And therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x x}-\sigma_{y y}=\sqrt{3} k \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

At first yield, the stress/strain relationships are still elastic so that the Prandtl-Reuss equations become:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\epsilon}_{x x} & =\frac{1}{E}\left(\dot{\sigma}_{x x}-2 \nu \dot{\sigma}_{y y}\right) \\
0 & =\frac{1}{E}\left[\dot{\sigma}_{y y}-\nu\left(\dot{\sigma}_{y y}+\dot{\sigma}_{x x}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that the experiment starts at zero strain and zero stress, integrating the above two equations between the initial time and the time when yield first occcurs provides:

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_{x x} & =\frac{1}{E}\left(\sigma_{x x}-2 \nu \sigma_{y y}\right)  \tag{8.2}\\
0 & =\frac{1}{E}\left[\sigma_{y y}-\nu\left(\sigma_{y y}+\sigma_{x x}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Using the second of equations 8.2 along with equation 8.1:

$$
\sigma_{x x}-\frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \sigma_{x x}=\sqrt{3} k
$$

We conclude that, at yield:

$$
\sigma_{x x}=\frac{1-\nu}{1-2 \nu} \sqrt{3} k
$$

Then, using the second of the Prandtl-Reuss equations 8.2 , we get:

$$
\sigma_{y y}=\frac{\nu}{1-2 \nu} \sqrt{3} k
$$

We have $\sigma_{z z}$ by isotropy, and the other three stress components are zero (no shear). Accoring to the loading conditions imposed in the problem, the only non-zero strain is $\epsilon_{x x}$. We use the first of Equations 8.2 to get:

$$
\epsilon_{x x}=\frac{1}{E}\left(\sigma_{x x}-2 \nu \sigma_{y y}\right)
$$

in which we used the fact that the experiment starts at zero strain. Introducing the expressions of the stress components found above:

$$
\epsilon_{x x}=\frac{(1+\nu) \sqrt{3} k}{E}
$$

3. At yield, the consistency conditions impose $f=\dot{f}=0$, therefore:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{x x}-\sigma_{y y}=\sqrt{3} k  \tag{8.3}\\
\dot{\sigma}_{x x}=\dot{\sigma}_{y y} \tag{8.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using the first of Prandtl-Reuss equations:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}_{x x}=\frac{1}{E}\left(\dot{\sigma}_{x x}-2 \nu \dot{\sigma}_{y y}\right)+\frac{2}{3} \dot{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{x x}-\sigma_{y y}\right)
$$

Since $\dot{\sigma}_{x x}=\dot{\sigma}_{y y}$ and $\sigma_{x x}-\sigma_{y y}=\sqrt{3} k:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\epsilon}_{x x}=\frac{1-2 \nu}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{x x}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \dot{\lambda} k \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using the second of Prandtl-Reuss equations, using $\dot{\sigma}_{x x}=\dot{\sigma}_{y y}$ and $\sigma_{x x}-\sigma_{y y}=$ $\sqrt{3} k$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{1-2 \nu}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{x x}-\frac{k}{\sqrt{3}} \dot{\lambda} \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now combning equations 8.5 and 8.6 :

$$
\dot{\epsilon}_{x x}=\frac{1-2 \nu}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{x x}+\frac{2(1-2 \nu)}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{x x}
$$

And we finally get:

$$
\frac{\dot{\sigma}_{x x}}{\dot{\epsilon}_{x x}}=\frac{E}{3(1-2 \nu)}
$$

8.6 Mohr-Coulomb's yield criterion:

1. Show that the magnitude of the hydrostatic stress vector is $\rho=\sqrt{3} c \cot \Phi$ for the Mohr-Coulomb yield criterion when the deviatoric stress is zero.
2. Show that, for a Mohr-Coulomb material, $\sin \Phi=(r-1) /(r+1)$ where $r=f_{Y c} / f_{Y t}$ is the compressive to tensile strength ratio.
3. A sample of concrete is subjected to a stress $\sigma_{11}=\sigma_{22}=-p, \sigma_{33}=-A p$ where the constant $A>1$. Using the Mohr-Coulomb criterion and the result of the previous question, show that the material will not fail provided $A<\frac{1}{r}\left(1+\frac{f_{Y c}}{p}\right)$.

## Solution:

1. The Moh-Coulomb criterion is:

$$
\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}-\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{3}\right) \sin \Phi-2 c \cos \Phi=0
$$

In the absence of deviatoric stress, $\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}=0$ and:

$$
\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{3}\right) \sin \Phi=-2 c \cos \Phi
$$

For hydrostatic stress: $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{3}=p$ (where $p$ is typically a compression, otherwise yield cannot happen) So we have:

$$
p=-c \operatorname{atan} \Phi
$$

The norm of the hydrostatic vector is:

$$
\rho=\frac{\left|I_{1}\right|}{\sqrt{3}}=\sqrt{3}|p|
$$

So we have:

$$
\rho=\frac{c \sqrt{3}}{\tan \Phi}
$$

2. Mohr's circles for the uniaxial tension test and the uniaxial compression test are represented in Figure 8.1.


Figure 8.1 Mohr-Coulomb failure envelope.
We have:

$$
\sin \Phi=\frac{B B^{\prime}}{A B}=\frac{D D^{\prime}}{A D}
$$

$\mathrm{BB}^{\prime}$ is the radius of the left-hand side circle, which is $f_{Y t} / 2$. DD' is the radisu of the right-hand side circle, which is $f_{Y c} / 2$. BD is the sum of the two radii. So we have:

$$
\sin \Phi=\frac{f_{Y t}}{2 A B}=\frac{f_{Y c}}{2 A B+f_{Y t}+f_{Y c}}
$$

Re-arranging:

$$
A B=\frac{f_{Y c}+f_{Y t}}{f_{Y c}-f_{Y t}} \frac{f_{Y t}}{2}
$$

So we have:

$$
\sin \Phi=\frac{f_{Y c}-f_{Y t}}{f_{Y c}+f_{Y t}}=\frac{r-1}{r+1}
$$

3. Using Mohr-Coulomb criterion, the material will not fail if:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}-\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{3}\right) \sin \Phi-2 c \cos \Phi \leq 0 \\
-p(1-A)+p(1+A) \sin \Phi-2 c \cos \Phi \leq 0 \\
A p(1+\sin \Phi)-p(1-\sin \Phi)-2 c \cos \Phi \leq 0 \\
A \leq \frac{1-\sin \Phi}{1+\sin \Phi}+2 \frac{c}{p} \frac{\cos \Phi}{1+\sin \Phi}
\end{gathered}
$$

From the previous question:

$$
1+\sin \Phi=\frac{2 r}{r+1}, \quad 1-\sin \Phi=\frac{2}{r+1}, \quad \frac{1-\sin \Phi}{1+\sin \Phi}=\frac{1}{r}
$$

So we have:

$$
A \leq \frac{1}{r}+\frac{c}{p} \frac{r+1}{r} \cos \Phi
$$

From the geometry shown in Figure 8.1, we have:

$$
\cos \Phi=\frac{A O}{A C}, \quad \sin \Phi=\frac{c}{A C}
$$

So that:

$$
c \cos \Phi=\sin \Phi\left(A B+f_{Y t} / 2\right)
$$

Using the expression of AB from the previous question and re-arranging:

$$
c \cos \Phi=\sin \Phi \frac{f_{Y t} f_{Y c}}{f_{Y c}-f_{Y t}}
$$

And finally, the criterion becomes:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A \leq \frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{p} \frac{r+1}{r} \sin \Phi \frac{f_{Y t} f_{Y c}}{f_{Y c}-f_{Y t}} \\
A \leq \frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{p} \frac{r+1}{r} \frac{r-1}{r+1} \frac{f_{Y c}}{r-1} \\
A \leq \frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{r} f_{Y c}
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally:

$$
A \leq \frac{1}{r}\left(1+\frac{f_{Y c}}{p}\right)
$$

8.7 A material element is subjected to proportional loading. The principal stresses are given by $(2 \sigma, \sigma, 0)$ where $\sigma$ is an increasing stress value.

1. Find the magnitude of $\sigma$ where the material begins to yield, according to MohrCoulomb's criterion.
2. Adopting the associated flow rule, find also the plastic strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p}$ at onset of yielding expressed in terms of the plastic multiplier $\dot{\lambda}$.
3. If the effective plastic strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}$ is defined as:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p} \dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p}}
$$

how is $\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}$ related to $\dot{\lambda}$ ?
4. Now suppose that the principal stresses are given by $(\sigma, \sigma, 0)$. What problem is encountered is you should determine $\dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p}$ ?

## Solution:

1. The Mohr-Coulomb criterion is:

$$
\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}-\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{3}\right) \sin \Phi-2 c \cos \Phi=0
$$

With the loading conditions described in the problem:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(1-\sin \Phi)=c \cos \Phi \\
\sigma=\frac{c \cos \Phi}{1-\sin \Phi}
\end{gathered}
$$

2. With an associate flow rule, the plastic strain rate is:

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}=\dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}=\dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}-\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{3}\right) \sin \Phi-2 c \cos \Phi\right)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}
$$

In the principal stress base:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}^{p}=\dot{\lambda}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1-\sin \Phi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -(1+\sin \Phi)
\end{array}\right]_{\left(e_{\mathbf{1}}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)}
$$

3. From the previous question:

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}: \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}=\dot{\lambda}^{2}\left[(1-\sin \Phi)^{2}+(1+\sin \Phi)^{2}\right]
$$

From the previous problem:

$$
1+\sin \Phi=\frac{2 r}{r+1}, \quad 1-\sin \Phi=\frac{2}{r+1}
$$

So the increment of effective plastic strain is:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}=\dot{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\left[\left(\frac{2 r}{r+1}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2}{r+1}\right)^{2}\right]} \\
\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}=\frac{2}{r+1} \dot{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\left(r^{2}+1\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

4. If the loading is $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=\sigma$, then the state of stress lies at a corner of the yield surface in the deviatoric plane, and so there is no unique plastic flow direction. The plastic strain tensor cannot be calculated with an associate flow rule.

### 8.8 Drucker-Prager's yield criterion:

1. Show that the magnitude of the hydrostatic stress vector is $\rho=|\rho|=k / \sqrt{3} \alpha$ for the Drucker-Prager yield criterion when the deviatoric stress is zero.
2. Given the yield stresses $\sigma_{t}$ and $\sigma_{c}$ in uniaxial tension and compression, respectively, find the yield stress in shear resulting from the following yield criteria: (a) Tresca; (b) von Mises; (c) Mohr-Coulomb; (d) Drucker-Prager.

## Solution:

1. Drucker-Prager criterion is:

$$
\sqrt{J_{2}}-\alpha I_{1}-k=0
$$

If the deviatoric stress is zero, then $J_{2}=0$ and the criterion reduces to:

$$
I_{1}=-\frac{k}{\alpha}
$$

The magnitude of the hydrostatic stress vector is:

$$
\rho=\frac{\left|I_{1}\right|}{\sqrt{3}}
$$

So, we have:

$$
\rho=\frac{k}{\sqrt{3} \alpha}
$$

2. Shear yield stress
(a) Tresca's criterion is:

$$
\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}-2 S_{u}=0
$$

In uniaxial compression, the material fails when $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{c}$ and $\sigma_{3}=0$; we then have: $\sigma_{c}-0-2 S_{u}=0$. In uniaxial tension, the material fails when $\sigma_{3}=\sigma_{t}<0$ (soil mechanics sign convention) and $\sigma_{1}=0$; we then have: $0-\sigma_{t}-2 S_{u}=0$. So we have:

$$
S_{u}=\frac{\sigma_{c}}{2}=-\frac{\sigma_{t}}{2}
$$

Using Mohr's circles, one can wee that the maximum shear stress is $\tau_{\max }=$ $\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right) / 2$. The material fails when:

$$
\tau_{\max }=S_{u}=\tau_{Y}
$$

We conclude that for Tresca's criterion:

$$
\tau_{Y}=\frac{\sigma_{c}}{2}=-\frac{\sigma_{t}}{2}
$$

(b) Von Mises criterion is:

$$
\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{1}\right)^{2}-6 k^{2}=0
$$

In uniaxial compression, the material fails when $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{c}$ and $\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{3}=0$; we then have:

$$
2\left(\sigma_{c}\right)^{2}-6 k^{2}=0
$$

so we have:

$$
\sigma_{c}=\sqrt{3} k
$$

In uniaxial tension, the material fails when $\sigma_{3}=\sigma_{t}$ and $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=0$; we then have:

$$
2\left(\sigma_{t}\right)^{2}-6 k^{2}=0
$$

so we have:

$$
\sigma_{t}=\sqrt{3} k
$$

In pure shear, $\sigma_{2}=0, \sigma_{1}=-\sigma_{3}=\sigma$ and $\tau_{\max }=\sigma$.

$$
(\sigma-0)^{2}+(0-\sigma)^{2}+(\sigma+\sigma)^{2}-6 k^{2}=0
$$

In other words:

$$
\tau_{\max }=k=\tau_{Y}
$$

So we have:

$$
\tau_{Y}=\frac{\sigma_{c}}{\sqrt{3} k}=\frac{\sigma_{t}}{\sqrt{3} k}
$$

(c) The Mohr-Coulomb criterion is:

$$
\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}-\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{3}\right) \sin \Phi-2 c \cos \Phi=0
$$

In uniaxial compression, $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{c}, \sigma_{2}=\sigma_{3}=0$ and the material fails when:

$$
(1-\sin \Phi) \sigma_{c}-2 c \cos \Phi=0
$$

In uniaxial tension, $\sigma_{3}=\sigma_{t}, \sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=0$ and the material fails when:

$$
-(1+\sin \Phi) \sigma_{t}-2 c \cos \Phi=0
$$

In pure shear: $\sigma_{1}=-\sigma_{3}, \sigma_{2}=0$ and $\tau=\sigma_{1}$, and the material fails when:

$$
2 \tau-2 c \cos \Phi=0
$$

So we have:

$$
\tau_{Y}=c \cos \Phi=(1-\sin \Phi) \frac{\sigma_{c}}{2}
$$

From Problem 9.6, we have:

$$
\sin \Phi=\frac{r-1}{r+1}, \quad r=\frac{\sigma_{c}}{\sigma_{t}}
$$

As a result:

$$
\tau_{Y}=\left(1-\frac{r-1}{r+1}\right) \frac{\sigma_{c}}{2}=\frac{1}{r+1} \sigma_{c}
$$

And finally:

$$
\tau_{Y}=\frac{\sigma_{c} \sigma_{t}}{\sigma_{c}+\sigma_{t}}
$$

(d) Drucker-Prager's criterion is:

$$
\sqrt{J_{2}}-\alpha I_{1}-k=0
$$

When using principal stresses:

$$
\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}\left[\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{1}\right)^{2}\right]}-\alpha I_{1}-k=0
$$

In pure shear, $\sigma_{1}=-\sigma_{3}, \sigma_{2}=0$, and $\tau=\sigma_{1}$. The material thus fails in pure shear when:

$$
|\tau|-k=0
$$

Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{Y}=k \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In uniaxial compression: $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{c}, \sigma_{2}=\sigma_{3}=0$, and the material fails when:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma_{c}}{\sqrt{3}}-\alpha \sigma_{c}-k=0 \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In uniaxial tension: $\sigma_{3}=\sigma_{t}<0, \sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=0$, and the material fails when:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\sigma_{t}}{\sqrt{3}}-\alpha \sigma_{t}-k=0 \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We subtract Equation 8.9 from Equation 8.8 to find $\alpha$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\sigma_{t}+\sigma_{c}}{\sqrt{3}\left(\sigma_{c}-\sigma_{t}\right)} \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equations 8.7, 8.8 and ??, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau_{Y}=\left(1-\frac{\sigma_{t}+\sigma_{c}}{\sigma_{c}-\sigma_{t}}\right) \frac{\sigma_{c}}{\sqrt{3}} \\
\tau_{Y}=\frac{2 \sigma_{t} \sigma_{c}}{\sqrt{3}\left(\sigma_{t}-\sigma_{c}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

8.9 A material element is subjected to proportional loading. The principal stresses are given by $(2 \sigma, \sigma, 0)$ where $\sigma$ is an increasing stress value.

1. Find the magnitude of $\sigma$ where the material begins to yield, according to DruckerPrager's criterion.
2. Adopting the associated flow rule, find also the plastic strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p}$ at onset of yielding expressed in terms of the plastic multiplier $\dot{\lambda}$.
3. If the effective plastic strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}$ is defined as:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p} \dot{\epsilon}_{i j}^{p}}
$$

how is $\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}$ related to $\dot{\lambda}$ ?
4. Repeat the three questions above when the principal stresses are given by $(\sigma, \sigma, 0)$.

## Solution:

1. Drucker-prager criterion is:

$$
\sqrt{J_{2}}-\alpha I_{1}-k=0
$$

With the loading conditions described in the problem:

$$
\sqrt{J_{2}}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} s_{i j} s_{i j}}=\sigma, \quad I_{1}=3 \sigma
$$

So the material yields when:

$$
\sigma=\frac{k}{1-3 \alpha}
$$

2. Using an associated flow rule:

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}=\dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}=\dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}\left(\sqrt{J_{2}}-\alpha I_{1}-k\right)=\dot{\lambda}\left(\frac{s}{2 \sqrt{J_{2}}}-\alpha \boldsymbol{\delta}\right)
$$

in which $\delta$ is the second-order identity tensor. With the loading conditions given in the problem:

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}=\dot{\lambda}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 / 2-\alpha & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\alpha & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 / 2-\alpha
\end{array}\right]
$$

3. From the previous question, we have:

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}: \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}=\dot{\lambda}^{2}\left[(1 / 2-\alpha)^{2}+\alpha^{2}+(1 / 2+\alpha)^{2}\right]=\dot{\lambda}^{2}\left(3 \alpha^{2}+1 / 2\right)
$$

From there, we get the effective plastic strain:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}=\dot{\lambda} \sqrt{\left(2 \alpha^{2}+\frac{1}{3}\right)}
$$

4. For $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=\sigma, \sigma_{3}=0$, we have $J_{2}=\sigma^{2} / 3$ and so the material fails when:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{3}}-2 \alpha \sigma-k=0 \\
\sigma=\frac{k}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}-2 \alpha}
\end{gathered}
$$

Using an associated flow rule, the plastic strain rate is:

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}=\dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}=\dot{\lambda}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{s}}{2 \sqrt{J_{2}}}-\alpha \boldsymbol{\delta}\right)
$$

and with the given loading conditions:

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{p}=\dot{\lambda}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}-\alpha & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}-\alpha & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}-\alpha
\end{array}\right]
$$

After some calculations, one finds:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}_{e f f}^{p}=\dot{\lambda} \sqrt{\left(2 \alpha^{2}+\frac{1}{3}\right)}
$$

Note: we find the same effective plastic strain as in the previous loading case.
8.10 Conventional triaxial compression tests ( $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}=\sigma_{3}$ ) were conducted on cylindrical rock specimens. The test results are reported in the following table.

| $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{MPa})$ | $\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{3}(\mathrm{MPa})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 48.3 | 1.7 |
| 53.7 | 2.8 |
| 56.7 | 3.4 |
| 70.8 | 6.9 |
| 70.9 | 6.9 |
| 94.8 | 13.8 |
| 94.7 | 13.8 |
| 94.9 | 13.8 |
| 115.8 | 20.7 |
| 115.9 | 20.7 |

Direct tension tests gace a tensile strength of $\sigma_{t}=3.4 \mathrm{MPa}$. We want to model the rock strength. Use plots to estimate the failure criterion parameters and discuss the applicability of the (a) Linear Mohr-Coulomb criterion; (b) Non-linear Hoek-Brown criterion.

## Solution:

We recall the Mohr-Coulomb criterion:

$$
\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}-\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{3}\right) \sin \Phi-2 c \cos \Phi=0
$$

and the Hoek-Brown criterion:

$$
\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}-\sqrt{m Y \sigma_{3}+s Y^{2}}=0
$$

The problem is solved by plotting the states of stress measured experimentally in the ( $\sigma_{1}$, $\sigma_{3}$ ) plane, and by fitting each of the two criteria above to the data. The material parameters requested are obtained when the best fit is found between the experimental datapoints and the curves predicted by the models.

### 8.11 Homework 5 - Problem 1

In order to test whether the Von Mises or Tresca criteria best modelled the real behaviour of metals, Taylor \& Quinney (1931), in a series of classic experiments, subjected a number of thin-walled cylinders made of copper and steel to combined tension and torsion, as shown in Figure 8.2. The cylinder wall is in a state of plane stress, with $\sigma_{11}=\sigma, \sigma_{12}=\tau$ and all


Figure 8.2 Taylor and Quinney's experimental set-up.
other stress components zero.

1. Show that the principal stress corresponding to the stress-state described above are zero and:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sigma \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \sigma^{2}+\tau^{2}}
$$

2. Show that the Mises condition reduces to:

$$
\sigma^{2}+3 \tau^{2}=3 k^{2} \text { or }\left(\frac{\sigma}{Y}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\tau}{Y / \sqrt{3}}\right)^{2}=1
$$

in which $Y$ is the yield stress in tension.
3. Suppose that, in the Taylor and Quinney tension-torsion tests, one has $\sigma=Y / 2$ and $\tau=\sqrt{3} Y / 4$. Plot this stress state in the 2D principal stress state (Use Question 1 to evaluate the principal stresses.) Keeping now the normal stress at $\sigma=Y / 2$, what value can the shear stress be increased to before the material yields, according to the von Mises criterion?

## Solution:

1. In plane stress:

$$
\sigma_{I, I I I}=\frac{\sigma_{11}+\sigma_{22}}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma_{11}-\sigma_{22}}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{12}\right)^{2}}
$$

We have $\sigma_{11}=\sigma, \sigma_{22}=0$ and $\sigma_{12}=\tau$ so that:

$$
\sigma_{I, I I I}=\frac{\sigma}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^{2}}{4}+\tau^{2}}
$$

Because the material element is in plane stress, the median principal stress $\sigma_{I I}$ is the out of plane normal stress $\sigma_{33}$, which is 0 .
2. The Von Mises criterion is:

$$
\sqrt{J_{2}}-k=0
$$

With the expressions of the principal stresses found in the previous question:

$$
\left(\frac{\sigma}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^{2}}{4}+\tau^{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\sigma}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^{2}}{4}+\tau^{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^{2}}{4}+\tau^{2}}\right)^{2}=6 k^{2}
$$

After re-arranging:

$$
\sigma^{2}+3 \tau^{2}=3 k^{2}
$$

Dividing each side by the yield stress in tension $Y=\sqrt{3} k$ :

$$
\left(\frac{\sigma}{Y}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\tau}{Y / \sqrt{3}}\right)^{2}=1
$$

3. We first calculate the non-zero principal stresses for the given loading conditions $\sigma=$ $Y / 2$ and $\tau=\sqrt{3} Y / 4:$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{I}=\frac{Y}{4}+\sqrt{\frac{Y^{2}}{16}+\frac{3 Y^{2}}{16}}=\frac{Y}{4}+\frac{Y}{2}=\frac{3 Y}{4} \\
\sigma_{I I I}=\frac{Y}{4}-\sqrt{\frac{Y^{2}}{16}+\frac{3 Y^{2}}{16}}=\frac{Y}{4}-\frac{Y}{2}=-\frac{Y}{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

We thus have $\sigma_{I}=-3 \sigma_{I I I}$. From there, it is possible to plot $\sigma_{I I I}$ as a function of $\sigma_{I}$. If the normal stress is kept at $Y / 2$, then the maximum shear stress that can be reached before failure is such that the von Mises criterion is reached under that shear stress:

$$
\sigma^{2}+3 \tau_{\max }^{2}=3 k^{2}=Y^{2}
$$

Therefore:

$$
3 \tau_{\max }^{2}=3 k^{2}=Y^{2}-\frac{Y^{2}}{4}=\frac{3 Y^{2}}{4}
$$

So that:

$$
\tau_{\max }=\frac{Y}{2}
$$

We check that $\tau_{\max }>\frac{\sqrt{3} Y}{4}$.

### 8.12 Homework 5 - Problem 2

Consider the combined tension-torsion of a thin-walled cylindrical tube. The tube is made
of a perfectly plastic Von Mises metal and $Y$ is the uniaxial yield strength in tension. The only stresses are $\sigma=\sigma_{x x}$ and $\sigma_{x y}=\tau$ and the Prandtl-Reuss equations reduce to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\epsilon}_{x x}=\frac{1}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{x x}+\frac{2}{3} \dot{\lambda} \sigma_{x x} \\
& \dot{\epsilon}_{y y}=\dot{\epsilon}_{z z}=-\frac{\nu}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{x x}-\frac{1}{3} \dot{\lambda} \sigma_{x x} \\
& \dot{\epsilon}_{x y}=\frac{1+\nu}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{x y}+\dot{\lambda} \sigma_{x y}
\end{aligned}
$$

The axial strain is increased from zero until yielding occurs (with $\epsilon_{x y}=0$ ). From first yield, the axial strain is held constant and the shear strain is increased up to its final value of $(1+\nu) Y / \sqrt{3} E$.

1. Write down the yield criterion in terms of $\sigma$ and $\tau$ only and sketch the yield locus in $\sigma-\tau$ space.
2. Evaluate the stresses and strains at first yield.
3. Evaluate $\dot{\lambda}$ in terms of $\sigma, \dot{\sigma}$.
4. Relate $\sigma, \dot{\sigma}$ to $\tau, \dot{\tau}$ and hence derive a differential equation for shear strain in terms of $\tau$ only.
5. Solve the differential equation and evaluate any constant of integration.
6. Evaluate the shear stress when $\epsilon_{x y}$ reaches its final value of $(1+\nu) Y / \sqrt{3} E$. Taking $\nu=1 / 2$, put in the form $\tau=\alpha Y$.

## Solution:

1. The problem is in plane stress so the answer to this question is the same as that of questions 1 and 2 in the previous problem:

$$
\sigma^{2}+3 \tau^{2}=3 k^{2}
$$

Or, dividing each side by the yield stress in tension $Y=\sqrt{3} k$ :

$$
\left(\frac{\sigma}{Y}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\tau}{Y / \sqrt{3}}\right)^{2}=1
$$

The equation above is that of an ellipse of long axis $Y$ in the $\sigma$ direction and of short axis $Y / \sqrt{3}$ in the $\tau$ direction.
2. At first yield, there is no plastic flow yet, so $\dot{\lambda}=0$, so the Prandtl-Reuss equations reduce to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\epsilon}_{x x} & =\frac{1}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{x x} \\
\dot{\epsilon}_{y y} & =\dot{\epsilon}_{z z}=-\frac{\nu}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{x x} \\
\dot{\epsilon}_{x y} & =\frac{1+\nu}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{x y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Before yield, the axial strain is increased while maintaining $\epsilon_{x y}=0$. At the same time, the specimen is free of stress on the lateral faces. The axial strain is increased until the yield is reached. Therefore, at first yield, remembering that $Y=\sqrt{3} k$ in tension from previous problems:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{x x} & =E \epsilon_{x x}^{Y}=\sqrt{3} k \\
\epsilon_{x x} & =\epsilon_{x x}^{Y}=\frac{\sqrt{3} k}{E} \\
\epsilon_{y y} & =\epsilon_{z z}=-\nu \epsilon_{x x}^{Y}=-\nu \frac{\sqrt{3} k}{E} \\
\sigma_{y y} & =\sigma_{z z}=0 \\
\epsilon_{x y} & =0 \\
\sigma_{x y} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

in which $\epsilon_{x x}^{Y}$ is the axial yield strain. Since the specimen is in plane stress, $\sigma_{z z}=$ $\sigma_{x z}=\sigma_{y z}=0$ and in the absence of shear stress in the $z$-direction, $\epsilon_{x z}=\epsilon_{y z}=0$.
3. We now use the first of the three Prandtl-Reuss equations given in the problem to find an expression for the plastic multiplier:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}_{x x}=\frac{1}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{x x}+\frac{2}{3} \dot{\lambda} \sigma_{x x}
$$

After the first yield, $\epsilon_{x x}$ is maintained constant while torsion is applied. As a result, after first yield:

$$
0=\frac{1}{E} \dot{\sigma}_{x x}+\frac{2}{3} \dot{\lambda} \sigma_{x x}
$$

in which we are given $\sigma_{x x}=\sigma$. Therefore:

$$
\dot{\lambda}=-\frac{3}{2 E} \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma}
$$

4. In order to find the expression of the incremental shear strain, we use the third of the given Prandtl-Reuss equations:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}_{x y}=\frac{1+\nu}{E} \dot{\tau}+\dot{\lambda} \tau
$$

Introducing the expression of the plastic multiplier found at the previous question:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\epsilon}_{x y}=\frac{1+\nu}{E} \dot{\tau}-\frac{3}{2 E} \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma} \tau \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

During yield, the consistency conditions impose $f=\dot{f}=0$, so that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma^{2}+3 \tau^{2}=Y^{2}  \tag{8.12}\\
& \sigma \dot{\sigma}+3 \tau \dot{\tau}=0
\end{align*}
$$

in which we used the result of question 1. Now using the second of Equations 8.12:

$$
\frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma} \tau+\frac{3 \tau^{2} \dot{\tau}}{\sigma^{2}}=0
$$

Using the above expression in Equation 8.11:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}_{x y}=\frac{1+\nu}{E} \dot{\tau}+\frac{9}{2 E} \frac{\tau^{2} \dot{\tau}}{\sigma^{2}}
$$

combining the above equation with the first of Equations 8.12:

$$
\dot{\epsilon}_{x y}=\frac{1+\nu}{E} \dot{\tau}+\frac{9}{2 E} \frac{\tau^{2} \dot{\tau}}{Y^{2}-3 \tau^{2}}
$$

which is the requested differential equation for the shear strain, in terms of $\tau$ only.
5. To solve the differential equation found in the previous question, we integrate between the time at first yield and the current time, and that at first yield, $\epsilon_{x y}=0$ and $\tau=0$. We use MATLAB to integrate the second term in the expression of $\epsilon_{x y}$. The code is the following:
syms x c
fun $=x^{*} x /\left(c^{*} c-3^{*} x^{*} x\right)$
$\mathrm{ff}=$ int(fun, x )
The result is:

$$
\epsilon_{x y}=\left(\frac{2(1+\nu)-3}{2 E}\right) \tau+\frac{1}{2 E}\left(\sqrt{3} Y \operatorname{atanh}\left(\frac{\sqrt{3} \tau}{Y}\right)\right)
$$

in which the inverse of the hyperbolic tangent is defined as:

$$
\operatorname{atanh}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right)
$$

6. Using the response to the previous question, when the shear strain reaches its final value, we have:

$$
\frac{(1+\nu) Y}{\sqrt{3} E}=\left(\frac{2(1+\nu)-3}{2 E}\right) \tau+\frac{1}{2 E}\left(\sqrt{3} Y \operatorname{atanh}\left(\frac{\sqrt{3} \tau}{Y}\right)\right)
$$

Now using $\nu=1 / 2$ :

$$
1=\operatorname{atanh}\left(\frac{\sqrt{3} \tau}{Y}\right)
$$

Using MATLAB for the calculation of the hyperbolic tangent:

$$
0.7616=\frac{\sqrt{3} \tau}{Y}
$$

And finally:

$$
\tau=\frac{0.7616 Y}{\sqrt{3}} \simeq 0.4397 Y
$$

### 8.13 Homework 5 - Problem 3

The shear strength of a soil is most frequently characterized by a frictional failure criterion. Two commonly used failure criteria are:
Mohr-Coulomb:

$$
f=\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}}{2}\right)-\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{3}}{2}\right) \sin \Phi=0
$$

Extended Von Mises:

$$
f=J_{2}-h^{2} \sigma^{2}=0
$$

where $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{3}$ are the major and minor principal stresses, $\Phi$ is the friction angle, $J_{2}=$ $s_{i j} s_{i j} / 2$ is the second invariant of the deviatoric stress tensor, $\sigma=\sigma_{k k} / 3$ is the mean (octahedral) stress and $h$ is a constant. In conventional practice, the friction angle $\Phi=$ $\Phi_{T C}$ is reported from measurements of the shear strength in triaxial compression type tests (in which $\sigma_{x x}=\sigma_{z z}<\sigma_{y y}$ ).

1. Derive an expression of the frictional parameter $h$ in terms of this friction angle $\Phi_{T C}$.
2. Assuming that failure of the soil is best described by the extended Von Mises criterion, what is the frictional angle mobilized in a triaxial extension test? Here, calculate $\Phi_{T E}$ for the case when $\sigma_{x x}=\sigma_{z z}>\sigma_{y y}$.
3. Plot values of $\Phi_{T E}$ as a function of the measured friction angle $\Phi_{T C}$ for the extended Von Mises criterion.

## Solution:

1. During a triaxial compression test, the yield is reached when:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\sigma_{y y}-\sigma_{x x}}{2}\right)-\left(\frac{\sigma_{y y}+\sigma_{x x}}{2}\right) \sin \Phi_{T C}=0 \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Mohr-Coulomb criterion, and when:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{6}\left[2\left(\sigma_{y y}-\sigma_{x x}\right)^{2}\right]-h^{2}\left(\sigma_{y y}+2 \sigma_{x x}\right)=0 \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the extended Von Mises criterion. From Equation 8.13:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \Phi_{T C}=\frac{\sigma_{y y}-\sigma_{x x}}{\sigma_{y y}+\sigma_{x x}} \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equation 8.14:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2}=\frac{\left(\sigma_{y y}-\sigma_{x x}\right)^{2}}{3\left(\sigma_{y y}+2 \sigma_{x x}\right)^{2}} \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equation 8.15:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma_{x x}}{\sigma_{y y}}=\frac{1-\sin \Phi_{T C}}{1+\sin \Phi_{T C}} \tag{8.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining equations 8.15 and 8.16 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2}=\frac{\left(\sin \Phi_{T C}\right)^{2}}{3} \frac{\left(\sigma_{y y}+\sigma_{x x}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sigma_{y y}+2 \sigma_{x x}\right)^{2}}=\frac{(\sin \Phi)^{2}}{3} \frac{\left(1+\sigma_{x x} / \sigma_{y y}\right)^{2}}{\left(1+2 \sigma_{x x} / \sigma_{y y}\right)^{2}} \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining equations 8.17 and 8.19 and re-arranging:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{2 \sin \Phi_{T C}}{\sqrt{3}\left(3-\sin \Phi_{T C}\right)} \tag{8.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. During a triaxial extension test, the yield is reached when:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\sigma_{x x}-\sigma_{y y}}{2}\right)-\left(\frac{\sigma_{x x}+\sigma_{y y}}{2}\right) \sin \Phi_{T E}=0 \tag{8.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Mohr-Coulomb criterion, and when:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{6}\left[2\left(\sigma_{x x}-\sigma_{y y}\right)^{2}\right]-h^{2}\left(\sigma_{y y}+2 \sigma_{x x}\right)=0 \tag{8.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the extended Von Mises criterion. From Equation 8.20:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \Phi_{T E}=\frac{\sigma_{x x}-\sigma_{y y}}{\sigma_{y y}+\sigma_{x x}} \tag{8.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equation 8.21:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2}=\frac{\left(\sigma_{y y}-\sigma_{x x}\right)^{2}}{3\left(\sigma_{y y}+2 \sigma_{x x}\right)^{2}} \tag{8.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equation 8.22:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma_{x x}}{\sigma_{y y}}=\frac{1+\sin \Phi_{T E}}{1-\sin \Phi_{T E}} \tag{8.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining equations 8.22 and 8.23 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2}=\frac{\left(\sin \Phi_{T E}\right)^{2}}{3} \frac{\left(\sigma_{y y}+\sigma_{x x}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sigma_{y y}+2 \sigma_{x x}\right)^{2}}=\frac{(\sin \Phi)^{2}}{3} \frac{\left(1+\sigma_{x x} / \sigma_{y y}\right)^{2}}{\left(1+2 \sigma_{x x} / \sigma_{y y}\right)^{2}} \tag{8.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining equations 8.24 and 8.26 and re-arranging:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{2 \sin \Phi_{T E}}{\sqrt{3}\left(3+\sin \Phi_{T E}\right)} \tag{8.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Here, we combine equations 8.19 and 8.26 to relate $\Phi_{T C}$ to $\Phi_{T E}$ :

$$
\frac{\sin \Phi_{T C}}{\sin \Phi_{T E}} \times \frac{3+\sin \Phi_{T E}}{3-\sin \Phi_{T C}}=1
$$

After re-arranging:

$$
\sin \Phi_{T E}=\frac{3 \sin \Phi_{T C}}{3-2 \sin \Phi_{T C}}
$$

From there, it is possible to plot $\Phi_{T E}$ as a function of $\Phi_{T C}$.

### 8.14 Homework 5 - Problem 4

The objective of this problem is to derive the incremental constitutive equations of an
elasto-plastic rock, for a plastic potential similar to Drucker-Prager's. Assume that in the elastic domain, the rock has a linear isotropic behavior. The following notations are adopted:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{i j}=2 G e_{i j}^{e} \\
& p=K \epsilon_{k k}^{e}
\end{aligned}
$$

In which: $e_{i j}$ stands for deviatoric strain, $s_{i j}$ and $p$ are the deviatoric and mean stress, respectively, and $G$ and $K$ are the shear and bulk modulus, respectively. Drucker-Prager plastic criterion writes:

$$
F=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} s_{i j} s_{j i}}-f(q-p)
$$

In which $q$ is a constant and $f$ is a friction parameter, assumed to depend on deviatoric plastic deformation $g^{p}$. Drucker-Prager plastic potential writes:

$$
Q=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} s_{i j} s_{j i}}+d p
$$

In which $d$ is a dilatancy parameter, assumed to depend on deviatoric plastic deformation $g^{p}$.

1. Recall the decomposition of stress in volumetric and deviatoric parts. Apply this decomposition to the elastic and plastic parts of the strain tensor.
2. Determine the derivatives of the yield function and plastic potential. Write the consistency condition to derive an equation relating the increment of stress to the rate of deviatoric plastic deformation $\dot{g}^{p}=\sqrt{2 \dot{e}_{i j}^{p} \dot{e}_{j i}^{p}}$.
3. Write the plastic flow rule and show that the plastic multiplier writes $\dot{\lambda}_{p}=\dot{g}^{p}$. Derive an equation relating the rate of volumetric plastic deformation $\dot{\epsilon}_{v}^{p}$ to the rate of deviatoric plastic deformation $\dot{g}^{p}$.
4. Use elastic constitutive equations and the consistency condition to show that:

$$
\dot{g}^{p}=\frac{<1>}{G+f d K+(q-p) h_{t}}\left(G \frac{s_{i j}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} s_{i j} s_{j i}}}+K f \delta_{i j}\right) \dot{\epsilon}_{i j}
$$

In which $h_{t}=\frac{d f}{d g^{p}}$, and $\delta_{i j}$ is the second-order identity tensor.
Explain the meaning of the notation: $<1>$ (in terms of loading and unloading phases).
5. Derive an explicit relationship between the increment of stress and the rate of total deformation, i.e. provide the expression of $L_{i j k l}^{e}$ and $L_{i j k l}^{p}$ in the equation $\dot{\sigma}_{i j}=$ $\left(L_{i j k l}^{e}-L_{i j k l}^{p}\right) \dot{\epsilon}_{k l}$.

Solution: See the following pages.

# DEA GEOMATERIAUX <br> Comportement des sols et des roches 

ELASTO-PLASTICITE

Le but de cet exercice est de donner une expression explicite des équations constitutives incrémentales d'une roche ayant un comportement élasto-plastique avec écrouissage dans le cas d'un critère de plasticité et d'un potentiel plastique de type Drucker-Prager.

On suppose que le tenseur d'élasticité est linéaire et isotrope. On rappelle les relations d'élasticité

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{\mathrm{ij}}=2 \mathrm{Ge}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{e}} \\
& \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{K} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{kk}}^{e}
\end{aligned}
$$

On rappelle également les expressions du critère de plasticité et du potentiel plastique de Drucker-Prager

$$
F=T-f(q-p) \quad Q=\sqrt{J_{2 s}}+d p \quad Q=T+\rho \cdot d
$$

ecuouscugerere

On suppose que le paramètre $q^{\prime}$ est une constante et que les paramètre de frottement et de dilatance $f$ et $d$ sont des fonctions de la déformation déviatorique plastique accumulée $g^{p}$.

1. Ecrire la décomposition des tenseurs des contraintes et des déformations en une partie sphérique et une partie déviatorique ainsi que la décomposition du tenseur de déformations en une partie élastique et une partie plastique.
2. Calculer le gradient de la surface de charge et du potentiel plastique et en déduire l'expression explicite de la condition de consistance. On notera $h_{t}=\frac{d f}{d g^{p}}$.
3. Exprimer la règle d'écoulement plastique et montrer que le multiplicateur plastique $\dot{\psi}$ vérifie la relation $\dot{\psi}=\dot{g}^{p}$ (rappel: $\dot{g}^{p}=\sqrt{2 \dot{e}_{i j}^{p} \dot{e}_{i j}^{p}}$ ). En déduire la relation entre le taux de déformation volumique plastique $\dot{\varepsilon}_{v}^{p}$ et le taux de déformation déviatorique plastique $\dot{g}^{p}$.
4. En utilisant les relations élastiques et la condition de consistance montrer la relation suivante:

$$
\dot{g}^{p}=\frac{<l>}{G+f d K+(q-p) h_{t}}\left(G \frac{s_{i j}}{T}+K f \delta_{i j}\right) \dot{\varepsilon}_{i j}
$$

Expliciter le sens de la notation de Mc Auley <1>.
5. En déduire l'expression explicite des relations incrémentales de comportement.
6. On suppose que l'état initial à partir duquel on considère un incrément de contrainte et de déformation correspond à un état de compression triaxiale axisymétrique. Donner l'expression du tenseur élastoplastique dans ce cas particulier.

## Equations incrémentales de comportement

 élastoplastique pour un modèle de Drucker-Prager
## 1 Décomposition des tenseurs

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{\sigma}_{i j}=\dot{s}_{i j}+\dot{p} \delta_{i j} \\
\dot{\varepsilon}_{i j}=\dot{e}_{i j}+\dot{\varepsilon}_{v} \delta_{i j} / 3 \\
\dot{\varepsilon}_{i j}=\dot{\varepsilon}_{i j}+\dot{\varepsilon}_{i j} \\
\dot{e}_{i j}=\dot{e}_{i j}+\dot{e}_{i j}^{p} \\
\dot{\varepsilon}_{v}=.^{e}+\dot{\varepsilon}_{v}+{ }_{v}
\end{gathered}
$$

2 Gradients de la surface de charge et du potentiel plastique et équation de consistance

Equation de la surface de charge:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=T-f\left(g^{p}\right)(q-p)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Potentiel plastique

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=T+d\left(g^{p}\right) p \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma_{i j}}=\frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma_{i j}}+f \frac{\partial p}{\partial \sigma_{i j}}$
$p=\frac{1}{3} \sigma_{k k}=\frac{1}{3} \sigma_{i j} \delta_{i j} ; \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial \sigma_{i j}}=\frac{1}{3} \delta_{i j}$
$T=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} s_{i j} s_{j i}} ; \frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma_{i j}}=\frac{s_{i j}}{2 T}$
d'où

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma_{i j}}=\frac{s_{i j}}{2 T}+f \frac{\delta_{i j}}{3}  \tag{3}\\
& \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \sigma_{i j}}=\frac{s_{i j}}{2 T}+d \frac{\delta_{i j}}{3} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation de consistance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{F}=\frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma_{i j}} \dot{\sigma}_{i j}+\frac{\partial F}{\partial g^{p}} \cdot \stackrel{p}{g}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

L'expression 3 du gradient de la surface de charge introduite dans l'expressio 5 de la relation de consistance conduit à

$$
\left(\frac{s_{i j}}{2 T}+f \frac{\delta_{i j}}{3}\right) \dot{\sigma}_{i j}-(q-p) h_{t} \dot{g}^{p}=0
$$

avec $h_{t}=\frac{d f}{d g^{p}}$

## 3 Evaluation du multiplicateur plastique

La règle d'écoulement s'écrit $\dot{\varepsilon}_{i j}^{p}=\dot{\psi} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \sigma_{i j}} ; \dot{\psi} \geq 0$ ou en utilisant l'expression 4 du gradient du potentiel plastique $\dot{\varepsilon}_{i j}^{p}=\dot{\psi}\left(\frac{s_{i j}}{2 T}+d \frac{\delta_{i j}}{3}\right)$. On peut alors exprimer la partie déviatorique et la partie volumique du tenseur de vitesses déformations plastiques sous la forme
$\dot{e}_{i j}^{p}=\dot{\psi} \frac{s_{i j}}{2 T} ; \dot{\varepsilon}_{v}^{p}=\dot{\psi} d$
$\dot{g}^{p}=\sqrt{2 \dot{e}_{i j}^{p} \dot{e}_{j i}^{p}}=\sqrt{2 \dot{\psi}^{2} \frac{s_{i j} s_{j i}}{4 T^{2}}}$
on obtient

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{g}^{p}=\dot{\psi} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

d'où la relation de dilatance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varepsilon}_{v}^{p}=d \dot{g}^{p} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Evaluation de $\stackrel{g}{g}^{p}$

La relation de consistance 5 permet d'écrire

$$
\dot{g}^{p}=\frac{1}{(q-p) h_{t}}\left(\frac{s_{i j}}{2 T}+f \frac{\delta_{i j}}{3}\right) \dot{\sigma}_{i j}
$$

En utilisant les relations de comportement élastique

$$
\dot{g}=\frac{1}{(q-p) h_{t}}\left(\frac{s_{i j}}{2 T}+f \frac{\delta_{i j}}{3}\right)\left(2 G \dot{e}_{i j}^{\cdot e}+K \dot{\varepsilon}_{v}^{\cdot e} \delta_{i j}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{(q-p) h_{t}}\left(G \frac{s_{i j} \dot{e}_{i j}}{T}+f K \dot{\varepsilon}_{v}^{\cdot e}\right)=\frac{1}{(q-p) h_{t}}\left(G \frac{s_{i j}}{T}\left(\dot{e}_{i j}-\dot{e}_{i j}^{p}\right)+f K\left(\dot{\varepsilon}_{v}-\dot{\varepsilon}_{v}^{p}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{(q-p) h_{h}}\left(G \frac{s_{i j}}{T}\left(\dot{e}_{i j}-\frac{s_{i j} \cdot p}{2 T} \dot{g}^{p}\right)+f K\left(\dot{\varepsilon}_{v}-\dot{g}^{p} d\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Soit $\kappa=K / G$, l'expression ci-dessus conduit à

$$
\left(1+f \kappa d+\frac{(q-p) h_{t}}{G}\right) \dot{g}^{p}=\left(\frac{s_{i j}}{T} \dot{e}_{i j}+\kappa f \dot{\varepsilon}_{v}\right)=\left(\frac{s_{i j}}{T}+\kappa f \delta_{i j}\right) \dot{\varepsilon}_{i j}
$$

On note $H=\left(1+f \kappa d+\frac{(q-p) h_{t}}{G}\right)$ (module plastique) et $b_{i j}^{F}=\frac{s_{i j}}{T}+\kappa f \delta_{i j}$ d'où

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{g}^{p}=\frac{\langle 1\rangle}{H} b_{i j}^{F} \dot{\varepsilon}_{i j} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

avec

$$
<1>=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { si } F=0 \text { et } b_{i j}^{F} \dot{\varepsilon}_{i j}>0 \text { (charge) }  \tag{9}\\
0 \text { si }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F<0 \text { (domaine élastique) } \\
\text { ou } F=0 \text { et } b_{i j}^{F} \dot{\varepsilon}_{i j} \leq 0 \text { (décharge élastique) }
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 5 Equations élasto-plastiques incrémentales

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{\sigma}_{i j}=2 G \dot{e}_{i j}^{e}+K \dot{\varepsilon}_{v}^{e} \delta_{i j}=\left(2 G \dot{e}_{i j}+K \dot{\varepsilon}_{v} \delta_{i j}\right)-\dot{g}^{p} G\left(\frac{s_{i j}}{T}+\kappa d \delta_{i j}\right) \\
\text { Soit } b_{i j}^{Q}=\frac{s_{i j}}{T}+\kappa d \delta_{i j} \\
\dot{\sigma}_{i j}=G\left(\left(2 \dot{e}_{i j}+\kappa \dot{\varepsilon}_{v} \delta_{i j}\right)-\frac{<1>}{H} b_{i j}^{Q} F_{k l} \dot{\varepsilon}_{k l}\right) \\
\dot{\sigma}_{i j}=\left(L_{i j k l}^{e}-L_{i j k l}^{p}\right) \dot{\varepsilon}_{k l} \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

avec

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{i j k l}^{e}=G\left(2 \delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}+\left(\kappa-\frac{2}{3}\right) \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}\right)  \tag{11}\\
L_{i j k l}^{p}=G \frac{<1>}{H} b_{i j}^{Q} b_{k l}^{F} \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 6 Etat initial: compression triaxiale

En compression triaxiale

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\underline{\sigma}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{r} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{r} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sigma_{z}
\end{array}\right) ; \underline{\underline{s}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\sigma_{r}-\sigma_{z}}{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\sigma_{r}-\sigma_{z}}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2 \frac{\sigma_{r}-\sigma_{z}}{3}
\end{array}\right) ; T=\frac{\sigma_{r}-\sigma_{z}}{\sqrt{3}} \\
& \underline{\underline{b}}^{F}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa f & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa f & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa f
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathcal{\sigma _ { 1 }} \sigma \\
& \underline{\underline{b}}^{Q}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa d & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa d & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa d
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On obtient les coefficients suivants pour le tenseur élasto-plastique

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{r r r r}=G\left\{\left(\kappa+\frac{4}{3}\right)-\frac{<1>}{H}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa d\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa f\right)\right\}  \tag{13}\\
& L_{r r \theta \theta}=G\left\{\left(\kappa-\frac{2}{3}\right)-\frac{<1>}{H}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa d\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa f\right)\right\} \\
& L_{r r z z}=G\left\{\left(\kappa-\frac{2}{3}\right)-\frac{<1>}{H}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa d\right)\left(\frac{-2}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa f\right)\right\} \\
& L_{\theta \theta r r}=G\left\{\left(\kappa-\frac{2}{3}\right)-\frac{<1>}{H}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa d\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa f\right)\right\} \\
& L_{\theta \theta \theta \theta}=G\left\{\left(\kappa+\frac{4}{3}\right)-\frac{<1>}{H}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa d\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa f\right)\right\} \\
& L_{\theta \theta z z}=G\left\{\left(\kappa-\frac{2}{3}\right)-\frac{<1>}{H}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa d\right)\left(\frac{-2}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa f\right)\right\} \\
& L_{z z r r}=G\left\{\left(\kappa-\frac{2}{3}\right)-\frac{<1>}{H}\left(\frac{-2}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa d\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa f\right)\right\} \\
& L_{z z \theta \theta}=G\left\{\left(\kappa-\frac{2}{3}\right)-\frac{<1>}{H}\left(\frac{-2}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa d\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa f\right)\right\} \\
& L_{z z z z}=G\left\{\left(\kappa+\frac{4}{3}\right)-\frac{<1>}{H}\left(\frac{-2}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa d\right)\left(\frac{-2}{\sqrt{3}}+\kappa f\right)\right\} \\
& L_{r \theta r \theta}=L_{r z r z}=L_{\theta z \theta z}=2 G
\end{align*}
$$
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